Document Code

Universitas Negeri Surabaya Faculty of Educational Sciences Bachelor of Education Management Study Program

| Courses | | | CODE | | | • | Course | Famil | у | Cre | Credit Weight | | | SEME | STER | | Comp | ilation | |
|-------------------------------|--|---|---|---|--|---|---|--|---|--|---|--|--|---|--------------------------|----------|----------|---------|-----------|
| E-Office | | | 86204021 | .58 | | | | Study F | | | T=2 | P=0 | ECTS | 5=3.18 | | 6 | | Septe | mber 18 |
| AUTHORIZATI | ION | | SP Devel | oner | | | | Elective Courses | | | ea Clu | eter C | oordin | ator | Study | Drogr | am Co | 2020 | or |
| | | | Aditya Chandra Setiawan, M.Pd | | | | | Dr. Mohammad Syahidul Haq, M.Pd. | | | | | Syunu Trihantoyo, S.Pd., M.Pd. | | | | | | |
| earning | Project Based | d Learning | arning | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| rogram | PLO study p | PLO study program that is charged to the course | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Learning Outcomes (PLO) | PLO-7 Able to practice the field of educational management competency to solve problems in the field of educational management based of the results of information and data analysis | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| ., | PLO-9 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | PLO-11 | , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | Program Ob | jectives (Po | 0) | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | PO - 1 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | PO - 2 | PO - 2 Master the theoretical concepts of electronic offices in depth and be able to apply them according to educational needs. | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | PO - 3 | Make the right decisions about e-office applications based on information and data analysis, and be able to provide guidance in choosing various alternative solutions independently and in groups. | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | PO - 4 | application in relevant contexts | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | PLO-PO Mat | PLO-PO Matrix | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | P.O PLO-7 PLO-9 PLO-11 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | P.O | | PLO-7 | ′ | | PLO-9 | | PLC |)-11 | | 4 | | | | | | |
| | | | PO-1 | | | | | - | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | PO-2 | | | | | 1 | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | PO-3 | | | | | | | • | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | PO-4 | | · | | | | | • | | | | | | | | | |
| | PO Matrix at | PO Matrix at the end of each learning stage (Sub-PO) | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | P.O | | | | | | | | V | /eek | | | | 1 | 1 | | |
| | | | | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 | 9 | 10 | 11 | 12 | 13 | 14 | 15 | 16 |
| | | PO-1 | | • | 1 | 1 | ✓ | 1 | 1 | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | PO-2 | 2 | | | | | | | \ | | | | 1 | 1 | | | | |
| | | PO-3 | 3 | | | | | | | | | 1 | 1 | | | 1 | 1 | 1 | |
| | | PO-4 | ļ | | | | | | | | / | | | | | | | | 1 |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Short Course Description | The E-Office of optimization in parties. Lectur joint reflection | utilizing e-o | office, e-office | ce des | ign pra | ctices | accord | ding to | the ne | eds of t | he wo | rld of v | vork an | d e-offi | ce prote | ection f | rom irre | esponsi | ible outs |
| References | Main : | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | 2. Atmor 3. Darma 4. Jasma 5. Kurnia 6. Lasca 7. Marak 8. McCa 9. Sanja 10. Tim P 11. Wati, | in, H. 2019. : no, W. 2008. awan, D. 201 adi. 2010. M awan, D. 201 rya, I.K. 201 aas, G.M. 20 rter, J & Mat ya, R. 2010. uskom UNY. M. & Media, | MS Word 2 13. Sistem I enyusun Pro 10. Teknik M 0. CBT Exp 13. Sistem I bin, J.S. 201 Membuat E . 2010. Mod | 2007: I nforma esenta Menga Mert Us Inform 11. Mid Blog de Hul Pel 6 Jan | Menyus asi Mar manka manka ing Mid asi Ma crosoft engan l atihan n Belaja | sun Bei najeme nbelaja n Data crosoft najeme Office Blogspi TIK da ar Seno | ragam en. Yog ran Be & Sist Acces en. Jal Publis ot Nev n Med diri nge | surát gyakart erbasis tem Ko ss 2010 karta: S kher 200 v Versi lia Digit e-BLO0 | & Doki a: PT I TIK de mputer Jakai Galemb O7 for I on. Jak cal. Yog G deng | imen B Remaja ngan M . Jakari ta: Elex a Empa Dummie arta: El yakara an Wor | Rosda ls Offici a: PT Media t es. Car ex Med : Pusko dPress | karya e 201 Elex M a Kom ada: M dia Ko om UN . Band | O. Jaka ledia Ko putindo Villey P mputino IY dung: C | rta: Ele: omputin ublishir do. V Yram | do ig, Inc. a Widy | | utindo. | | |

