Document Code



## Universitas Negeri Surabaya Faculty of Languages and Arts Undergraduate Study Program Drama Arts, Dance and Music Education

SEMESTER LEARNING PLAN																				
Courses			CODE				Cour	se Fa	mily		Credit Weight					SEMES	TER	Co	mpilat te	ion
Teaching Skills And Microlearning			8820902540	)			Comp	oulsor	y Stu	dy		Γ=2	P=0 I	ECTS=	3.18		4	Jul	y 17, 2	024
AUTHORIZATION			SP Develop	oer			Progr	am S	ubjec		ourse	Clus	ter Co	ordinat	tor	Study I	Progra	m Cod	ordinat	or
			Vivi Ervina [	Dewi,	S.Pd	., M.P	d.									Dr. We		yando 1.Pd.	ko, S.F	²d.,
Learning model	Project Based Learning																			
Program	PLO study program that is charged to the course																			
Learning Outcomes	Program Objectives (PO)																			
(PLO)	PO - 1	Able t	to master the	skills	of op	ening	lesso	ns												
	PO - 2																			
	PO - 3	Able to master the skills to close learning in class																		
	PLO-PO Matrix																			
			P.O																	
			PO-1																	
			PO-2																	
			PO-3																	
		<u> </u>																		
	PO Matrix at th	e end	of each lea	rninc	ıstar	ie (Si	ıh-P(	<b>)</b>												
			0. 00.01. 100.		, 0	, (		-,												
			P.O		Week										1					
				1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13	14	15	16	•
		D(	D-1	-				, J		<u> </u>		-	10		12	10	17	15	10	
		l																		-
		l <del> </del>	D-2																	-
		P	D-3																	]
Short Course Description	Understanding and Steps Regarding Observation and Micro-Learning, Questioning Skills, Reinforcement Skills, Variation Skills, Explaining Skills, Opening and Closing Skills, Small Group Discussion Guiding Skills, Class Management Skills, Small Group and Individual Teaching Skills																			
References	Main:																			
	<ol> <li>Abimanyu. 1984. Keterampilan Membuka dan Menutup Pelajaran . Jakarta</li> <li>Hasibuan, JJ Ibrahim. 1988. Proses Belajar Mengajar Keterampilan Dasar Mikro . Bandung: Remaja Karya</li> <li>Dimyati, dkk. 1994. Belajar dan Pembelajaran . Jakarta: Dirjen Dikti.</li> <li>Wardani IGAK. 1985. Keterampilan Membimbing Kelompok Kecil . Jakarta: P2LPTK Ditjen Dikti</li> <li>Rafli Kosasi. 1985. Keterampilan Menjelaskan . Ditjen Dikti. Depdikbud</li> <li>Sugeng Pranoto dkk. 1980. Micro Teaching . Jakarta: Departemen Pendidikan dan Kebudayaan</li> <li>Sanjaya, Wina. 2009. Perencanaan dan Desain Sistem Pembelajaran. Jakarta: Kencana Prenada Media Grup</li> <li>Suparman, Atwi. 2001. Desain Instruksional . Jakarta: Pusat Antar Universitas untuk Peningkatan.</li> <li>Usman, User. 2001. Menjadi Guru dalam Proses belajar Mengajar . Bandung: Rosdakarya</li> <li>Wijaya, Cece. 1991. Kemampuan Guru dalam Proses Belajar mengajar . Bandung: Rosdakarya</li> </ol>																			
	Supporters:																			
			•																	
1																				

## Supporting lecturer

Dra. Noordiana, M.Sn.
Dr. Eko Wahyuni Rahayu, M.Hum.
Dr. Subianto Karoso, M.Kes.
Drs. Bambang Sugito, M.Sn.
Dra. Enie Wahyuning Handayani, M.Si.
Dr. Arif Hidajad, S.Sn., M.Pd.
Dr. Indar Sabri, S.Sn., M.Pd.
Budi Dharmawanputra, S.Pd., M.Pd.
Dr. Welly Suryandoko, S.Pd., M.Pd.
Vivi Ervina Dewi, S.Pd., M.Pd.

