



## Universitas Negeri Surabaya Faculty of Languages and Arts Undergraduate Study Program Drama Arts, Dance and Music Education

UNESA						_uu	can	011										
		SE	ME	ST	EF	R L	EΑ	RN	INC	G P	LA	N						
Courses		CODE				Cou	ırse F	amily	′		Credit	Weig	ht		SEMES	STER	Cor	mpilatior te
Learning Plar	nning	882090229	8								T=2	P=0 E	CTS=3	3.18		3	Aug 202	gust 21, 23
AUTHORIZAT	TION	SP Develo	per						Со	urse	Cluste	er Coo	rdinato	or :	Study	Progra	m Cod	ordinator
		Dr. Trisakti,	M.Si						Dr.	Trisa	kti, M.	Si			Dr. We	elly Sury M	yando .Pd.	ko, S.Pd.
Learning model	Project Based Lea	rning																
Program	PLO study progra	am that is char	ged t	o the	cou	rse												
Learning Outcomes	Program Objectiv	ves (PO)																
(PLO)	<b>PO - 1</b> U	Inderstanding the	natuı	e of le	earnir	ng pla	nning											
	PO - 2 A	nalyzing the com	ponei	nts of	the le	arnin	g impl	emen	itation	plan								
		repare a Learnino																
		repare a learning	•							1A)								
	PLO-PO Matrix	dentify the strengt	hs an	d wea	aknes	ses o	f RPP	and I	MA									
		P.O PO-1 PO-2 PO-3 PO-4 PO-5																
	PO Matrix at the	end of each lea	rninç	j staç	ge (S	ub-P	0)											
		P.O		ı	ı	ı		ı	ı	1	Wee							
			1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13	14	15	16
		PO-1																
		PO-2																
		PO-3																
		PO-4																
		PO-5																
Short Course Description	The Learning Plan current issues in lea	ning course exar arning planning th	nines rough	the indiv	nature idual	e of leand g	earnin Iroup a	ıg pla assigr	nning nment	ı, leai s with	ning   n case	olannir study	ng mode activitie	els, le	earning	plannii	ng co	mponents
References	Main:																	
							_											

- 1. 1. Abbie H. Brown, and Timothy D. Green, 2016. The Essentials of Instructional Design: : connecting fundamental principles with process and practice. New York: Routladge
- 2. <sup>°</sup>2. Jaya, Farida. 2019. Perencanaan Pembelajaran, Fakultas Ilmu Tarbiyah dan Keguruan UIN Sumatra Utara.
- 3. 3. Jung, H., Kim, Y.R., Lee, H., & Shin, Y. (2019). Advanced Instructional Design for Successive E-Learning: Based on the Successive Approximation Model (SAM). International Jl. on E-Learning. Vol. 18(2): 191-204.
- 4. 4. Savage, Jonathan. 2015. Lesson Planning Key Concepts and Skills for Teacher. London: Routledge
- 5. 6. Arends, Richard I. (2004.). Guide to Field Experiences ad Portofolio Development: to accompany ;learning to teach
- 6. 7. Drs. Wina Sanjaya, M.Pd. 2008. Perencanaan dan Desain Sistem Pembelajaran. Jakarta: Kencana
- 7. 8. Prof. Dr. Oemar Hamalik. 2008. Perencanaan Pengajaran Berdasarkan Pendekatan Sistem. Jakarta: PT. Bumi Aksara
- 8. 9. Trianto, S.Pd., M.Pd. 2008. Mendesain Pembelajaran Kontektual. Jakarta: Publiser

## Supporters:

- 1. 10. Abdul Majid. 2005. Perencanaan Pembelajaran Mengembangkan Standar Kompetensi Guru. Bandung: PT Remaja Rosdakarya
- 11. Kemdikbud. 2018. Peraturan Menteri Pendidikan Dan Kebudayaan Republik Indonesia Nomor 37 Tahun 2018 Tentang Perubahan Atas Peraturan Menteri Pendidikan Dan Kebudayaan Nomor 24 Tahun 2016 Tentang Kompetensi Inti Dan Kompetensi Dasar Pelajaran Pada Kurikulum 2013 Pada Pendidikan Dasar Dan Pendidikan Menengah
- 3. 12. Arikunto, Suharsimi / I. Jabar, Cepi Safruddin Abdul. 2008. Evaluasi program pendidikan:pedoman teoritis bagi mahasiswa dan praktisi pendidikan. Jakarta:BumiAksara
- 4. 13. Daryanto. 2013. Menyusun Modul: Bahan Ajar Untuk Persiapan Guru Mengajar. Yogyakarta: Penerbit Gava Media
- 5. 14. Prastowo, Andi. 2015. Panduan Kreatif Membuat Bahan ajar Inovatif. Yogyakarta: Diva Press