| | Supporters: |
|--|---|
| | |
| | Dr. Mohammad Syahidul Haq, S.Pd., M.Pd. Adiya Chandra Setiawan, S.Pd., M.Pd. |

| Support lecturer | | yahidul Haq, S.Pd., M.Pd. etiawan, S.Pd., M.Pd. | | | | | | |
|---------------------|--|--|--|---|--|---|--------------------------|--|
| Week- | Final abilities of each learning stage (Sub-PO) | Evaluatio | on | Learı Studer | lp Learning, ning methods, It Assignments, timated time] | Learning materials [References] | Assessment Weight (%) | |
| | (Sub-PO) | Indicator | Criteria & Form | Offline (offline) | Online (online) | | 5 1(1.5) | |
| (1) | (2) | (3) | (4) | (5) | (6) | (7) | (8) | |
| 1 | Students are able to carry out lecture contracts and inferences on basic E- Office concepts. | 1.Students are able to explain the meaning of E-Office; 2.Students are able to differentiate types of E-Office; 3.Students are able to explain the characteristics of E-Office; 4.Students are able to distinguish the strengths and weaknesses of E-Office | Criteria: Score Criteria: Special: 90-100; Very Good: 76-89; Fair: 56-75; Less: 0-55 Form of Assessment: Participatory Activities | Varied lectures (lecture, question and answer and discussion methods) 2 X 50 | Varied lectures (lecture, question and answer and discussion methods) 2 X 50 | Material: Basic E- Office Concepts Reference: Zulkarnain, W. & Sumarsono, B. 2015. Professional Office Management. Malang: Mount Samudera. | 5% | |
| 2 | Students are able to make inferences about Information Systems in E-Office. | 1.Students are able to explain the meaning of Information Systems; 2.Students are able to differentiate types of Information Systems; 3.Students are able to explain the characteristics of Information Systems; 4.Students are able to differentiate the strengths and weaknesses of Information Systems. | Criteria: Score Criteria: Special: 90-100; Very Good: 76-89; Fair: 56-75; Less: 0-55 Form of Assessment: Participatory Activities | Varied lectures (lecture, question and answer and discussion methods) 2 X 50 | Varied lectures (lecture, question and answer and discussion methods) 2 X 50 | Material: Information Systems in E-Office. Reference: Darmawan, D. 2013. Management Information Systems. Yogyakarta: PT Teen Rosdakarya | 5% | |
| 3 | Students are able to make inferences about File Management in E- Office. | 1.Students are able to explain the meaning of File Management; 2.Students are able to differentiate types of File Management; 3.Students are able to explain the characteristics of File Management; 4.Students are able to differentiate the strengths and weaknesses of File Management | Criteria: Score Criteria: Special: 90-100; Very Good: 76-89; Fair: 56-75; Less: 0-55 Form of Assessment: Participatory Activities | Varied lectures (lecture, question and answer and discussion methods) 2 X 50 | Varied lectures (lecture, question and answer and discussion methods) 2 X 50 | Material: File Management in E- Office. References: Zulkarnain, W. & Sumarsono, B. 2015. Professional Office Management. Malang: Mount Samudera. | 5% | |
| 4 | Students are able to make inferences about Human Resources in E-Office | 1.Students are able to explain the meaning of Human Resources; 2.Students are able to differentiate types of Human Resources; 3.Students are able to explain the characteristics of Human Resources; 4.Students are able to distinguish the strengths and weaknesses of Human Resources. | Criteria: Score Criteria: Special: 90-100; Very Good: 76-89; Fair: 56-75; Less: 0-55 Form of Assessment: Participatory Activities | Varied lectures (lecture, question and answer and discussion methods) 2 X 50 | Varied lectures (lecture, question and answer and discussion methods) 2 X 50 | Material: Human Resources in E-Office Reference: Agustin, H. 2019. Management Information Systems. Jakarta: Raja Grafindo. | 5% | |
| 5 | Students are able to make inferences about Facilities and Infrastructure in E-Office. | 1.Students are able to explain the meaning of Facilities and Infrastructure; 2.Students are able to differentiate types of Facilities and Infrastructure; 3.Students are able to explain the characteristics of Facilities and Infrastructure; 4.Students are able to differentiate between the strengths and weaknesses of Facilities and Infrastructure. | Criteria: Score Criteria: Special: 90-100; Very Good: 76-89; Fair: 56-75; Less: 0-55 Form of Assessment : Project Results Assessment / Product Assessment | Varied lectures (lecture, question and answer and discussion methods) 2 X 50 | Varied lectures (lecture, question and answer and discussion methods) 2 X 50 | Material: Facilities and Infrastructure in E-Office. References: Agustin, H. 2019. Management Information Systems. Jakarta: Raja Grafindo. | 5% | |

