

Universitas Negeri Surabaya Faculty of Economics and Business Economic Education Undergraduate Study Program

Document Code

			SE	ME	ST	EF	R L	EA	RN	IIN	G I	PL	AN							
Courses			CODE				Cou	ırse F	amil	У		Cred	dit We	ight		SEM	STER	Con	npilati e	on
EVALUATION LEARNING	OF LEARNING	ΑN	ID 872030236	7			Con	npuls gram	ory St Subje	udy		T=2	P=0	ECTS	=3.18		4	July	17, 20	024
AUTHORIZAT	TON		SP Develop	oer						C	ourse	e Clu	ster C	oordina	ator	Study	/ Progr dinator	am		
			Dhiah Fitray	/ati, S	S.Pd.,	M.E										Dr.	Retno N S.Pd			⁄i,
Learning model	Project Based	Lea	arning																	
Program Learning	PLO study pr	ogr	am that is cha	ırged	l to t	he co	ours	е												
Outcomes	Program Obje	ecti	ves (PO)																	
(PLO)	PO - 1	Ab	Able to demonstrate a responsible and innovative attitude in working both independently and in groups																	
	PO - 2	Ab	le to analyze the	con	cept c	of lear	ning	evalu	ation											
	PO - 3	Ab	le to identify and	l desi	gn ac	tion p	olans	base	d on I	earnii	ng as	sessi	nent r	esults						
	PO - 4	Ab	le to design ass	essm	ent in	strum	nents													
	PLO-PO Matr	ix																		
		- -	P.O PO-1 PO-2 PO-3 PO-4																	
	PO Matrix at t	the	end of each le	arni	ng st	tage	(Sub	-PO)												
			P.O									We	ek							
				1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13	14	15	16	
			PO-1																	
			PO-2																	
			PO-3																	
			PO-4																	
															',					
Short Course Description	applicable curr authentic, alter instruments, al	iculı nati ong	tanding of the rum, preparation ve and class-bawith analysis on references, pre	of v sed of qu	ariou asses estior	s typ ssmer n item	es of nt and ns bo	f goo d the oth m	d que appli anual	estion cable ly us	s alc curr ing tl	ng wiculur ne Ex	rith as n inclu ccel pr	sessme ide wor ogram	nt rub	orics. T s on d	he bas evelopi	ic co ng as	ncepts sessm	of ent
References	Main :																			

- 1. Arends, Richardl. 2021.Guide toFieldExperiences andPortofolio Development:to accompany;learningto teach.NewYork:McGraw-HillBookCompany.
- 2. Arikunto, Suharsimi. 2021. Dasar-dasar Evaluasi Pendidikan (edisi revisi). Bumiaksara: Jakarta
- 3. Brookhart,Susan M. 2020.How to AssessHigher-OrderThinking Skillsin Your Classroom.Alexandria:ASCD. 4. Departemen Pendidikan Nasional.KurikulumSMP1984. 1999, 2004, 2006 dan 2013.Jakarta
- 4. Examination and Evaluation in Education. NewDelhi:Common wealth. 6. GlencoeSeries. 2021.Performance Assessmentin The ScienceClassroom.NewYork: McGraw-Hill Company.
- 5. Gronlund, N. E. 1980. Construction Achievement Test. New Jersey: Prentice HallInc
- $\textbf{6.} \quad \textbf{Gronlund}, \textbf{N. E. 1991.} \textbf{How to Write and use Instructional Objective.} \ \textbf{New Jersey:} \textbf{Prentice Hall Inc}$
- Supranata, Sumarna, 2004. Analisis, Validitas, Reliabilitas dan Interpretasi HasilTes 10. Implementasi Kurikulum 2004. PT. Remaja Rosdakarya, Bandung.
- 8. Johnson, David W. and Johnson, Robert T. 2002. Meaningful Assessment Manage able and Cooperative Process. Boston: Allyn and Bacon.
- 9. Kubiszyn,Tom/I. Borich,Gary. 2007.Educational Testingand Measurement: Classroom Application and Practice.New Jersey:John Wiley & Sons.
- $10. \, \mathsf{Linn}, \mathsf{R.} \, \, \mathsf{I.} \, \, \mathsf{Gronlund}, \mathsf{N.} \, \, \mathsf{E.} \, \, \mathsf{1995.Measurement} \, \, \mathsf{and} \, \mathsf{AssessmentinTeaching.Ohio:Merril.}$
- 11. Rani, T. Swarupa. 2004. Educational Measurementand Evaluation. NewDelhi: DPH.
- 12. Permendiknas No. 53 tahun 2015 tentang penilaian.

Supporters:

Supporting lecturer

Dhiah Fitrayati, S.Pd., M.E. Riza Yonisa Kurniawan, S.Pd., M.Pd.

