UNESA

## Universitas Negeri Surabaya Faculty of Economics and Business Economic Education Undergraduate Study Program

Document Code

SEMESTER LEARNING PLAN															
Courses		СО	ODE Course Family		amily		Credit Weight			SEME	STER	Compilation Date			
Economic Ed	ucation Seminar	872	0303287					T=3	P=0	ECTS=	=4.77	5		July 18, 2024	
AUTHORIZAT	TION	SP	Develope	er				Cour	se Clu	ster C	Coordin	ator	Study Coord		
											Dr. Retno Mustika Dewi, S.Pd., M.Pd.				
Learning model	Project Based Lea	ırning					•								
Program Learning	PLO study progra	am whi	ch is cha	rged to th	e cou	rse									
Outcomes	Program Objectiv	ves (PO	)												
(PLO)	PLO-PO Matrix														
			P.O												
	PO Matrix at the	end of	each lear	ning stage	e (Suk	o-PO)									
		P.O			1	1			Week						
			1 2	3 4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13	14	15 16
Short Course Description	This course discuss Review, CHAPTER										ΓER I In	itroduc	ction, C	HAPTE	ER II Literature
References	Main :														
	<ol> <li>Tim Penyusun Buku Pedoman Penulisan Skripsi. 2014.Pedoman Penulisan Skripsi. Surabaya:Unipress Universitas Negeri Surabaya</li> <li>Darmono. 2004. Menyelesaikan Skripsi Dalam Satu Semester. Jakarta: Grasindo.</li> <li>Siang, Jong Jek. 2003. Kiat jitu: sukses menyusun skripsi. Yogyakarta: Andi.</li> <li>Nasution, S. 2006. Buku Penuntun Membuat Disertasi, Skripsi, Desertasi, Makalah. Jakarta: Bumi Aksara.</li> <li>Hadi, Sutrisno. 2004. Bimbingan menulis skripsi &amp; thesis jilid 1. Yogyakarta: Andi.</li> <li>Hadi, Sutrisno. 2004. Bimbingan menulis skripsi &amp; thesis jilid 2. Yogyakarta: Andi.</li> <li>ADAMS, J., COCHRANE, M., &amp; DUNNE, L. 2012. Applying theory to educational research: an introductory approach with case studies. Chichester, West Sussex, J. Wiley &amp; Sons.</li> </ol>														
	Supporters:														
		dan pub	likasi artik		nelalui	pelati	ihan I	oagi g	uru m				•	-	keterampilan kertosusilo. E-
Supporting lecturer	Prof. Dr. Jun Surjanti, S.E., M.Si. Muhammad Abdul Ghofur, S.E., M.Pd. Dr. Retno Mustika Dewi, S.Pd., M.Pd. Riza Yonisa Kurniawan, S.Pd., M.Pd. Eka Hendi Andriansyah, S.Pd., M.Pd. Mohamad Arief Rafsanjani, S.Pd., M.Pd. Albrian Fiky Prakoso, S.Pd., M.Pd. Heni Purwa Pamungkas, S.Pd., M.Pd.														

Week-	Final abilities of each learning stage (Sub-PO)	Eva	aluation	Lea Stude	elp Learning, rning methods, nt Assignments, stimated time]	Learning materials [ References	Assessment Weight (%)	
(Sub-FO)		Indicator Criteria & Form		Offline ( Online ( online ) offline )		1		
(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)	(6)	(7)	(8)	
1	Understand the basic concepts of Economic Education seminars	1.Explain the meaning of an Economic Education seminar 2.Explain the systematics of writing a thesis proposal 3.Understand the latest issues regarding economic education	Criteria: 10  Form of Assessment : Project Results Assessment / Product Assessment	Reading assignment Lecture assignment 6 X 50	On line		0%	
2	Understand the basic concepts of Economic Education seminars	1.Explain the meaning of an Economic Education seminar 2.Explain the systematics of writing a thesis proposal 3.Understand the latest issues regarding economic education	Criteria:  1.Assignments, participation, UTS and UAS 2.A = Very Good (85-100) 3.B = Good (80-85) 4.C = Fairly Good (70- 75) 5.D = Poor (60- 65) 6.E = Not Good ( < 60)  Form of Assessment : Project Results Assessment / Product Assessment	Reading assignment Lecture assignment 6 X 50	On line		0%	
3	Understanding CHAPTER I Introduction	1.Explain the meaning of an Economic Education seminar 2.Explain the systematics of writing a thesis proposal 3.Understand the latest issues regarding economic education	Criteria:  1.Assignments, participation, UTS and UAS 2.A = Very Good (85-100) 3.B = Good (80-85) 4.C = Fairly Good (70-75) 5.D = Poor (60-65) 6.E = Not Good (<60)  Form of Assessment: Project Results Assessment / Product Assessment	Reading assignment Lecture assignment 6 X 50	On line		10%	
4	Understanding CHAPTER I Introduction	1.Explain the meaning of an Economic Education seminar 2.Explain the systematics of writing a thesis proposal 3.Understand the latest issues regarding economic education	Criteria:  1.Assignments, participation, UTS and UAS 2.A = Very Good (85-100) 3.B = Good (80-85) 4.C = Fairly Good (70-75) 5.D = Poor (60-65) 6.E = Not Good ( < 60)  Form of Assessment : Project Results Assessment / Product Assessment	Reading assignment Lecture assignment 6 X 50	On line		0%	