| 6 | Students are able to make inferences about the concept of E-Government | 1.Students are able to explain the meaning of E-Government; 2.Students are able to explain the Goals of E-Government; 3.Students are able to explain the Types of E-Government; 4.Students are able to explain the Characteristics of E-Government; 5.Students are able to explain the advantages and disadvantages of e-government. | Criteria: Score Criteria: Special: 90-100; Very Good: 76-89; Fair: 56-75; Less: 0-55 Form of Assessment: Portfolio Assessment | Varied lectures (lecture, question and answer and discussion methods) 2 X 50 | Varied lectures (lecture, question and answer and discussion methods) 2 X 50 | Material: E- Government Library: UNY Puskom Team. 2010. ICT and Digital Media Training Module. Yogyakara: UNY Community Center | 5% |
|----|---|--|--|---|--|--|-----|
| 7 | Students are able to make inferences about the Virtual Office concept. | 1.Students are able to explain the meaning of Virtual Office; 2.Students are able to differentiate between types of Virtual Office; 3.Students are able to explain the characteristics of Virtual Office; 4.Students are able to differentiate the advantages and disadvantages of Virtual Office | Criteria: Score Criteria: Special: 90-100; Very Good: 76-89; Fair: 56-75; Less: 0-55 Form of Assessment: Portfolio Assessment | Varied lectures (lecture, question and answer and discussion methods) 2 X 50 | Varied lectures (lecture, question and answer and discussion methods) 2 X 50 | Material: Virtual Office; References: Zulkarnain, W. & Sumarsono, B. 2015. Professional Office Management. Malang: Mount Samudera. | 5% |
| 8 | Midterm Exam (UTS) | | Criteria: Score Criteria: Special: 90-100; Very Good: 76-89; Fair: 56-75; Less: 0-55 Form of Assessment: Participatory Activities | 2 X 50 | 2 X 50 | | 15% |
| 9 | Students are able to make inferences about the development of bureaucratic reform. | 1.Students are able to explain the meaning of Bureaucratic Reform; 2.Students are able to differentiate between types of Bureaucratic Reform; 3.Students are able to explain the characteristics of Bureaucratic Reform; 4.Students are able to distinguish the strengths and weaknesses of Bureaucratic Reform. | Criteria: Score Criteria: Special: 90-100; Very Good: 76-89; Fair: 56-75; Less: 0-55 Form of Assessment : Project Results Assessment / Product Assessment | Varied lectures (lecture, question and answer and discussion methods) 2 X 50 | Varied lectures (lecture, question and answer and discussion methods) 2 X 50 | Material: Development of Bureaucratic Reform. References: Atmono, W. 2008. MS Word 2007: Compiling Various Business and Office Letters & Documents | 5% |
| 10 | Students are able to make inferences regarding E-Office Program/Application Management. | 1.Students are able to explain E-Office Program/Application Planning; 2.Students are able to explain the Organization of E-Office Programs/Applications; 3.Students are able to explain the Implementation of E-Office Programs/Applications; 4.Students are able to explain Supervision of E-Office Programs/Applications; 5.Students are able to explain E-Office Programs/Applications; 5.Students are able to explain E-Office Program/Application Evaluation; | Criteria: Score Criteria: Special: 90-100; Very Good: 76-89; Fair: 56-75; Less: 0-55 Form of Assessment : Project Results Assessment / Product Assessment | Varied lectures (lecture, question and answer and discussion methods) 2 X 50 | Varied lectures (lecture, question and answer and discussion methods) 2 X 50 | Material: Management of E-Office Programs/Applications. References: Lascarya, IK 2010. CBT Expert Using Microsoft Access 2010. Jakarta: Elex Media Komputindo | 5% |
| 11 | Students are able to make inferences regarding the implementation of E- Office Programs/Applications | 1.Students are able to explain the implementation of E-Office Programs/Applications 2.Students are able to analyze obstacles in implementing E-Office Programs/Applications 3.Students are able to solve problems in implementing E-Office Programs/Applications | Criteria: Score Criteria: Special: 90-100; Very Good: 76-89; Fair: 56-75; Less: 0-55 Form of Assessment : Project Results Assessment / Product Assessment | Seminar Results of Academic Visits (Observations) 2 X 50 | Seminar Results of Academic Visits (Observations) 2 X 50 | Material: Implementation of E-Office Programs/Applications Reference: Atmono, W. 2008. MS Word 2007: Compiling Various Business and Office Letters & Documents | 5% |

