

Universitas Negeri Surabaya Faculty of Economics and Business

Document Code

| UNES | | Economic Education Undergraduate Study Program | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
|----------------------------------|--------------|---|---|--|--------------|------------------|---------------------------|----------------------|--------------------|-------------------------|--|----------------|------------------|---|--------------------------|--------------|-----------|-----------------------|
| | | | | SE | MES | TEF | R LE | AR | NIN | G P | LAN | 1 | | | | | | |
| Courses | | | CODE | CODE Course Fa | | | amily | | | Credit Weight | | SEM | ESTER | | Compilation Date | | | |
| ECONOMIC EDUCATION STATISTICS | | | 8720303371 | 8720303371 Compulsor | | oulsor | ry Study Program Subjects | | | T=3 I | P=0 E | CTS=4.77 | | 3 | | July 17, 202 | | |
| AUTHORIZATION | | | SP Develop | er | | | | | Co | urse C | luster | Coordin | ator | | Study Program Coordinate | | ordinator | |
| | | | Albrian Fiky | Albrian Fiky Prakoso, S.Pd., M.Pd | | | | | Wi | Widyastuti, S.Si., M.Si | | | | Dr. Retno Mustika Dewi, S.Pd., M.Pd. | | | | |
| Learning model | Case Studies | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Program | n P | LO study pro | gram that is char | ged to the c | ourse | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Learning Outcome | | rogram Objec | tives (PO) | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| (PLO) | P | 0-1 | Able to demonstrate | e a responsib | le attitude | e in ur | nderstan | ding th | ie conc | ept of s | statistic | al data a | nalysis | both indep | oender | ıtly and i | n grou | ps |
| | | 0 - 2 | Analyze and interpr | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | - | O - 3 LO-PO Matrix | Utilizing technology | and informat | ion in dat | ta ana | alysis | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Short Course | TI | nis course is a | P.O PO-1 PO-2 PO-3 e end of each lear P.O PO-1 PO-2 PO-3 continuation of the es, multivariate ana | 1 2 | 3 srse, this | 4 cours | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 soncepts WarpP | Week 9 | 10 | 11 o o of app | 12 plication s as interpre | 13 | 14 | 15 | 16 |
| Descript Reference | cl | assification, and ain: 1. Solimun, 2. Solimun, | types of qualitative d interpretation using Fernandes, dan Nu Fernandes, dan Ha | y Nvivo softwo rjannah. 201 ndoyo. 2017. | 7. Metode | e Stati .ngan | stika Mu dan Per | ultivaria ngujian | ıt. Mala Kuesic | ıng: UB | Press. | | | | | | | |
| | S | Model. Malang: Program Studi Statistika Jurusan Matematika, FMIPA UB 3. Bandur, Agustinus. 2019. Penelitian Kualitatif Studi Multi-Disiplin Keilmuan Dengan Nvivo 12 Plus . Jakarta: Mitra Wacana Media upporters: 1. Sholihin, Mahfud dan Ratmono, Dwi. 2014. Analisis SEM-PLS Dengan WarpPLS 3.0 Untuk Hubungan Nonlinier Dalam Penelitian Sosial Dan Bisnis Yogyakarta: Andi Publisher. 2. Latan, Hengky dan Ghozali, Imam. 2016. Partial Least Square Konsep, Metode dan Aplikasi Menggunakan WarpPLS 5.0 . Semarang: Badan Penerbi Universitas Diponegoro. | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Supporti lecturer Week- | Final a | brian Fiky Prak mirusholihin, M abilities of earning | | valuation | | | | | | _earnin udent / | Learnii ng meth Assigni nated t | ods, ments, | | | r | _earning | \$ | Assessme Weight (% |
| | (Sub-I | PO) | Indicator | Criteri | a & Form | 1 | Offline (offline) | | | Online (online) | | Like | [References] | | | | | |

(4)

(5)

(6)

(7)

(8)

(3)

(1)