			Τ	I	Γ	
5	Understanding CHAPTER II Literature Review	1.Explain the meaning of an Economic Education seminar 2.Explain the systematics of writing a thesis proposal 3.Understand the latest issues regarding economic education	Criteria:  1.Assignments, participation, UTS and UAS 2.A = Very Good (85-100) 3.B = Good (80-85) 4.C = Fairly Good (70- 75) 5.D = Poor (60- 65) 6.E = Not Good ( < 60)  Form of Assessment : Project Results Assessment / Product Assessment	Reading assignment Lecture assignment 6 X 50	On line	20%
6	Understanding CHAPTER II Literature Review	1.Explain the meaning of an Economic Education seminar 2.Explain the systematics of writing a thesis proposal 3.Understand the latest issues regarding economic education	Criteria:  1.Assignments, participation, UTS and UAS 2.A = Very Good (85-100) 3.B = Good (80-85) 4.C = Fairly Good (70- 75) 5.D = Poor (60- 65) 6.E = Not Good ( < 60)  Form of Assessment : Project Results Assessment / Product Assessment	Reading assignment Lecture assignment 6 X 50	On line	0%
7	Understanding CHAPTER II Literature Review	1.Explain the meaning of an Economic Education seminar 2.Explain the systematics of writing a thesis proposal 3.Understand the latest issues regarding economic education	Criteria:  1.Assignments, participation, UTS and UAS 2.A = Very Good (85-100) 3.B = Good (80-85) 4.C = Fairly Good (70- 75) 5.D = Poor (60- 65) 6.E = Not Good ( < 60)  Form of Assessment : Project Results Assessment / Product Assessment	Reading assignment Lecture assignment 6 X 50	On line	0%
8		Materials 1-7	Criteria:  1.Assignments, participation, UTS and UAS 2.A = Very Good (85-100) 3.B = Good (80-85) 4.C = Fairly Good (70- 75) 5.D = Poor (60- 65) 6.E = Not Good ( < 60)  Form of Assessment : Project Results Assessment / Product Assessment	3 X 50		20%

9	Explaining CHAPTER III Research Methods	1.Explain the type of research 2.Explain population and sample 3.Explain the subject and object of research 4.Explain operational definitions 5.Explain data collection techniques 6.Explain the research instruments 7.Explain data analysis techniques	Criteria:  1.Assignments, participation, UTS and UAS 2.A = Very Good (85-100) 3.B = Good (80-85) 4.C = Fairly Good (70- 75) 5.D = Poor (60- 65) 6.E = Not Good ( < 60)  Form of Assessment : Project Results Assessment / Product Assessment	Assignment to read 6 X 50 Assignment Lectures	on line	5%
10	Explaining CHAPTER III Research Methods	1.Explain the type of research 2.Explain population and sample 3.Explain the subject and object of research 4.Explain operational definitions 5.Explain data collection techniques 6.Explain the research instruments 7.Explain data analysis techniques	Criteria: Maximum value 10  Form of Assessment : Project Results Assessment / Product Assessment	Assignment to read 6 X 50 Assignment Lectures	on line	0%
11	Create a research proposal	1.Create a research proposal 2.Discuss the draft research proposal	Criteria:  1.Assignments, participation, UTS and UAS 2.A = Very Good (85-100) 3.B = Good (80-85) 4.C = Fairly Good (70- 75) 5.D = Poor (60- 65) 6.E = Not Good ( < 60)  Form of Assessment : Project Results Assessment / Product Assessment	Reading assignment Practice Assignment 6 X 50	on line	5%
12	Create a research proposal	1.Create a research proposal 2.Discuss the draft research proposal	Criteria:  1. Assignments, participation, UTS and UAS 2.A = Very Good (85-100) 3.B = Good (80-85) 4.C = Fairly Good (70- 75) 5.D = Poor (60- 65) 6.E = Not Good ( < 60)  Form of Assessment : Project Results Assessment / Product Assessment	Reading assignment Practice Assignment 6 X 50	on line	0%