## Supporting lecturer

Dr. Trisakti, M.Si.

Week-	Final abilities of each learning stage	Eval	uation	Leari Studer	elp Learning, ning methods, nt Assignments, stimated time]	Learning materials	Assessment Weight (%)
	(Sub-PO)	Indicator	Criteria & Form	Offline ( offline )	Online ( online )	[ References ]	J ( )
(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)	(6)	(7)	(8)
1	Understand the essence of Learning Planning	1.1. Explain the meaning, principles, characteristics. Learning planning. 2.2. Explain the benefits, functions, criteria for learning planning. 3.3. Explain the preparation and approach to the learning planning system.	Criteria: Criteria: - Accuracy in explaining the meaning, principles, characteristics, learning planning - Accuracy in explaining the benefits, functions, criteria for learning planning - Accuracy in explaining the preparation and system of learning planning Form: - Question and answer - Assignment  Form of Assessment: Participatory Activities, Tests	Problem based learning (Lecture, Question and Answer, Discussion) 2 X 50	Problem based learning (Lecture, Question and Answer, Discussion) 2x50	Material: The Essence of Learning Planning References: 2. Jaya, Farida. 2019. Learning Planning, Faculty of Tarbiyah and Teacher Training, UIN North Sumatra.  Material: The Essence of Learning Planning References: 7. Drs. Wina Sanjaya, M.Pd. 2008. Learning System Planning and Design. Jakarta: Kencana  Material: The Essence of Learning Planning and Design. Jakarta: Kencana  Material: The Essence of Learning Planning Reference: 10. Abdul Majid. 2005. Learning Planning Reference: 10. Abdul Majid. 2005. Learning Planning Develops Teacher Competency Standards. Bandung: PT Teen Rosdakarya	4%

_					_		
2	Understand the essence of Learning Planning	1.1. Explain the meaning, principles, characteristics. Learning planning. 2.2. Explain the benefits, functions, criteria for learning planning. 3.3. Explain the preparation and approach to the learning planning system.	Criteria: Criteria: - Accuracy in explaining the meaning, principles, characteristics, learning planning - Accuracy in explaining the benefits, functions, criteria for learning planning - Accuracy in explaining the preparation and system of learning planning Form: - Question and answer - Assignment  Form of Assessment: Project Results Assessment / Product Assessment	Problem based learning (Lecture, Question and Answer, Discussion) 2 X 50	Problem based learning (Lecture, Question and Answer, Discussion) 2x50	Material: Learning planning principles References: 2. Jaya, Farida. 2019. Learning Planning, Faculty of Tarbiyah and Teacher Training, UIN North Sumatra.  Material: The essence of learning planning Reference: 7. Drs. Wina Sanjaya, M.Pd. 2008. Learning System Planning and Design. Jakarta: Kencana  Material: The Essence of Learning Planning and Design. Jakarta: Kencana  Material: The Essence of Learning Planning Planning Planning Reference: 10. Abdul Majid. 2005. Learning Planning Develops Teacher Competency Standards. Bandung: PT Teen Rosdakarya	2%
3	Develop planning programs	1.1. Explain the meaning of learning implementation plans/teaching modules 2.2. Identify the components of the learning implementation plan	Criteria: Criteria: - Accuracy in explaining the meaning of the learning implementation plan/teaching module - Accuracy in identifying the components of the RPP/teaching module  Form of Assessment: Participatory Activities	Case Study: Lecture, Question and Answer, Discussion 2 X 50	Case Study: Lecture, Question and Answer, Discussion 2x50	Material: Learning plan components References: 2. Jaya, Farida. 2019. Learning Planning, Faculty of Tarbiyah and Teacher Training, UIN North Sumatra.  Material: Learning plan components References: 8. Prof. Dr. Oemar Hamalik. 2008. Teaching Planning Based on a Systems Approach. Jakarta: PT. Literary Earth	4%

