Document Code



Supporters:

Universitas Negeri Surabaya Faculty of Languages and Arts Undergraduate Study Program Drama Arts, Dance and Music Education

Courses	CODE	CODE Course Family				Credit Weight				SEMESTER		Compilation Date						
Research me	8820903529)		Comp			iculu	m Subj	ects	T=3	P=0	ECTS=	4.77		3		vembe	
AUTHORIZA ⁻	TION	SP Develop	SP Developer					Course	e Clu	ıster (Coordi	nator		Study	Progra	m Coc	rdinat	
		Dr. Setyo Ya	anuartu	ıti, M.S	Si				Dr. Ani	k Juv	wariya	h, M.S	i.		Dr. W	elly Sui M	ryando 1.Pd.	ko, S.P
Learning model	Project Based I	_earning												•				
Program	PLO study pro	gram that is cha	rged to	o the	cours	se												
Learning Outcomes	Program Objectives (PO)																	
(PLO)	PO - 1 Able to design research with the correct methodology																	
	PO - 2	Able to identify, formulate and solve problems																
	PO - 3	Have knowledge of current issues and broad insight related to the field of music																
	PO - 4	Have professional responsibility and ethics																
	PO - 5 Able to communicate effectively																	
	PLO-PO Matrix	K																
		PO-1 PO-2 PO-3 PO-4 PO-5																
	PO Matrix at the	ne end of each le	arning	ı stag	e (Su	b-PO)											
		P.O									Wee	k						
			1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13	14	15	16
		PO-1																
		PO-2																
		PO-3																
		PO-4																
		PO-5																
Short Course Description	variables, opera	e of research, type ational definitions of camples and researc	f varia	bles,	resear	rch de	esigns	, arti	stic the	eories	proble s, dat	ms, pr a colle	oblem tection te	formul echniq	ation, h ues, da	ypothe ata ana	ses/as alysis t	sumption echniqu
	ļ																	

1. Ratna, Nyoman Kutha. 2004. Teori, Metode, dan Teknik Penelitian Sastra. Jakarta: Pustaka Pelajar.

Supporting lecturer

Dr. Eko Wahyuni Rahayu, M.Hum. Dr. I Nengah Mariasa, M.Hum. Dr. Autar Abdillah, S.Sn., M.Si. Dr. Anik Juwariyah, M.Si. Dr. Setyo Yanuartuti, M.Si. Joko Winarko, S.Sn., M.Sn.

Week-	Final abilities of each learning stage	E	valuation	Learning Student As	earning, methods, ssignments, ated time]	Learning materials [References]	Assessment Weight (%)
	(Sub-PO)	Indicator	Criteria & Form	Offline (offline)	Online (online)		
(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)	(6)	(7)	(8)
1	Explain the nature of art research	Able to explain the nature of art research. Able to explain the meaning and types of research	Criteria: 1. Students are able to explain the nature of art research well 2. Students are able to explain the meaning of Art Research correctly 3. Students are able to name the type of research correctly Form of Assessment:	Lectures and Questions and Answers 2 X 50		Material: essence of arts research Reference: Soedarsono, 2001, Research Methodology for Performing Arts and Fine Arts. Bandung: MSPI. Material: definition and types of research Literature: Soedarsono,	3%
			Participatory Activities			2001, Research Methodology for Performing Arts and Fine Arts. Bandung: MSPI.	
2	Mastering topic selection, preliminary studies and interesting research titles	Students are able to choose topics, preliminary studies and interesting research titles	Criteria: Students are able to choose topics, preliminary studies and interesting research titles Form of Assessment: Participatory Activities	Lectures, discussions, questions and answers 4 X 50		Material: topic, preliminary study and research title References: Drafting Team. 2006. Guide to Writing and Grading Thesis at State University of Surabaya.	3%
3	Mastering topic selection, preliminary studies and interesting research titles	Students are able to choose topics, preliminary studies and interesting research titles	Criteria: Students are able to choose topics, preliminary studies and interesting research titles Form of Assessment: Participatory Activities, Portfolio Assessment	Lectures, discussions, questions and answers 4 X 50		Material: topic, preliminary study and research title Reference: Soedarsono, 2001, Research Methodology for Performing Arts and Fine Arts. Bandung: MSPI.	3%
4	Understand the preparation of qualitative and quantitative research proposals and research reports	Students are able to differentiate between qualitative and quantitative research proposals	Criteria: Students are able to explain the differences between quantitative research and qualitative research correctly Form of Assessment: Participatory Activities	Lecture, Discussion, question and answer 1 X 1		Material: qualitative and quantitative research proposals Reference: Soedarsono, 2001, Research Methodology for Performing and Fine Arts. Bandung: MSPI.	3%
5	Understand the preparation of qualitative and quantitative research proposals and research reports	Students are able to differentiate between qualitative and quantitative research proposals	Criteria: Students are able to explain the differences between quantitative research and qualitative research correctly Form of Assessment: Portfolio Assessment	Lecture, Discussion, question and answer 1 X 1		Material: qualitative and quantitative research proposals References: Drafting Team. 2006. Guide to Writing and Grading Thesis at State University of Surabaya.	3%