(2)

| 1 | Understand the transformation of scores to scales and practice it | Differentiate between scores and scales 2. Explain the benefits of transforming scores into scales 3. Practicing transforming scores into scales 4. Practicing data tabulation resulting from data transformation to Excel | Criteria: Project Results Assessment Form of Assessment: Project Results Assessment / Product Assessment | Week 1 - Lecture Week 2 - Lecture - Simulation of transformation of scores into a scale - Task 1: tabulate the data from the transformation of scores into a 3 X 50 scale | Material: Understanding and benefits of transforming scores into scales, practice of transforming scores into scales, tabulating data from transformation results. References: Main: Solimun, Fernandes, and Nurjannah. 2017. Multivariate Statistical Methods. Malang: UB Press. | 5% |
|---|---|--|--|---|---|-----|
| 2 | Understand the transformation of scores to scales and practice it | Differentiate between scores and scales 2. Explain the benefits of transforming scores into scales 3. Practicing transforming scores into scales 4. Practicing data tabulation resulting from data transformation to Excel | Criteria: Project Results Assessment Form of Assessment: Project Results Assessment / Product Assessment / Product | Week 1 - Lecture Week 2 - Lecture - Simulation of transformation of scores into a scale - Task 1: tabulate the data from the transformation of scores into a 3 X 50 scale | Material: Understanding and benefits of transforming scores into scales, practice of transforming scores into scales, tabulating data from transformation results. References: Main: Solimun, Fernandes, and Nurjannah. 2017. Multivariate Statistical Methods. Malang: UB Press. | 5% |
| 3 | Understand an overview of multivariate analysis | Explain the meaning of multivariate analysis 2. Explain the development of multivariate analysis 3. Explain input data for multivariate analysis 4. Differentiating the classification of multivariate analysis | Criteria: Project Results Assessment Form of Assessment: Project Results Assessment / Product Assessment | 3rd Week - Lecture - Discussion in groups of 3 X 50 | Material: Definition of multivariate analysis, development of multivariate analysis, input data for multivariate analysis, classification of multivariate analysis. References: Main: Solimun, Fernandes, and Nurjannah. 2017. Multivariate Statistical Methods. Malang: UB Press. | 5% |
| 4 | Analyzing latent variable data | Explain the meaning of latent variables 2. Describe the measurement of latent variables 3. Analyzing latent variable data | Criteria: work method Form of Assessment: Participatory Activities, Practice/Performance | Week 4 - Lectures 3 X 50 group discussions | Material: Understanding latent variables, measuring latent variables, latent variables, latent variable data Understanding latent variables, measuring latent variables, latent variables, latent variables, latent variable data Literature: Solimun, Fernandes, and Handoyo. 2017. Designing and Testing Questionnaires and Transforming Scores into Scales Based on MSI, SRS, and Rasch Models. Malang: Statistics Study Program, Mathematics Department, FMIPA UB | 10% |

| 5 | Describe statistical modeling with WarpPLS, moderation and mediation variables | Explain the meaning of statistical modeling with Warppls 2. Describe the relationship between variables with Warppls 3. Distinguish between moderating and mediating variables | Criteria: Project Results Assessment Form of Assessment: Project Results Assessment / Product Assessment | Week 5 - Lecture - Group discussion Task 2: design a 3 X 50 conceptual model | Material: Understanding statistical modeling with Warppls, relationships between variables with WarpPLS, moderation and mediation variables Readers: Solimun, Fernandes, and Nurjannah. 2017. Multivariate Statistical Methods. Malang: UB Press. | 10% |
|---|---|--|--|--|--|-----|
| 6 | Putting WarpPLS and its interpretation into practice | Explain the meaning of Warppls 2. Understand the WappLS tool 3. Practice the WarpPLS operating steps4. Analyzing WarpPLS Output | Criteria: Project Results Assessment Form of Assessment: Assessment of Project Results / Product Assessment, Practices / Performance | Week 6 - Lecture - Group discussion - Practice operating WarpPLS Week 7 - Practice interpreting WarpPLS output Task 3: Interpret the results of WarpPLS 3 X 50 analysis | Material: Understanding WarpPLS, WapPLS Tools, WarpPLS operating steps, WarpPLS Output Analysis Reader: Sholihin, Mahfud and Ratmono, Dwi. 2014. SEM-PLS Analysis with WarpPLS 3.0 for Nonlinear Relationships in Social and Business Research. Yogyakarta: Andi Publisher. | 10% |
| 7 | Putting WarpPLS and its interpretation into practice | Explain the meaning of Warppls 2. Understand the WapPLS tool 3. Practice the WarpPLS operating steps4. Analyzing WarpPLS Output | Criteria: Project Results Assessment Form of Assessment: Assessment of Project Results / Product Assessment, Practices / Performance | Week 6 - Lecture - Group discussion - Practice operating WarpPLS Week 7 - Practice interpreting WarpPLS output Task 3: Interpret the results of WarpPLS 3 X 50 analysis | Material: Understanding WarpPLS, WapPLS Tools, WarpPLS operating steps, WarpPLS Output Analysis Reader: Sholihin, Mahfud and Ratmono, Dwi. 2014. SEM-PLS Analysis with WarpPLS 3.0 for Nonlinear Relationships in Social and Business Research. Yogyakarta: Andi Publisher. | 10% |
| 8 | UTS | UTS | Criteria: test Form of Assessment : Test | UTS 3 X 50 | Material: UTS Library: Solimun, Fernandes, and Handoyo. 2017. Designing and Testing Questionnaires and Transforming Scores into Scales Based on MSI, SRS, and Rasch Models. Malang: Statistics Study Program, Mathematics Department, FMIPA UB | 0% |
| 9 | Identify types of qualitative research designs | Describe qualitative research questions 2. Understand grounded theory research design 3. Understand phenomenological research design4. Understand ethnographic research design | Criteria: Project Results Assessment Form of Assessment: Project Results Assessment / Product Assessment | Week 9: - Lecture Discussion in groups of 3 x 50 | Material: Qualitative research questions, Grounded Theory research design, phenomenological research design, ethnographic research design References: Bandur, Agustinus. 2019. Qualitative Research Multi- Disciplinary Scientific Study Using Nvivo 12 Plus. Jakarta: Mitra Discourse Media | 5% |