4	Develop planning programs	1.1. Explain the meaning of learning implementation plans/teaching modules 2.2. Identify the components of the learning implementation plan	Criteria: Criteria: Criteria: Criteria: - Accuracy in explaining the meaning of the learning implementation plan/teaching module - Accuracy in identifying the components of the RPP/teaching module  Form of Assessment: Project Results Assessment / Product Assessment	Case Study: Lecture, Question and Answer, Discussion 2 X 50	Case Study: Lecture, Question and Answer, Discussion 2x50	Material: Learning plan components References: 7. Drs. Wina Sanjaya, M.Pd. 2008. Learning System Planning and Design. Jakarta: Kencana  Material: Learning plan components References: 10. Abdul Majid. 2005. Learning Planning Develops Teacher Competency Standards. Bandung: PT Teen Rosdakarya	5%
5	Develop competency-based learning	1. Prepare a lesson plan and its completeness	Criteria: Criteria: - Accuracy in formulating learning objectives - Accuracy in the formulation of teaching materials - Accuracy in the formulation of learning steps - Accuracy in the formulation of learning evaluations Form: Assignments and attendance  Form of Assessment: Participatory Activities	Method: Project based learning Assignment: Individuals Prepare lesson plans for the 2 X 50 Arts and Culture competency	Method: Project based learning Assignment: Individuals Prepare lesson plans for the Arts and Culture competency 2x50	Material: learning implementation plan Reference: 10. Abdul Majid. 2005. Learning Planning Develops Teacher Competency Standards. Bandung: PT Teen Rosdakarya  Material: learning implementation plan Reference: 10. Abdul Majid. 2005. Learning Planning Develops Teacher Competency Standards. Bandung: PT Teen Rosdakarya	2%
6	Develop competency-based learning	Prepare a lesson plan and its completeness	Criteria: Criteria: - Accuracy in formulating learning objectives - Accuracy in the formulation of teaching materials - Accuracy in the formulation of learning steps - Accuracy in the formulation of learning evaluations Form: Assignments and attendance  Form of Assessment: Participatory Activities	Method: Case Study Assignment: Individuals Prepare lesson plans for the 2 X 50 Arts and Culture competency	Method: Case Study Assignment: Individuals Prepare lesson plans for the Arts and Culture competency 2x50	Material: learning implementation plan Reference: 10. Abdul Majid. 2005. Learning Planning Develops Teacher Competency Standards. Bandung: PT Teen Rosdakarya  Material: learning implementation plan Reference: 10. Abdul Majid. 2005. Learning Planning Develops Teacher Competency Standards. Bandung: PT Teen Rosdakarya	2%

7	Develop competency-based learning	Prepare a lesson plan and its completeness	Criteria: Criteria: - Accuracy in formulating learning objectives - Accuracy in the formulation of teaching materials - Accuracy in the formulation of learning steps - Accuracy in the formulation of learning evaluations Form: Assignments and attendance  Form of Assessment: Project Results Assessment / Product Assessment	Method: Case Study Assignment: Individuals Prepare lesson plans for the 2 X 50 Arts and Culture competency	Method: Case Study Assignment: Individuals Prepare lesson plans for the Arts and Culture competency 2x50	Material: learning implementation plan Reference: 10. Abdul Majid. 2005. Learning Planning Develops Teacher Competency Standards. Bandung: PT Teen Rosdakarya  Material: learning implementation plan Reference: 10. Abdul Majid. 2005. Learning Planning Develops Teacher Competency Standards. Bandung: PT Teen Rosdakarya	8%
8	UTS		Criteria: - Accuracy in formulating learning objectives - Accuracy in formulating teaching materials - Accuracy in formulating learning steps - Accuracy in formulating learning evaluations  Form of Assessment : Project Results Assessment / Product Assessment	Written test 2 x 50	Written test 2x50	Material: Learning Implementation Plan Literature: 2. Jaya, Farida. 2019. Learning Planning, Faculty of Tarbiyah and Teacher Training, UIN North Sumatra.  Material: Learning Implementation Plan Reference: 7. Drs. Wina Sanjaya, M.Pd. 2008. Learning System Planning and Design. Jakarta: Kencana  Material: Learning Implementation Plan Library: 8. Prof. Dr. Oemar Hamalik. 2008. Teaching Planning Based on a Systems Approach. Jakarta: PT. Literary Earth	20%