	T		T			
13	Create a research proposal	1.Create a research proposal     2.Discuss the draft research proposal	Criteria:  1.Assignments, participation, UTS and UAS 2.A = Very Good (85-100) 3.B = Good (80- 85) 4.C = Fairly Good (70- 75) 5.D = Poor (60- 65) 6.E = Not Good ( < 60)	Reading assignment Practice Assignment 6 X 50	on line	10%
			Form of Assessment : Project Results Assessment / Product Assessment			
14	Create a research proposal	1.Create a research proposal 2.Discuss the draft research proposal	Criteria:  1. Assignments, participation, UTS and UAS 2.A = Very Good (85-100) 3.B = Good (80-85) 4.C = Fairly Good (70-75) 5.D = Poor (60-65) 6.E = Not Good (<60)	Reading assignment Practice Assignment 6 X 50	on line	0%
			Form of Assessment : Project Results Assessment / Product Assessment			
15	Create a research proposal	1.Create a research proposal 2.Discuss the draft research proposal	Criteria: 1.Assignments, participation, UTS and UAS 2.A = Very Good (85-100) 3.B = Good (80-85) 4.C = Fairly Good (70- 75) 5.D = Poor (60- 65) 6.E = Not Good ( < 60)	Reading assignment Practice Assignment 6 X 50	on line	0%
			Form of Assessment : Project Results Assessment / Product Assessment			
16	UAS		Criteria:  1. Assignments, participation, UTS and UAS 2.A = Very Good (85-100) 3.B = Good (80-85) 4.C = Fairly Good (70-75) 5.D = Poor (60-65) 6.E = Not Good (<60)	Written Test 3 X 50	on line	30%
			Form of Assessment : Project Results Assessment / Product Assessment			

**Evaluation Percentage Recap: Project Based Learning** 

No	Evaluation	Percentage
1.	Project Results Assessment / Product Assessment	100%
		100%

## Notes

- Learning Outcomes of Study Program Graduates (PLO Study Program) are the abilities possessed by each
  Study Program graduate which are the internalization of attitudes, mastery of knowledge and skills according to the
  level of their study program obtained through the learning process.
- The PLO imposed on courses are several learning outcomes of study program graduates (CPL-Study Program)
  which are used for the formation/development of a course consisting of aspects of attitude, general skills, special skills
  and knowledge.
- 3. **Program Objectives (PO)** are abilities that are specifically described from the PLO assigned to a course, and are specific to the study material or learning materials for that course.
- Subject Sub-PO (Sub-PO) is a capability that is specifically described from the PO that can be measured or observed and is the final ability that is planned at each learning stage, and is specific to the learning material of the course.

- Indicators for assessing ability in the process and student learning outcomes are specific and measurable statements that identify the ability or performance of student learning outcomes accompanied by evidence.
- 6. Assessment Criteria are benchmarks used as a measure or measure of learning achievement in assessments based on predetermined indicators. Assessment criteria are guidelines for assessors so that assessments are consistent and unbiased. Criteria can be quantitative or qualitative.
- 7. Forms of assessment: test and non-test.
- 8. Forms of learning: Lecture, Response, Tutorial, Seminar or equivalent, Practicum, Studio Practice, Workshop
- Practice, Field Practice, Research, Community Service and/or other equivalent forms of learning.

  9. Learning Methods: Small Group Discussion, Role-Play & Simulation, Discovery Learning, Self-Directed Learning, Cooperative Learning, Collaborative Learning, Contextual Learning, Project Based Learning, and other equivalent methods.
- $10. \ \textbf{Learning materials} \ \text{are details or descriptions of study materials which can be presented in the form of several main}$ points and sub-topics.
- 11. The assessment weight is the percentage of assessment of each sub-PO achievement whose size is proportional to the level of difficulty of achieving that sub-PO, and the total is 100%.
- 12. TM=Face to face, PT=Structured assignments, BM=Independent study.