Week-	Final abilities of each learning stage	Eva	aluation	Lear Stude	elp Learning, rning methods, ent Assignments, stimated time]	Learning materials [ References ]	Assessment Weight (%)	
	(Sub-PO)	Indicator	Criteria & Form	Offline ( Online ( online )		[ References ]		
(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)	(6)	(7)	(8)	
1	Understand and have understanding and insight into Teaching Skills and Micro Learning	1.Students are able to understand and have understanding and insight into Teaching Skills and Micro Learning 2.Understand and understand the sequence of the learning	Criteria:  1.85 < A < 100 2.80 < A - < 85 3.75 < B < 80 4.70 < B < 75 5.65 < B - < 70 6.60 < C < 65 7.55 < C < 60 8.40 < D < 55 9.0 < E < 40  Form of Assessment: Participatory Activities	Lectures, Discussions, Sharing information (sharing), PBL (Problem Based Learning) 2 X 50		Material: understanding and insight into Teaching Skills and Micro Learning Library: Wardani IGAK. 1985. Small Group Guiding Skills. Jakarta: P2LPTK Directorate General of Higher Education	0%	
		process starting from the opening, material and closing				Material: make lesson plans and simulate in front of the class References:		
2	Explain the nature of basic skills in leading small discussions	Students are able to explain the nature of basic skills in leading small discussions	Criteria:  1.85 < A < 100 2.80 < A < 85 3.75 < B < 80 4.70 < B < 75 5.65 < B < 70 6.60 < C < 65 7.55 < C < 60 8.40 < D < 55 9.0 < E < 40  Form of Assessment: Practice / Performance	Lectures, Discussions, Sharing information (sharing), PBL (Problem Based Learning) 2 X 50		Material: the essence of basic skills in leading small discussions Reader: Wardani IGAK. 1985. Small Group Guiding Skills. Jakarta: P2LPTK Directorate General of Higher Education	3%	
						Material: Simulation of skills for opening lessons References:		
3	Explaining the nature of the basic skill of making variations and explaining it in learning. Explaining the nature of the basic skill of explaining and explaining it in learning	1.Students are able to explain the nature of basic skills in performing variations and explain them in learning. 2.Students are able to explain the nature of basic explaining	Criteria:  1.85 < A < 100 2.80 < A - < 85 3.75 < B < 80 4.70 < B < 75 5.65 < B - < 70 6.60 < C < 65 7.55 < C < 60 8.40 < D < 55 9.0 < E < 40	Lectures, Discussions, Sharing information (sharing), PBL (Problem Based Learning) 2 X 50		Material: the essence of basic skills in making variations and explaining them in learning. Reference: Sugeng Pranoto et al. 1980. Micro Teaching. Jakarta: Department of Education and Culture	3%	
		skills and explain them in learning				Material: Evaluation and simulation of simulation of front of the class References:		

4	Explaining the essence of the basic skills of opening and closing and explaining in learning Explaining the nature of the basic skills of guiding small group discussions and explaining in learning	1.Students are able to explain the nature of basic opening and closing skills and explain in learning 2.Students are able to explain the nature of basic skills in guiding small group discussions and explaining learning	Criteria:  1.85 < A < 100 2.80 < A - < 85 3.75 < B < 80 4.70 < B < 75 5.65 < B - < 70 6.60 < C < 65 7.55 < C < 60 8.40 < D < 55 9.0 < E < 40  Form of Assessment: Practice / Performance	Lectures, Discussions, Sharing information (sharing), PBL (Problem Based Learning) 2 X 50	Material: the essence of basic opening and closing skills and explanations in learning.  Reference: Hasibuan, JJ Ibrahim. 1988. Teaching and Learning Process of Micro Basic Skills.  Bandung: Youth Works	3%
5	Explaining the nature of basic skills for managing a class and explaining in learning Explaining the nature of basic skills for teaching small groups and individuals and explaining in learning	1.Students are able to explain the nature of basic classroom management skills and explain learning 2.Students are able to explain the nature of basic small group and individual teaching skills and explain learning	Criteria:  1.85 < A < 100 2.80 < A - < 85 3.75 < B < 80 4.70 < B < 75 5.65 < B - < 70 6.60 < C < 65 7.55 < C < 60 8.40 < D < 55 9.0 < E < 40  Form of Assessment:  Practice / Performance	Lectures, Discussions, Sharing information (sharing), PBL (Problem Based Learning) 2 X 50	Material: the essence of basic skills in managing a class and explaining in learning Reader: Wijaya, Cece. 1991. Teacher Ability in the Teaching and Learning Process. Bandung: Rosdakarya	3%
6	Explaining the nature of basic skills for managing a class and explaining in learning Explaining the nature of basic skills for teaching small groups and individuals and explaining in learning	1.Students are able to explain the nature of basic classroom management skills and explain learning 2.Students are able to explain the nature of basic small group and individual teaching skills and explain learning	Criteria:  1.85 < A < 100 2.80 < A - < 85 3.75 < B < 80 4.70 < B < 75 5.65 < B - < 70 6.60 < C < 65 7.55 < C < 60 8.40 < D < 55 9.0 < E < 40  Form of Assessment: Practice / Performance	Lectures, Discussions, Sharing information (sharing), PBL (Problem Based Learning) 2 X 50	Material: the essence of basic classroom management skills and explanations in learning. Reader: Sanjaya, Vienna. 2009. Learning System Planning and Design. Jakarta: Kencana Prenada Media Group	3%
7	Demonstrate basic questioning skills	Students are able to demonstrate basic questioning skills	Criteria:  1.85 < A < 100 2.80 < A - < 85 3.75 < B < 80 4.70 < B < 75 5.65 < B - < 70 6.60 < C < 65 7.55 < C < 60 8.40 < D < 55 9.0 < E < 40  Form of Assessment: Practice / Performance	Lectures, Discussions, Sharing information (sharing), PBL (Problem Based Learning) 2 X 50	Material: basic asking skills Reader: Usman, User. 2001. Becoming a Teacher in the Teaching and Learning Process. Bandung: Rosdakarya	3%