			1	T	1		
9	Preparing Teaching Modules (MA) Arts and Culture	Developing steps for a scientific approach in learning tools with a 21st century approach, Literacy and PPK	Form of Assessment: Participatory Activities	Case Study Assignment: Individuals Develop Teaching Modules on Arts and Culture 2 X 50 competencies	Case Study Assignment: Individual Develops Teaching Module on Arts and Culture competency 2x50	Material: Teaching Module Library: 14. Prastowo, Andi. 2015. Creative Guide to Creating Innovative Teaching Materials. Yogyakarta: Diva Press  Material: Developing teaching modules References: 1. Abbie H. Brown, and Timothy D. Green, 2016. The Essentials of Instructional Design:: connecting fundamental principles with process and practice. New York: Routladge  Material: Learning planning References: 4. Savage, Jonathan. 2015. Lesson Planning Key Concepts and Skills for Teachers. London: Routledge  Material: Portfolio development References: 6. Arends, Richard I. (2004). Guide to Field Experiences ad Portfolio Development: to accompany ;learning to teach	1%

			T	1	T	, ,	
10	Preparing Teaching Modules (MA) Arts and Culture	Developing steps for a scientific approach in learning tools with a 21st century approach, Literacy and PPK	Form of Assessment: Participatory Activities	Project based learning  Assignment: Individual Develops Teaching Module on Arts and Culture competency 2 X 50	Project based learning Assignment: Individual Develops Teaching Module on Arts and Culture competency 2x50	Material: Teaching Module Library: 14. Prastowo, Andi. 2015. Creative Guide to Creating Innovative Teaching Materials. Yogyakarta: Diva Press  Material: Developing teaching modules References: 1. Abbie H. Brown, and Timothy D. Green, 2016. The Essentials of Instructional Design: : connecting fundamental principles with process and practice. New York: Routladge  Material: Learning planning References: 4. Savage, Jonathan. 2015. Lesson Planning Key Concepts and Skills for Teachers. London: Routledge  Material: Portfolio development References: 6	1%
						Material: Portfolio development References: 6. Arends, Richard I. (2004). Guide	
						to Field Experiences ad Portfolio Development: to accompany ;learning to teach	

	,		1	1	T	, ,	
11	Preparing Teaching Modules (MA) Arts and Culture	Prepare teaching modules and their completeness	Criteria: Criteria: - Accuracy in formulating learning objectives - Accuracy in compiling ATP - Accuracy in formulating learning steps - Accuracy in formulating learning evaluation Form: Assignment and attendance  Form of Assessment: Participatory Activities	Project based learning  Assignment: Individual Develops Teaching Module on Arts and Culture competency 2 X 50	Project based learning Assignment: Individual Develops Teaching Module on Arts and Culture competency 2x50	Material: Teaching Module Library: 14. Prastowo, Andi. 2015. Creative Guide to Creating Innovative Teaching Materials. Yogyakarta: Diva Press  Material: Developing teaching modules References: 1. Abbie H. Brown, and Timothy D. Green, 2016. The Essentials of Instructional Design: : connecting fundamental principles with process and practice. New York: Routladge  Material: Learning planning References: 4. Savage, Jonathan. 2015. Lesson Planning Key Concepts and Skills for Teachers. London: Routledge  Material: Portfolio development References: 6. Arends, Richard I. (2004). Guide to Field Experiences ad Portfolio Development: to accompany ; learning to teach	2%