| 12 | Students are able to make inferences regarding the implementation of E- Office Programs/Applications | 1.Students are able to explain the implementation of E-Office Programs/Applications 2.Students are able to analyze obstacles in implementing E-Office Programs/Applications 3.Students are able to solve problems in implementing E-Office Programs/Applications | Criteria: Score Criteria: Special: 90-100; Very Good: 76-89; Fair: 56-75; Less: 0-55 Form of Assessment : Project Results Assessment / Product Assessment | Seminar Results of Academic Visits (Observations) 2 X 50 | Seminar Results of Academic Visits (Observations) 2 X 50 | Material: Implementation of E-Office Programs/Applications Reference: Atmono, W. 2008. MS Word 2007: Compiling Various Business and Office Letters & Documents | 5% |
|----|---|--|--|---|---|--|----|
| 13 | Students are able to develop E-Office Programs/Applications | 1.Students are able to apply E-Office Program/Application Planning; 2.Students are able to apply the E-Office Program/Application Organizing system; 3.Students are able to apply the E-Office Program/Application Implementation system; 4.Students are able to implement the E-Office Program/Application Monitoring system; 5.Students are able to apply the E-Office Program/Application Evaluation system; 6.Students are able to create E-Office Program/Application Evaluation system; | Criteria: Score Criteria: Special: 90-100; Very Good: 76-89; Fair: 56-75; Less: 0-55 Form of Assessment: Project Results Assessment / Product Assessment | Project Based Learning 2 X 50 | Project Based Learning 2 X 50 | Material: E-Office Program/Application Development Library: UNY Puskom Team. 2010. ICT and Digital Media Training Module. Yogyakara: UNY Community Center | 5% |
| 14 | Students are able to develop E-Office Programs/Applications | 1.Students are able to apply E-Office Program/Application Planning; 2.Students are able to apply the E-Office Program/Application Organizing system; 3.Students are able to apply the E-Office Program/Application Implementation system; 4.Students are able to implement the E-Office Program/Application Monitoring system; 5.Students are able to apply the E-Office Program/Application Evaluation system; 6.Students are able to create E-Office programs/applications | Criteria: Score Criteria: Special: 90-100; Very Good: 76-89; Fair: 56-75; Less: 0-55 Form of Assessment : Portfolio Assessment | Project Based Learning 2 X 50 | Project Based Learning 2 X 50 | Material: E-Office Program/Application Development Reference: Kurniawan, D. 2010. Techniques for Securing Data & Computer Systems. Jakarta: PT Elex Media Komputindo | 5% |
| 15 | Students are able to develop E-Office Programs/Applications | 1. Students are able to apply E-Office Program/Application Planning; 2. Students are able to apply the E-Office Program/Application Organizing system; 3. Students are able to apply the E-Office Program/Application Implementation system; 4. Students are able to implement the E-Office Program/Application Monitoring system; 5. Students are able to apply the E-Office Program/Application E-Valuation System; 6. Students are able to create E-Office Program/Application E-Valuation System; 6. Students are able to create E-Office Program/Applications | Criteria: Score Criteria: Special: 90-100; Very Good: 76-89; Fair: 56-75; Less: 0-55 Form of Assessment : Portfolio Assessment | Project Based Learning 2 X 50 | Project Based Learning 2 X 50 | Material: E-Office Program/Application Development Reference: Kurniawan, D. 2010. Techniques for Securing Data & Computer Systems. Jakarta: PT Elex Media Komputindo | 5% |