	T		Г	1	ı		
8	Midterm exam	Midterm exam	Criteria:  1.85 < A < 100 2.80 < A < 85 3.75 < B < 80 4.70 < B < 75 5.65 < B < 70 6.60 < C < 65 7.55 < C < 60 8.40 < D < 55 9.0 < E < 40  Forms of Assessment : Participatory Activities, Portfolio Assessment, Practice / Performance	2 X 50			20%
9	Demonstrating basic skills provides reinforcement	Students are able to demonstrate basic skills in providing reinforcement	Criteria:  1.85 < A < 100 2.80 < A - < 85 3.75 < B < 80 4.70 < B < 75 5.65 < B - < 70 6.60 < C < 65 7.55 < C < 60 8.40 < D < 55 9.0 < E < 40  Form of Assessment: Participatory Activities, Practice/Performance	Lectures, Discussions, Sharing information (sharing), PBL (Problem Based Learning) 2 X 50		Material: basic skills provide reinforcement Reference: Sugeng Pranoto et al. 1980. Micro Teaching. Jakarta: Department of Education and Culture  Material: Comprehensive simulation and group discussion References:	5%
10	Understand the application of aspects in the learning process	Students understand the application of aspects in the learning process	Criteria:  1.85 < A < 100 2.80 < A < 85 3.75 < B < 80 4.70 < B < 75 5.65 < B < 70 6.60 < C < 65 7.55 < C < 60 8.40 < D < 55 9.0 < E < 40  Form of Assessment: Participatory Activities, Practice/Performance	Lectures, Discussions, Sharing information (sharing), PBL (Problem Based Learning) 2 X 50		Material: application of aspects in the learning process Reader: Sanjaya, Vienna. 2009. Learning System Planning and Design. Jakarta: Kencana Prenada Media Group	3%
11	Demonstrate basic opening and closing skills	Students are able to demonstrate basic opening and closing skills	Criteria:  1.85 < A < 100 2.80 < A - < 85 3.75 < B < 80 4.70 < B < 75 5.65 < B - < 70 6.60 < C < 65 7.55 < C < 60 8.40 < D < 55 9.0 < E < 40  Forms of Assessment: Participatory Activities, Portfolio Assessment, Practice / Performance	Lectures, Discussions, Sharing information (sharing), PBL (Problem Based Learning) 2 X 50		Material: basic opening and closing skills Reader: Abimanyu. 1984. Skills for Opening and Closing Lessons. Jakarta	3%
12	Practicing Learning Process Design	Students are able to practice the Learning Process Design	Criteria:  1.85 < A < 100 2.80 < A - < 85 3.75 < B < 80 4.70 < B < 75 5.65 < B - < 70 6.60 < C < 65 7.55 < C < 60 8.40 < D < 55 9.0 < E < 40  Form of Assessment: Participatory Activities, Practice/Performance	Lectures, Discussions, Sharing information (sharing), PBL (Problem Based Learning) 2 X 50		Material: Learning Process Design Reader: Usman, User. 2001. Becoming a Teacher in the Teaching and Learning Process. Bandung: Rosdakarya	10%