| | T | | T | T | T | T | |
|----|--|---|---|---|---|--|-----|
| 10 | Understand qualitative data collection methods | Describe qualitative data collection methods 2. Understand observation data collection methods 3. Understand indepth interview data collection methods 4. Understand FGDs data collection methods 5. Understand record review data collection methods | Criteria: Project Results Assessment Form of Assessment: Project Results Assessment / Product Assessment | Week 10: - Lecture - Discussion in groups Task 4: Collect data through observation and in-depth interviews presented in the form of interactive video/PPT 3 X 50 | | Material: Qualitative data collection methods, observation, in- depth interviews, FGDs, record review. Literature: Bandur, Agustinus. 2019. Qualitative Research Multi- Disciplinary Scientific Study Using Nvivo 12 Plus. Jakarta: Mitra Discourse Media | 5% |
| 11 | Describe data management in NVivo | Describe document settings in NVivo 2. Describe NVivo You Tube online video settings 3. Describe website content settings in NVivo 4. Describe social media settings in NVivo5. Integrating SPSS in NVivo | Criteria: Project Results Assessment Form of Assessment: Project Results Assessment / Product Assessment | Week 11 - Lecture - Discussion in groups - Practicing the operation of the NVivo software Task 5: Create online document/video content for YouTube/Website Content/social media to NVivo 3 X 50 | | Material: Managing documents in NVivo, managing YouTube Online Videos, managing website content in NVivo, managing social media in NVivo, SPSS integration in NVivo Reader: Bandur, Agustinus. 2019. Qualitative Research Multi- Disciplinary Scientific Study Using Nvivo 12 Plus. Jakarta: Mitra Discourse Media | 5% |
| 12 | Explains qualitative data coding techniques with NVivo | 1. Understand qualitative data coding 2. Identify the differences between deductive analysis 3. Identify the purpose of qualitative research coding 4. Describe the types of qualitative research coding 5. Understand interview file coding 6. Describe automatic coding | Criteria: Project Results Assessment Form of Assessment: Project Results Assessment / Product Assessment | Week 12 - Lecture - Discussion in groups - Coding simulation with NVivo 3 X 50 software | | Material: Qualitative data coding, deductive and inductive analysis, objectives of qualitative research coding, types of qualitative research coding, interview file coding, automatic coding Reader: Bandur, Agustinus. 2019. Qualitative Research Multi- Disciplinary Scientific Study Using Nvivo 12 Plus. Jakarta: Mitra Discourse Media | 5% |
| 13 | Analyzing Nodes in NVivo | Describe Nodes in NVivo 2. Explore relationships between attributes with NVivo3. Explains creating a Node matrix | Criteria: Project Results Assessment Form of Assessment: Project Results Assessment / Product Assessment | Week 13 - Lecture - Discussion in groups Nodes Simulation with NVivo 3 X 50 software | | Material: Nodes in NVivo, Interattribute relationships with NVivo, creating matrix nodes Readers: Bandur, Agustinus. 2019. Qualitative Research Multi-Disciplinary Scientific Study Using Nvivo 12 Plus. Jakarta: Mitra Discourse Media | 10% |
| 14 | Identify qualitative data classifications | Describe how to classify data sources 2. Practice creating attributes 3. Classify data sources 4. Classify nodes 5. Analyze clusters with NVivo6. Analyzing data via NVivo Queries | Criteria: Project Results Assessment Form of Assessment: Project Results Assessment / Product Assessment | Week 14 - LecturesDiscussion in groups of 3 X 50 | | Material: Classifying data sources, creating attributes, classifying data sources, classifying nodes, cluster analysis with NVivo Reader: Bandur, Agustinus. 2019. Qualitative Research Multi-Disciplinary Scientific Study Using Nvivo 12 Plus. Jakarta: Mitra Discourse Media | 10% |