_	1		1	1	T		
12	Preparing Teaching Modules (MA) Arts and Culture	Prepare teaching modules and their completeness	Criteria: Criteria: - Accuracy in formulating learning objectives - Accuracy in compiling ATP - Accuracy in formulating learning steps - Accuracy in formulating learning evaluation Form: Assignment and attendance  Form of Assessment: Project Results Assessment / Product Assessment	Project based learning  Assignment: Individual Develops Teaching Module on Arts and Culture competency 2 X 50	Project based learning Assignment: Individual Develops Teaching Module on Arts and Culture competency 2x50	Material: Teaching Module Library: 14. Prastowo, Andi. 2015. Creative Guide to Creating Innovative Teaching Materials. Yogyakarta: Diva Press  Material: Developing teaching modules References: 1. Abbie H. Brown, and Timothy D. Green, 2016. The Essentials of Instructional Design: connecting fundamental principles with process and practice. New York: Routladge  Material: Learning planning References: 4. Savage, Jonathan. 2015. Lesson Planning Key Concepts and Skills for Teachers. London: Routledge  Material: Portfolio development References: 6. Arends, Richard I. (2004). Guide to Field Experiences ad Portfolio Development: to accompany Jearning to teach	10%

	,		T	1	T	T	
13	Reflect on the learning implementation plans/teaching modules prepared	1.1. Able to identify the advantages of the RPP/teaching module that has been prepared 2.2. Able to identify weaknesses in the RPP/teaching module that has been prepared 3.3. Able to make improvements to lesson plans/teaching modules based on the results of reflection	Criteria: Criteria: - Clarity in identifying the strengths of the teaching module/RPP - Clarity in identifying the weaknesses of the teaching module/RPP in the formulation of learning steps - Accuracy in the plan for improving the teaching module Form: Assignment and presentation  Form of Assessment: Participatory Activities	Method: Project based learning  Assignment: Group: Identify the strengths and weaknesses of the RPP that has been prepared, then make improvements to the RPP/teaching module 2 X 50	Method: Project based learning Assignment: Group: Identify the strengths and weaknesses of the RPP that has been prepared, then make improvements to the RPP/teaching module 2x50	Material: The essence of planning References: 1. Abbie H. Brown, and Timothy D. Green, 2016. The Essentials of Instructional Design: : connecting fundamental principles with process and practice. New York: Routladge  Material: The essence of planning References: 2. Jaya, Farida. 2019. Learning Planning, Faculty of Tarbiyah and Teacher Training, UIN North Sumatra.  Material: Development of learning planning References: 7. Drs. Wina Sanjaya, M.Pd. 2008. Learning System Planning and Design. Jakarta: Kencana  Material: Learning implementation plan References: 8. Prof. Dr. Oemar Hamalik. 2008. Teaching Planning Banend on a Systems Approach. Jakarta: PT. Literary Earth	2%

					1		
14	Reflect on the learning implementation plans/teaching modules prepared	1.1. Able to identify the advantages of the RPP/teaching module that has been prepared 2.2. Able to identify weaknesses in the RPP/teaching module that has been prepared 3.3. Able to make improvements to lesson plans/teaching modules based on the results of reflection	Criteria: Criteria: - Clarity in identifying the strengths of the teaching module/RPP - Clarity in identifying the weaknesses of the teaching module/RPP in the formulation of learning steps - Accuracy in the plan for improving the teaching module Form: Assignment and presentation  Form of Assessment: Participatory Activities	Method: Project based learning  Assignment: Group: Identify the strengths and weaknesses of the RPP that has been prepared, then make improvements to the RPP/teaching module 2 X 50	Method: Project based learning 2x50	Material: The essence of planning References: 1. Abbie H. Brown, and Timothy D. Green, 2016. The Essentials of Instructional Design: : connecting fundamental principles with process and practice. New York: Routladge  Material: The essence of planning References: 2. Jaya, Farida. 2019. Learning Planning, Faculty of Tarbiyah and Teacher Training, UIN North Sumatra.  Material: Development of learning planning References: 7. Drs. Wina Sanjaya, M.Pd. 2008. Learning System Planning and Design. Jakarta: Kencana  Material: Learning implementation plan References: 8. Prof. Dr. Oemar Hamalik. 2008. Teaching Planning Based on a Systems Approach. Jakarta: PT. Literary Earth	2%