| 16 | Final Semester Examination (UAS) | | Criteria: Score Criteria: Special: 90-100; Very Good: 76-89; Fair: 56-75; Less: 0-55 Form of Assessment: Participatory Activities | 2 X 50 | 2 X 50 | Material: topical material Reference: Marakas, GM 2013. Management Information Systems. Jakarta: Salemba Empat | 15% |
|----|-------------------------------------|--|--|--------|--------|---|-----|
|----|-------------------------------------|--|--|--------|--------|---|-----|

Evaluation Percentage Recap: Project Based Learning

| No | Evaluation | Percentage |
|----|---|------------|
| 1. | Participatory Activities | 50% |
| 2. | Project Results Assessment / Product Assessment | 30% |
| 3. | Portfolio Assessment | 20% |
| | _ | 100% |

Notes

- 1. **Learning Outcomes of Study Program Graduates (PLO Study Program)** are the abilities possessed by each Study Program graduate which are the internalization of attitudes, mastery of knowledge and skills according to the level of their study program obtained through the learning process.
- 2. The PLO imposed on courses are several learning outcomes of study program graduates (CPL-Study Program) which are used for the formation/development of a course consisting of aspects of attitude, general skills, special skills and knowledge.
- 3. **Program Objectives (PO)** are abilities that are specifically described from the PLO assigned to a course, and are specific to the study material or learning materials for that course.
- Subject Sub-PO (Sub-PO) is a capability that is specifically described from the PO that can be measured or observed and is the final ability
 that is planned at each learning stage, and is specific to the learning material of the course.
- Indicators for assessing abilities in the process and student learning outcomes are specific and measurable statements that identify the
 abilities or performance of student learning outcomes accompanied by evidence.
- Assessment Criteria are benchmarks used as a measure or measure of learning achievement in assessments based on predetermined
 indicators. Assessment criteria are guidelines for assessors so that assessments are consistent and unbiased. Criteria can be quantitative or
 qualitative.
- Forms of assessment: test and non-test.
- 8. Forms of learning: Lecture, Response, Tutorial, Seminar or equivalent, Practicum, Studio Practice, Workshop Practice, Field Practice, Research, Community Service and/or other equivalent forms of learning.
- 9. Learning Methods: Small Group Discussion, Role-Play & Simulation, Discovery Learning, Self-Directed Learning, Cooperative Learning, Collaborative Learning, Contextual Learning, Project Based Learning, and other equivalent methods.
- 10. **Learning materials** are details or descriptions of study materials which can be presented in the form of several main points and sub-topics.

 11. **The assessment weight** is the percentage of assessment of each sub-PO achievement whose size is proportional to the level of difficulty of
- 11. The assessment weight is the percentage of assessment of each sub-PO achievement whose size is proportional to the level of difficulty of achieving that sub-PO, and the total is 100%.
- 12. TM=Face to face, PT=Structured assignments, BM=Independent study.