| 15 | Analyzing coding results with NVivo | describe the procedure for creating an NVivo model 2. Create a Mindmap with NVivo 3. Create a projectmap with NVivo4. Creating a Conceptmap with NVivo | Criteria: work method Form of Assessment : Assessment of Project Results / Product Assessment, Practices / Performance | Week 15 - Lecture - Discussion in groups Task 6: Interpret the results of data coding in the form of a 3 X 50 Mindmap/Projectmap/Conceptmap | Material: Procedure for creating an NVivo model, Mindmap with NVivo, Projectmap with NVivo, Conceptmap with NVivo Reader: Bandur, Agustinus. 2019. Qualitative Research Multi- Disciplinary Scientific Study Using Nvivo 12 Plus. Jakarta: Mitra Discourse Media | 5% |
|----|--|--|---|---|--|----|
| 16 | UAS | test | Criteria: test Form of Assessment : Test | test | Material: UAS Literature: Bandur, Agustinus. 2019. Qualitative Research Multi- Disciplinary Scientific Study Using Nvivo 12 Plus. Jakarta: Mitra Discourse Media | 0% |

Evaluation Percentage Recan: Case Study

| Evaluation Fercentage Recap. Case Study | | | | | | | |
|---|---|------------|--|--|--|--|--|
| No | Evaluation | Percentage | | | | | |
| 1. | Participatory Activities | 5% | | | | | |
| 2. | Project Results Assessment / Product Assessment | 77.5% | | | | | |
| 3. | Practice / Performance | 17.5% | | | | | |
| | • | 100% | | | | | |

Notes

- 1. Learning Outcomes of Study Program Graduates (PLO Study Program) are the abilities possessed by each Study Program graduate which are the internalization of attitudes, mastery of knowledge and skills according to the level of their study program obtained through the learning process.
- The PLO imposed on courses are several learning outcomes of study program graduates (CPL-Study Program) which are used for the
- formation/development of a course consisting of aspects of attitude, general skills, special skills and knowledge.

 Program Objectives (PO) are abilities that are specifically described from the PLO assigned to a course, and are specific to the study material or 3. learning materials for that course.
- Subject Sub-PO (Sub-PO) is a capability that is specifically described from the PO that can be measured or observed and is the final ability that is planned at each learning stage, and is specific to the learning material of the course.

 Indicators for assessing abilities in the process and student learning outcomes are specific and measurable statements that identify the abilities or
- performance of student learning outcomes accompanied by evidence.
- 6. Assessment Criteria are benchmarks used as a measure or measure of learning achievement in assessments based on predetermined indicators. Assessment criteria are guidelines for assessors so that assessments are consistent and unbiased. Criteria can be quantitative or qualitative
- Forms of assessment: test and non-test.
- Forms of learning: Lecture, Response, Tutorial, Seminar or equivalent, Practicum, Studio Practice, Workshop Practice, Field Practice, Research, Community Service and/or other equivalent forms of learning.

 Learning Methods: Small Group Discussion, Role-Play & Simulation, Discovery Learning, Self-Directed Learning, Cooperative Learning,
- Collaborative Learning, Contextual Learning, Project Based Learning, and other equivalent methods.
- 10. Learning materials are details or descriptions of study materials which can be presented in the form of several main points and sub-topics.
- 11. The assessment weight is the percentage of assessment of each sub-PO achievement whose size is proportional to the level of difficulty of achieving that sub-PO, and the total is 100%.
- 12. TM=Face to face, PT=Structured assignments, BM=Independent study.