

Universitas Negeri Surabaya Faculty of Economics and Business, Bachelor of Science in Office Administration Education Study Program

Document Code

Coue

SEMESTER LEARNING PLAN Courses CODE **Credit Weight** SEMESTER **Course Family** Compilation Date **Teaching Skills and Microlearning** T=0 P=0 ECTS=0 8721002137 Compulsory Study 5 May 11, 2023 AUTHORIZATION SP Developer Course Cluster **Study Program Coordinator** Coordinator Triesninda Pahlevi, S.Pd., Durinta Puspasari, S.Pd., M.Pd Brillian Rosy, S.Pd., M.Pd. M.Pd. Learning **Project Based Learning** model Program PLO study program that is charged to the course Learning Program Objectives (PO) Outcomes (PLO) PO - 1 Realizing an honest and independent character related to micro teaching tasks PO - 2 Have knowledge of school-based management, clinical supervision, microteaching and learning planning PO - 3 Make decisions about designing, implementing, evaluating learning in accordance with the characteristics of the material Utilize learning resources and ICT to support the design and implementation of clinical supervision, micro teaching and peer teaching PO - 4 PO - 5 Utilizing research results to develop effective learning tools PO - 6 Develop learning tools that pay attention to the diversity of students **PLO-PO** Matrix P.0 PO-1 PO-2 PO-3 PO-4 PO-5 PO-6 PO Matrix at the end of each learning stage (Sub-PO) P.O Week 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 PO-1 PO-2 PO-3 PO-4 PO-5 PO-6 This course examines school-based management, clinical supervision through presentations and discussions, and facilitates students in developing learning tools based on the applicable curriculum, the needs and diversity of students, including those with special needs. This device is a means of preparing students to manage learning at school for microteaching courses in accordance with applicable produces in the form of learning tools for primary and secondary education. Apart from that, it also equips students to have teaching skills in the form of micro teaching and peer teaching. Short Course Description

Reference	ces Main :							
			Berbasis Sekolah: Teori, N en Berbasis Sekolah: Kon			ıng : PT Remaja F	Rosdakarya.	
	Supporters:	Supporters:						
	 UPT-P4 Arends, Slavin, F Baronce Europea Susantir Peratura 07/D.D5 Kurikulu 	Unesa. (2014). Pedo R. I. (2012). Learning R. E. (2011). Psikologi Ili, Stefania., Farneti In Union: Traditional a ii, E., dkk. (2014). Par In Direktur Jendera /Kk/2018 Tentang Str	Supervisi Klinis Teori dan F man Pengalaman Lapang to Teach. New York: McG Pendidikan (Teori dan Pr , Roberto., Horga, Ioan., Ind Innovative Method. Do nduan Microteaching untul I Pendidikan Dasar da uktur Kurikulum Sekolah I n Kejuruan (SMK) Program	an. Surabaya: U Graw-Hill Interna aktik) (Terjemah Vanhoonacker rdrecht: Springe < Dosen, Mahas n Menengah Kejui	niversity Press. tional Edition. an). Jakarta: PT Indeks , Sophie (eds). (2014 r. iswa, dan Crew. Surab Kementerian Pendidik uan (SMK)/Madrasah J	s. 4). Teaching and aya: University Prr an dan Kebuda Aliyah Kejuruan (N	Learning the ess. Iyaan Nomor: MAK), Struktur	
Support lecturer	Durinda Puspasa Durinta Puspasa Triesninda Pahle Brillian Rosy, S.F Ruri Nurul Aeni V Novi Trisnawati,	ri, S.Pd., M.Pd. evi, S.Pd., M.Pd. Pd., M.Pd. Vulandari, S.Pd., M.P	d.	Hol) Learning,			
Week-	Final abilities of each learning stage	Eva	aluation	Learn Student	Assignments, mated time]	Learning materials [References]	Assessment Weight (%)	
	(Sub-PO)	Indicator	Criteria & Form	Offline(offline)	Online (online)			
(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)	(6)	(7)	(8)	
1	Explain the concept of school- based management and school characteristics	 Explains Concepts and MBS from Various learning sources and ICT Explain the characteristics of schools that 	Criteria: Assessment rubric Form of Assessment : Participatory Activities	Discussion, Assignments, Contextual Learning 2 X 50	Discussion, Assignments, Contextual Learning 2 X 50	Material: School Based Management (MBS) Reference: Nurkolis. (2003). School Based Management: Theory, Models, and Applications. Jakarta: Grasindo.	3%	
2	Identify the school curriculum	Identify the school curriculum	Criteria: Assessment rubric Form of Assessment : Participatory Activities	Lectures, Discussions, assignments, Small Group Discussions, Contextual Learning 2 X 50	Lectures, Discussions, assignments, Small Group Discussions, Contextual Learning 2 X 50	Material: School-based Management (MBS); Library Curriculum Document : <i>Mulyasa, E.</i> (2004). School Based Management: Concepts, Strategies, and Implementation. Bandung: PT Teen Rosdakarya.	3%	