6	Mastering the writing of the Introduction (background of the problem, problem formulation, objectives and benefits of the research). Mastering the writing of literature reviews, bibliography, quotations and other writing systems in the thesis	Students are able to write an introduction (background of the problem, problem formulation, objectives and benefits of research). Students are able to write a literature review, bibliography, quotations and other writing systems in their thesis	Criteria: 1. Students are able to write an introduction (background of the problem, problem formulation, objectives and benefits of the research 2. Students are able to compile literature reviews, bibliography, quotations and other writing systems in their thesis Form of Assessment: Participatory Activities, Portfolio Assessment	Lectures, discussions, questions and answers and assignments 6 X 50	Material: Introduction writing (background of the problem, problem formulation, objectives and benefits of research. Reference: Soedarsono, 2001, Research Methodology for Performing and Fine Arts. Bandung: MSPI. Material: writing literature reviews, bibliography, quotations and other writing systems in theses. Literature: Drafting Team. 2006. Guide to Writing and Grading Thesis at State University of Surabaya.	3%
7	Mastering the writing of the Introduction (background of the problem, problem formulation, objectives and benefits of the research). Mastering the writing of literature reviews, bibliography, quotations and other writing systems in the thesis	Students are able to write an introduction (background of the problem, problem formulation, objectives and benefits of research). Students are able to write a literature review, bibliography, quotations and other writing systems in their thesis	Criteria: 1.Students are able to write an introduction (background of the problem, problem formulation, objectives and benefits of the research 2.Students are able to compile literature reviews, bibliography, quotations and other writing systems in their thesis Form of Assessment: Portfolio Assessment	Lectures, discussions, questions and answers and assignments 6 X 50	Material: writing literature reviews, bibliography, quotations and other writing systems in theses. Literature: Drafting Team. 2006. Guide to Writing and Grading Thesis at State University of Surabaya. Material: Introduction writing (background of the problem, problem formulation, objectives and benefits of research. Reference: Soedarsono, 2001, Research Methodology for Performing and Fine Arts. Bandung: MSPI.	3%

			<u> </u>		T		1
8	Sub Summative Exam	Students are able to write an introduction (background of the problem, problem formulation, objectives and benefits of research). Students are able to write a literature review, bibliography, quotations and other writing systems in their thesis	Criteria: 1. Students are able to write an introduction (background of the problem, problem formulation, objectives and benefits of the research 2. Students are able to compile literature reviews, bibliography, quotations and other writing systems in their thesis Form of Assessment: Portfolio Assessment	Lectures, discussions, questions and answers and assignments 6 X 50		Material: Introduction (Background of the problem, problem formulation, research objectives and benefits. Reference: Spradley, James P., 2007, Ethnographic Method. Yogyakarta: Tiara Wacana. Material: Literature Review, Bibliography, Quotations and other writing systems in thesis Literature: Drafting Team. 2005. General Guidelines for Improved Indonesian Spelling. Jakarta: Language Center of the Department of National Education.	20%
9	Understanding Research Methods/CHAPTER III Research Proposals which include Research Approaches, data sources, research variables, sampling techniques, various data collection techniques, data analysis and data validity	Students are able to explain in their proposals matters related to research methods, which include research approaches, data sources, research variables, sampling techniques, various data collection techniques, data analysis and data validity.	Criteria: Students are able to understand the parts of research methods well and organize them in a research proposal Form of Assessment: Participatory Activities	Lectures, discussions and assignments 2 X 50		Material: parts of research methods and preparation of research proposals References: Bungin, HMBurhan. 2008. Qualitative Research, Communication, Economics, Public Policy and Other Social Sciences. Jakarta: Kencana. Material: parts of research methods and preparing research proposals Reference: Sugiono, 2005, Understanding Qualitative Research. Bandung: CV. Alphabet.	3%