Week-	Final abilities of each learning stage	Ctudent Assimuments		Learning materials [References	Assessment Weight (%)		
	(Sub-PO)	Indicator	Criteria & Form	Offline (offline)	Online (<i>online</i>)]	
(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)	(6)	(7)	(8)
1	Able to understand the concept and meaning of assessment, evaluation and assessment of learning outcomes	1.Explain the meaning of assessment, evaluation and evaluation of learning outcomes 2.Explain the purpose of the assessment 3.Explain the function of assessment in learning 4.Able to explain assessment classifications	Criteria: 1.question 1 weight 20 2.question 2 weight 20 3.question 3 weight 20 4.question 4 weight 20 5.question 5 weight 20 Form of Assessment: Participatory Activities, Project Results Assessment / Product Assessment	Presentation, discussion and reflection 2 X 50		Material: meaning of assessment, evaluation and assessment of learning outcomes. Reference: Arikunto, Suharsimi. 2021.Basics of Educational Evaluation (revised edition). Bumiaksara: Jakarta	10%

_ '	Ohardeni		a		a a	
2	Students are able to understand	1.Explain the	Criteria:	Presentation,	Material:	10%
	assessment	basic	1.Student Name:	discussion and reflection	assessment techniques	
	techniques	principles of	Day/date:	3 X 50	References:	
		assessment	2.Rated aspect	3 X 30	Arikunto,	
		2.Explain the	3.Score		Suharsimi.	
		characteristics	4.4		2021.Basics	
		of the	5.3 6.2		of	
		assessment			Educational	
		3.Explains the	7.1		Evaluation	
		cognitive,	8.A. Contents		(revised	
		affective and	9.1. Mastery of material		edition).	
		psychomotor	10.2. Match		Bumiaksara:	
		domains as objects for	between the		Jakarta	
		assessing	content			
		learning	presented and			
		outcomes	the content of the			
		4.Explains	paper			
		techniques in	11.3. Slide			
		evaluating	displays (images,			
		learning	diagrams,			
		outcomes	photos, videos,			
			material flow)			
			support			
			presentations			
			12.4. Ability to			
			defend			
			arguments			
			13.B. Presentation			
			14.1. Voice quality			
			(volume, voice			
			articulation,			
			intonation)			
			15.2. Demeanor			
			(way of looking,			
			effective body			
			movements,			
			calm)			
			16.3. Dress			
			politely and			
			neatly 17.4. Correct use			
			of language			
			18.5. Responsive			
			and ready to			
			accept input			
			19.Source:			
			Adapted from			
			performance			
			assessment,			
			Glencoe			
			McGraw-Hill			
			20.Information:			
			21.1. Score 4 if			
			done very well			
			22.2. Score 3 if			
			done well			
			23.3. Score 2 if			
			done sufficiently			
			24.4. Score 1 if			
			done poorly			
			25.Questions			
			number 1-4 have			
			a weight of 25 etc			
			Form of Assessment			
			: Droiget Decults			
			Project Results			
			Assessment / Product Assessment			
			ASSESSINEIIL			
1				Ī	1	

3	Able to determine evaluation	1.1. Distinguish	Criteria:	discussions,	Material:	5%
	techniques in assessing learning outcomes	between cognitive, affective and psychomotor domains 2.2. Determine assessment techniques for the affective domain 3.3. Determine assessment techniques for the cognitive domain 4.4. Determine assessment techniques for the psychomotor domain	Written test assessment rubric Forms of Assessment: Project Results Assessment / Product Assessment, Portfolio Assessment, Tests	assignments, exercises, searching for library sources and other references 2 x 50	evaluation techniques in assessing learning outcomes. Reference: Arikunto, Suharsimi. 2021.Basics of Educational Evaluation (revised edition). Bumiaksara: Jakarta	
4	Students are able to understand tests and non-tests as evaluation of learning outcomes	- Explaining the meaning of tests - Explaining the function of tests - Explaining observations - Explaining interviews - Explaining questionnaires - Explaining document inspection - Explaining portfolio assessment - Product assessment - Attitude assessment - Skills assessment - Project assessment - Self-assessment - Self-assessment	Criteria: 1.Details of participation assessment criteria: 2.Score 4 Very good 3.Score 3 Good 4.Score 2 Fair 5.Score 1 less 6.Description of essay value; 7.essay questions number 1-5 weight @20 Form of Assessment : Participatory Activities	Discussions, assignments, exercises, searching for library sources and other references 2 X 50	Material: Learning Results Test Reader: Arikunto, Suharsimi. 2021.Basics of Educational Evaluation (revised edition). Bumiaksara: Jakarta	10%
5	Able to prepare assessment instruments for the domains of attitudes, knowledge and skills	1.1. Develop an attitude assessment instrument 2.2. Identify the characteristics of HOTS-based questions 3.3. Develop a knowledge assessment instrument and rubric 4.4. Develop skills assessment instruments and rubrics	Criteria: Written test assessment rubric and question item validation rubric Form of Assessment: Project Results Assessment / Product Assessment	Method: Project based learning Assignment: Develop a 2 x 50 knowledge and skills assessment instrument	Material: assessment instruments for the domains of attitudes, knowledge and skills. Reference: Arikunto, Suharsimi. 2021.Basics of Educational Evaluation (revised edition). Bumiaksara: Jakarta	5%
6	Able to prepare assessment instruments for the domains of attitudes, knowledge and skills	1.1. Develop an attitude assessment instrument 2.2. Identify the characteristics of HOTS-based questions 3.3. Develop a knowledge assessment instrument and rubric 4.4. Develop skills assessment instruments and rubrics	Criteria: Written test assessment rubric and question item validation rubric Form of Assessment: Project Results Assessment / Product Assessment	Method: Project based learning Assignment: Develop a 2 x 50 knowledge and skills assessment instrument	Material: assessment instruments for the domains of attitudes, knowledge and skills. Reference: Arikunto, Suharsimi. 2021.Basics of Educational Evaluation (revised edition). Bumiaksara: Jakarta	5%