3	Explain the concept of clinical supervision	 Explains the concept of clinical supervision (SK) from various learning sources and ICT Explain the factors that influence the process of creating a clinical supervision plan 	Criteria: Assessment rubric Form of Assessment : Participatory Activities	Roleplay 2 X 50	Roleplay 2 X 50	Material: Clinical supervision (KS); individual uniformity References: Makawimbang, JE (2013). Clinical Supervision Theory and Measurement (Analysis in Education). Bandung: Alphabeta.	3%
4	Perform basic teaching skills	 Explains the concept of micro teaching from various learning sources and ICT Give examples of 8 (eight) basic teaching skills: Opening funds Closing lessons, Explaining, Asking variations Providing reinforcement, Managing the class, Guiding discussions, Teaching small groups and individuals 	Criteria: Assessment rubric Form of Assessment : Participatory Activities	Roleplay 2 X 50	Roleplay 2 X 50	Material: Microteaching Basic teaching skills References: Susantini, E., et al. (2014). Microteaching Guide for Lecturers, Students, and Crew. Surabaya: University Press.	5%
5	Explains the basic teaching skills scenario	Explaining the basic teaching skills scenario: Opening funds Closing the lesson, Explaining, Asking, Providing variations, Providing reinforcement, Managing the class, Guiding discussions, Teaching small groups and individuals	Criteria: Assessment rubric Form of Assessment : Participatory Activities	Roleplay 2 X 50	Roleplay 2 X 50	Material: Microteaching Basic teaching skills References: Susantini, E., et al. (2014). Microteaching Guide for Lecturers, Students, and Crew. Surabaya: University Press.	5%
6	Develop learning tools	Develop learning tools in the form of syllabus, lesson plans and teaching materials	Criteria: Assessment rubric Form of Assessment : Participatory Activities	Roleplay 2 X 50	Roleplay 2 X 50	Material: Development of syllabus and lesson plans; Learning models; Library learning strategies : Mulyasa, E. (2004). School Based Management: Concepts, Strategies, and Implementation. Bandung: PT Teen Rosdakarya.	5%

7	Develop assessment instruments	Develop assessments	Criteria: Assessment rubric Form of Assessment : Participatory Activities	Workshops, presentations, Project Based Learning 2 X 50	Workshops, presentations, Project Based Learning 2 X 50	Material: Assessment development References: Arends, RI (2012). Learning to Teach. New York: McGraw- Hill International Edition.	5%
8	Midterm exam	UTS	Criteria: Assessment rubric Form of Assessment : Participatory Activities, Practice/Performance	Practice 2 X 50	Practice	Material: UTS References: Susantini, E., et al. (2014). Microteaching Guide for Lecturers, Students, and Crew. Surabaya: University Press.	20%
9	Implement and evaluate learning tools	1.Carrying out learning based on the tools developed 2.Identify the advantages and disadvantages of learning tools that have been tried 3.Develop a plan to improve learning devices	Criteria: Assessment rubric Form of Assessment : Participatory Activities	Micro teaching and simulation 2 X 50	Micro teaching and simulation	Material: Microteaching; RPP/IPKG I assessment instrument; Instrument for implementing learning / IPKG II Library: Susantini, E., et al. (2014). Microteaching Guide for Lecturers, Students, and Crew. Surabaya: University Press.	4%
10	Implement and evaluate learning tools	 Carrying out learning based on the tools developed Identify the advantages and disadvantages of learning tools that have been tried Develop a plan to improve learning devices 	Criteria: Assessment rubric Form of Assessment : Participatory Activities	Micro teaching and simulation 2 X 50	Micro teaching and simulation 2 X 50	Material: Microteaching; RPP/IPKG I assessment instrument; Instrument for implementing learning / IPKG II Library: Susantini, E., et al. (2014). Microteaching Guide for Lecturers, Students, and Crew. Surabaya: University Press.	4%
11	Implement and evaluate learning tools	 Carrying out learning based on the tools developed Identify the advantages and disadvantages of learning tools that have been tried Develop a plan to improve learning devices 	Criteria: Assessment rubric Form of Assessment : Participatory Activities	Micro teaching and simulation 2 X 50	Micro teaching and simulation 2 X 50	Material: Microteaching; RPP/IPKG I assessment instrument; Instrument for implementing learning / IPKG II Library: Susantini, E., et al. (2014). Microteaching Guide for Lecturers, Students, and Crew. Surabaya: University Press.	4%