10	Understanding Research	Students are able to	Criteria: Students are able to	Lectures, discussions and assignments		t erial: earch	3%
	Methods/CHAPTER III Research Proposals which include Research Approaches, data sources, research variables, sampling techniques, various data collection techniques, data analysis and data validity	explain in their proposals matters related to research methods, which include research approaches, data sources, research variables, sampling techniques, various data collection techniques, data analysis and data validity.	understand the parts of research methods well and organize them in a research proposal Form of Assessment: Participatory Activities	2 X 50	meth inclureses approduction of the control of the	chods, which ude earch roraches, a sources, earch ables, anniques, ous data ection anniques, a analysis data dity. cerence: edarsono, 11, Research chodology for forming and earch chods, which ude earch chods, which ude earch chodology for forming and earch chodology for forming and earch chods, which ude earch chodology for forming and the forming	
11	Understanding the preparation of art research proposals (Chapters I to III) (Used as UTS)	Students are able to prepare art research proposals well	Criteria: Students are able to prepare art research proposals well Form of Assessment: Portfolio Assessment	Assignment 2 X 50	resea prope (Cha III) Refe Soec 2001 Meth Perfc and I Banc MSP Mate resea prope (Cha III) Refe Draft 2006 Writin Graaa at St. Uniw.	terial: art earch posal apters I to erences: fting Team. 16. Guide to ting and ding Thesis	3%

12	Understanding arts research proposals	Students can review art research proposals well	Criteria: Students can correct art research proposals in detail and well. Form of Assessment: Portfolio Assessment	proposal that has been prepared 2 X 50	Material: art research proposal (Chapters I to III) References: Bungin, HMBurhan. 2008. Qualitative Research, Communication, Economics, Public Policy and Other Social Sciences. Jakarta: Kencana. Material: art research proposal (Chapters I to III) Reference: Sugiono, 2005, Understanding Qualitative Research. Bandung: CV. Alphabet. Material: art research proposal (Chapters I to III) Reference: Sugiono, 2005, Understanding Qualitative Research. Bandung: CV. Alphabet. Material: art research proposal (Chapters I to III) References: Spradley, James P., 2007, Ethnographic Method. Yogyakarta: Tiara Wacana.	3%
13	Understand the importance of the substance of content and correct writing in an arts research proposal	Students are able to revise each part of their research proposal (both in substance and writing) properly and correctly. Students are able to add important points to their artistic research proposal. Students are able to search for literature that supports their research proposal, both from scientific journals and textbooks that are relevant and up to date	Criteria: 1.Students are able to revise each part of their research proposal (both in substance and writing) properly and correctly. 2.Students are able to add important points to an art research proposal carefully 3.Students are able to search for literature that supports their research proposals, both from scientific journals and textbooks that are relevant and up to date Form of Assessment: Participatory Activities	DiscussionAssignment 6 X 50	Material: literature that supports the research proposal, both from scientific journals and textbooks that are relevant and up to date. Library: Drafting Team. 2005. General Guidelines for Improved Indonesian Spelling. Jakarta: Language Center of the Department of National Education.	3%