	1		T	T	1	,	
15	Reflect on the learning implementation plans/teaching modules prepared	1.1. Able to identify the advantages of the RPP/teaching module that has been prepared 2.2. Able to identify weaknesses in the RPP/teaching module that has been prepared 3.3. Able to make improvements to lesson plans/teaching modules based on the results of reflection	Criteria: Criteria: - Clarity in identifying the strengths of the teaching module/RPP - Clarity in identifying the weaknesses of the teaching module/RPP in the formulation of learning steps - Accuracy in the plan for improving the teaching module Form: Assignment and presentation  Form of Assessment: Project Results Assessment / Product Assessment	Method: Project based learning  Assignment: Group: Identify the strengths and weaknesses of the RPP that has been prepared, then make improvements to the RPP/teaching module 2 X 50	Method: Project based learning  Assignment: Group: Identify the strengths and weaknesses of the RPP that has been prepared, then make improvements to the RPP/teaching module 2x50	Material: The essence of planning References: 1. Abbie H. Brown, and Timothy D. Green, 2016. The Essentials of Instructional Design: : connecting fundamental principles with process and practice. New York: Routladge  Material: The essence of planning References: 2. Jaya, Farida. 2019. Learning Planning, Faculty of Tarbiyah and Teacher Training, UIN North Sumatra.  Material: Development of learning planning References: 7. Drs. Wina Sanjaya, M.Pd. 2008. Learning System Planning and Design. Jakarta: Kencana  Material: Learning implementation plan References: 8. Prof. Dr. Oemar Hamalik. 2008. Teaching Planning Based on a Systems Approach. Jakarta: PT. Literary Earth	5%

16	UAS	Form of Assessment: Project Results Assessment / Product Assessment	ment :  Results Assignment: nent / Individual:	Material: Learning Planning References: 1. Abbie H. Brown, and Timothy D. Green, 2016. The Essentials of Instructional Design: : connecting fundamental principles with process and practice. New York: Routladge	
				Material: Learning Planning References: 2. Jaya, Farida. 2019. Learning Planning, Faculty of Tarbiyah and Teacher Training, UIN North Sumatra.	
				Material: Learning Planning References: 4. Savage, Jonathan. 2015. Lesson Planning Key Concepts and Skills for Teachers. London: Routledge	

Evaluation Percentage Recap: Project Based Learning

No	Evaluation	Percentage			
1.	Participatory Activities	18%			
2.	Project Results Assessment / Product Assessment	80%			
3.	Test	2%			
		100%			

## Notes

- Learning Outcomes of Study Program Graduates (PLO Study Program) are the abilities possessed by each Study
  Program graduate which are the internalization of attitudes, mastery of knowledge and skills according to the level of their
  study program obtained through the learning process.
- The PLO imposed on courses are several learning outcomes of study program graduates (CPL-Study Program) which are used for the formation/development of a course consisting of aspects of attitude, general skills, special skills and knowledge.
- 3. **Program Objectives (PO)** are abilities that are specifically described from the PLO assigned to a course, and are specific to the study material or learning materials for that course.
- 4. **Subject Sub-PO (Sub-PO)** is a capability that is specifically described from the PO that can be measured or observed and is the final ability that is planned at each learning stage, and is specific to the learning material of the course.
- Indicators for assessing abilities in the process and student learning outcomes are specific and measurable statements that identify the abilities or performance of student learning outcomes accompanied by evidence.
- Assessment Criteria are benchmarks used as a measure or measure of learning achievement in assessments based on
  predetermined indicators. Assessment criteria are guidelines for assessors so that assessments are consistent and unbiased.
  Criteria can be quantitative or qualitative.
- 7. Forms of assessment: test and non-test.
- 8. **Forms of learning:** Lecture, Response, Tutorial, Seminar or equivalent, Practicum, Studio Practice, Workshop Practice, Field Practice, Research, Community Service and/or other equivalent forms of learning.
- Learning Methods: Small Group Discussion, Role-Play & Simulation, Discovery Learning, Self-Directed Learning, Cooperative Learning, Collaborative Learning, Contextual Learning, Project Based Learning, and other equivalent methods.
- 10. Learning materials are details or descriptions of study materials which can be presented in the form of several main points and sub-topics.
- 11. The assessment weight is the percentage of assessment of each sub-PO achievement whose size is proportional to the level of difficulty of achieving that sub-PO, and the total is 100%.
- 12. TM=Face to face, PT=Structured assignments, BM=Independent study.