12	Implement and evaluate learning tools	 Carrying out learning based on the tools developed Identify the advantages and disadvantages of learning tools that have been tried Develop a plan to improve learning devices 	Criteria: Assessment rubric Form of Assessment : Participatory Activities	Micro teaching and simulation 2 X 50	Micro teaching and simulation 2 X 50	Material: Microteaching; RPP/IPKG I assessment instrument; Instrument for implementing learning / IPKG II Library: Susantini, E., et al. (2014). Microteaching Guide for Lecturers, Students, and Crew. Surabaya: University Press.	4%
13	Implement and evaluate learning tools	 Carrying out learning based on the tools developed Identify the advantages and disadvantages of learning tools that have been tried Develop a plan to improve learning devices 	Criteria: Assessment rubric Form of Assessment : Participatory Activities	Micro teaching and simulation 2 X 50	Micro teaching and simulation 2 X 50	Material: Microteaching; RPP/IPKG I assessment instrument; Instrument for implementing learning / IPKG II Library: Susantini, E., et al. (2014). Microteaching Guide for Lecturers, Students, and Crew. Surabaya: University Press.	4%
14	Implement and evaluate learning tools	 Carrying out learning based on the tools developed Identify the advantages and disadvantages of learning tools that have been tried Develop a plan to improve learning devices 	Criteria: Assessment rubric Form of Assessment : Participatory Activities	Micro teaching and simulation 2 X 50	Micro teaching and simulation 2 X 50	Material: Microteaching; RPP/IPKG I assessment instrument; Instrument for implementing learning / IPKG II Library: Susantini, E., et al. (2014). Microteaching Guide for Lecturers, Students, and Crew. Surabaya: University Press.	4%
15	Implement and evaluate learning tools	 Carrying out learning based on the tools developed Identify the advantages and disadvantages of learning tools that have been tried Develop a plan to improve learning devices 	Criteria: Assessment rubric Form of Assessment : Participatory Activities	Micro teaching and simulation 2 X 50	Micro teaching and simulation 2 X 50	Material: Microteaching; RPP/IPKG I assessment instrument; Instrument for implementing learning / IPKG II Library: Susantini, E., et al. (2014). Microteaching Guide for Lecturers, Students, and Crew. Surabaya: University Press.	4%

16	Final exams	UAS	Criteria: Assessment rubric Form of Assessment : Participatory Activities, Project Results Assessment / Product Assessment	РјВL 2 X 50	РјВL 2 X 50	Material: UAS Reference: Susantini, E., et al. (2014). Microteaching Guide for Lecturers, Students, and Crew. Surabaya: University Press.	23%
----	-------------	-----	--	----------------	----------------	--	-----

Evaluation Percentage Recap: Project Based Learning

No	Evaluation	Percentage
1.	Participatory Activities	78.5%
2.	Project Results Assessment / Product Assessment	11.5%
3.	Practice / Performance	10%
		100%

Notes

- Learning Outcomes of Study Program Graduates (PLO Study Program) are the abilities possessed by each Study
 Program graduate which are the internalization of attitudes, mastery of knowledge and skills according to the level of their
 study program obtained through the learning process.
- 2. The PLO imposed on courses are several learning outcomes of study program graduates (CPL-Study Program) which are used for the formation/development of a course consisting of aspects of attitude, general skills, special skills and knowledge.
- 3. Program Objectives (PO) are abilities that are specifically described from the PLO assigned to a course, and are specific to the study material or learning materials for that course.
- 4. **Subject Sub-PO (Sub-PO)** is a capability that is specifically described from the PO that can be measured or observed and is the final ability that is planned at each learning stage, and is specific to the learning material of the course.
- 5. **Indicators for assessing** ability in the process and student learning outcomes are specific and measurable statements that identify the ability or performance of student learning outcomes accompanied by evidence.
- 6. Assessment Criteria are benchmarks used as a measure or measure of learning achievement in assessments based on predetermined indicators. Assessment criteria are guidelines for assessors so that assessments are consistent and unbiased. Criteria can be quantitative or qualitative.
- 7. Forms of assessment: test and non-test.
- 8. Forms of learning: Lecture, Response, Tutorial, Seminar or equivalent, Practicum, Studio Practice, Workshop Practice, Field Practice, Research, Community Service and/or other equivalent forms of learning.
- 9. Learning Methods: Small Group Discussion, Role-Play & Simulation, Discovery Learning, Self-Directed Learning,
- Cooperative Learning, Collaborative Learning, Contextual Learning, Project Based Learning, and other equivalent methods.
 10. Learning materials are details or descriptions of study materials which can be presented in the form of several main points and sub-topics.
- 11. The assessment weight is the percentage of assessment of each sub-PO achievement whose size is proportional to the level of difficulty of achieving that sub-PO, and the total is 100%.
- 12. TM=Face to face, PT=Structured assignments, BM=Independent study.