14	Understand the importance of the substance of content and correct writing in an arts research proposal	Students are able to revise each part of their research proposal (both in substance and writing) properly and correctly. Students are able to add important points to their artistic research proposal. Students are able to search for literature that supports their research proposal, both from scientific journals and textbooks that are relevant and up to date	Criteria: 1. Students are able to revise each part of their research proposal (both in substance and writing) properly and correctly. 2. Students are able to add important points to an art research proposal carefully 3. Students are able to search for literature that supports their research proposals, both from scientific journals and textbooks that are relevant and up to date Form of Assessment: Portfolio Assessment	DiscussionAssignment 2 X 50	Material: literature that supports the research proposal, both from scientific journals and textbooks that are relevant and up to date. Library: Soedarsono, 2001, Research Methodology for Performing and Fine Arts. Bandung: MSPI.	3%
15	Understand the importance of the substance of content and correct writing in an arts research proposal	Students are able to revise each part of their research proposal (both in substance and writing) properly and correctly. Students are able to add important points to their artistic research proposal. Students are able to search for literature that supports their research proposal, both from scientific journals and textbooks that are relevant and up to date	Criteria: 1.Students are able to revise each part of their research proposal (both in substance and writing) properly and correctly. 2.Students are able to add important points to an art research proposal carefully 3.Students are able to search for literature that supports their research proposals, both from scientific journals and textbooks that are relevant and up to date Form of Assessment: Portfolio Assessment	DiscussionAssignment 6 X 50	Material: literature that supports the research proposal, both from scientific journals and textbooks that are relevant and up to date. Library: Drafting Team. 2006. Guide to Writing and Grading Thesis at State University of Surabaya.	5%
16	Able to understand the Preparation of Art Research Proposals (UAS)	students are able to submit a revised research proposal	Criteria: students are able to submit revised research proposals in a timely manner Form of Assessment : Portfolio Assessment	Gathering proposals and reflecting on the process of preparing a 2 X 50 proposal	Material: preparation of a research proposal References: Drafting Team. 2006. Guide to Writing and Grading Thesis at State University of Surabaya.	30%

Evaluation Percentage Recap: Project Based Learning

	indiction i or our total or its	
No	Evaluation	Percentage
1.	Participatory Activities	21%
2.	Portfolio Assessment	73%
		9.4%

- Learning Outcomes of Study Program Graduates (PLO Study Program) are the abilities possessed by each Study Program
 graduate which are the internalization of attitudes, mastery of knowledge and skills according to the level of their study program
 obtained through the learning process.
- 2. The PLO imposed on courses are several learning outcomes of study program graduates (CPL-Study Program) which are used for the formation/development of a course consisting of aspects of attitude, general skills, special skills and knowledge.
- 3. **Program Objectives (PO)** are abilities that are specifically described from the PLO assigned to a course, and are specific to the study material or learning materials for that course.
- 4. Subject Sub-PO (Sub-PO) is a capability that is specifically described from the PO that can be measured or observed and is the final ability that is planned at each learning stage, and is specific to the learning material of the course.
- Indicators for assessing abilities in the process and student learning outcomes are specific and measurable statements that identify the abilities or performance of student learning outcomes accompanied by evidence.
- Assessment Criteria are benchmarks used as a measure or measure of learning achievement in assessments based on predetermined indicators. Assessment criteria are guidelines for assessors so that assessments are consistent and unbiased. Criteria can be quantitative or qualitative.
- 7. Forms of assessment: test and non-test.
- 8. Forms of learning: Lecture, Response, Tutorial, Seminar or equivalent, Practicum, Studio Practice, Workshop Practice, Field Practice, Research, Community Service and/or other equivalent forms of learning.
- 9. Learning Methods: Small Group Discussion, Role-Play & Simulation, Discovery Learning, Self-Directed Learning, Cooperative Learning, Collaborative Learning, Contextual Learning, Project Based Learning, and other equivalent methods.
- 10. Learning materials are details or descriptions of study materials which can be presented in the form of several main points and sub-topics.
- 11. The assessment weight is the percentage of assessment of each sub-PO achievement whose size is proportional to the level of difficulty of achieving that sub-PO, and the total is 100%.
- 12. TM=Face to face, PT=Structured assignments, BM=Independent study.