10	Anglyzing Looming	Studente ere elle	Criteria:	Looturee	<u> </u>	Matarial	207
13	Analyzing Learning Process Design	Students are able to analyze the Learning Process Design	1 85 < A < 100	Lectures, Discussions, Sharing information (sharing), PBL (Problem Based Learning) 2 X 50		Material: Learning Process Design References: Dimyati, et al. 1994. Learning and Learning. Jakarta: Director General of Higher Education.	3%
14	Developing a Learning Process Plan	Students are able to prepare a Learning Process Plan	Criteria:  1.85 < A < 100 2.80 < A - < 85 3.75 < B < 80 4.70 < B < 75 5.65 < B - < 70 6.60 < C < 65 7.55 < C < 60 8.40 < D < 55 9.0 < E < 40  Form of Assessment: Participatory Activities, Practice/Performance	Lectures, Discussions, Sharing information (sharing), PBL (Problem Based Learning) 2 X 50		Material: Learning Process Design Literature: Sugeng Pranoto et al. 1980. Micro Teaching. Jakarta: Department of Education and Culture	10%
15	Analyze the questions given with previously built knowledge	Students are able to analyze the questions given with previously built knowledge	Criteria:  1.85 < A < 100 2.80 < A - < 85 3.75 < B < 80 4.70 < B < 75 5.65 < B - < 70 6.60 < C < 65 7.55 < C < 60 8.40 < D < 55 9.0 < E < 40  Form of Assessment: Participatory Activities, Practice/Performance	Lectures, Discussions, Sharing information (sharing), PBL (Problem Based Learning) 2 X 50		Material: analysis of the questions given with knowledge that has been previously built. Reference: Sanjaya, Vienna. 2009. Learning System Planning and Design. Jakarta: Kencana Prenada Media Group  Material: Develop a learning scenario in the form of a library lesson plan:	12%
16	1.Analyze the questions given with previously built knowledge 2.Overall simulation practice starting from entering the class, opening, material, providing reinforcement, closing the lesson		Criteria:  1.85 < A < 100 2.80 < A - < 85 3.75 < B < 80 4.70 < B < 75 5.65 < B - < 70 6.60 < C < 65 7.55 < C < 60 8.40 < D < 55 9.0 < E < 40  Forms of Assessment: Participatory Activities, Project Results Assessment / Product Assessment, Portfolio Assessment, Practice / Performance	Lectures, Discussions, Sharing information (sharing), PBL (Problem Based Learning) 2 X 50			16%

Evaluation Percentage Recap: Project Based Learning

Lva	Evaluation Fercentage Recap. Froject based Learning						
No	Evaluation	Percentage					
1.	Participatory Activities	31.67%					
2.	Project Results Assessment / Product Assessment	4%					
3.	Portfolio Assessment	11.67%					
4.	Practice / Performance	49.67%					
		97 01%					

- Learning Outcomes of Study Program Graduates (PLO Study Program) are the abilities possessed by each Study
  Program graduate which are the internalization of attitudes, mastery of knowledge and skills according to the level of their
  study program obtained through the learning process.
- 2. The PLO imposed on courses are several learning outcomes of study program graduates (CPL-Study Program) which are used for the formation/development of a course consisting of aspects of attitude, general skills, special skills and knowledge.
- 3. **Program Objectives (PO)** are abilities that are specifically described from the PLO assigned to a course, and are specific to the study material or learning materials for that course.
- 4. **Subject Sub-PO (Sub-PO)** is a capability that is specifically described from the PO that can be measured or observed and is the final ability that is planned at each learning stage, and is specific to the learning material of the course.
- 5. **Indicators for assessing** ability in the process and student learning outcomes are specific and measurable statements that identify the ability or performance of student learning outcomes accompanied by evidence.
- 6. Assessment Criteria are benchmarks used as a measure or measure of learning achievement in assessments based on predetermined indicators. Assessment criteria are guidelines for assessors so that assessments are consistent and unbiased. Criteria can be quantitative or qualitative.
- 7. Forms of assessment: test and non-test.
- 8. **Forms of learning:** Lecture, Response, Tutorial, Seminar or equivalent, Practicum, Studio Practice, Workshop Practice, Field Practice, Research, Community Service and/or other equivalent forms of learning.
- Learning Methods: Small Group Discussion, Role-Play & Simulation, Discovery Learning, Self-Directed Learning,
  Cooperative Learning, Collaborative Learning, Contextual Learning, Project Based Learning, and other equivalent methods.
- 10. Learning materials are details or descriptions of study materials which can be presented in the form of several main points and sub-topics.
- 11. The assessment weight is the percentage of assessment of each sub-PO achievement whose size is proportional to the level of difficulty of achieving that sub-PO, and the total is 100%.
- 12. TM=Face to face, PT=Structured assignments, BM=Independent study.