7	Able to prepare assessment instruments for the domains of attitudes, knowledge and skills	1.1. Develop an attitude assessment instrument 2.2. Identify the characteristics of HOTS-based questions 3.3. Develop a knowledge assessment instrument and rubric 4.4. Develop skills assessment instruments and rubrics	Criteria: Written test assessment rubric and question item validation rubric Form of Assessment : Project Results Assessment / Product Assessment	Method: Project based learning Assignment: Develop a 2 x 50 knowledge and skills assessment instrument	Material: assessment instruments for the domains of attitudes, knowledge and skills. Reference: Arikunto, Suharsimi. 2021.Basics of Educational Evaluation (revised edition). Bumiaksara: Jakarta	5%
8	UTS	writing test	Criteria: test Form of Assessment : Test	straight 2 X 45	Material: UTS Reader: Arikunto, Suharsimi. 2021.Basics of Educational Evaluation (revised edition). Bumiaksara: Jakarta	0%
Ø	Able to validate question items	1.1. Explain the concept of question item validity 2.2. Distinguish between construct and empirical validity 3.3. Validate the question items using a validity rubric	Criteria: 1.Description of presentation assessment sheet: 2.1. Score 4 if done very well 3.2. Score 3 if done well 4.3. Score 2 if done sufficiently 5.4. Score 1 if done poorly 6.Essay questions number 1-5 weight @score 20 Form of Assessment: Project Results Assessment / Product Assessment	Discussion, assignments and exercises 3 X 50	Material: validity References: Arikunto, Suharsimi. 2021.Basics of Educational Evaluation (revised edition). Bumiaksara: Jakarta	5%
10	Able to validate question items	1.1. Explain the concept of question item validity 2.2. Distinguish between construct and empirical validity 3.3. Validate the question items using a validity rubric	Criteria: 1.Description of presentation assessment sheet: 2.1. Score 4 if done very well 3.2. Score 3 if done well 4.3. Score 2 if done sufficiently 5.4. Score 1 if done poorly 6.Essay questions number 1-5 weight @score 20 Form of Assessment: Project Results Assessment / Product Assessment	Discussion, assignments and exercises 3 X 50	Material: validity References: Arikunto, Suharsimi. 2021.Basics of Educational Evaluation (revised edition). Bumiaksara: Jakarta	5%

11	Able to measure the level of reliability of question items	1.1. Explain the concept of test item reliability 2.2. Measuring the level of reliability of the test items	Criteria: 1.Information: 2.1. Score 4 if done very well 3.2. Score 3 if done well 4.3. Score 2 if done sufficiently 5.4. Score 1 if done poorly 6.essay questions number 1-5 question weight @20 Form of Assessment :	Discussion, assignments and exercises 3 X 50	Material: reliability References: Arikunto, Suharsimi. 2021.Basics of Educational Evaluation (revised edition). Bumiaksara: Jakarta	10%
			Project Results Assessment / Product Assessment			
12	Able to process scores into grades	1.1. Differentiate between scores and grades 2.2. Differentiate the PAP and PAN approaches to assessment 3.3. Determine the score of the questions 4.4. Processing scores into grades	Criteria: Form: Assignment, written test Criteria: Written test assessment rubric Form of Assessment: Project Results Assessment / Product Assessment	Assignment, Written test 2 x 50	Material: scores and values References: Arikunto, Suharsimi. 2021.Basics of Educational Evaluation (revised edition). Bumiaksara: Jakarta	5%
13	Able to process scores into grades	1.1. Differentiate between scores and grades 2.2. Differentiate the PAP and PAN approaches to assessment 3.3. Determine the score of the questions 4.4. Processing scores into grades	Criteria: Form: Assignment, written test Criteria: Written test assessment rubric Form of Assessment: Project Results Assessment / Product Assessment	Assignment, Written test 2 x 50	Material: scores and values References: Arikunto, Suharsimi. 2021.Basics of Educational Evaluation (revised edition). Bumiaksara: Jakarta	5%

14	Able to explain techniques for determining final grades, KKM, and loading learning achievement profiles	1.Explain the meaning of final value 2.Explain the final value function 3.Explain the factors that need to be considered in determining the final grade 4.Explain the technique of arranging the ranking. 5.Explain the meaning of Rankin. Types and procedures for preparing Rankin 6.Explains techniques for creating learning achievement profiles 7.Explain the meaning of learning achievement profile 8.Explain the forms of learning achievement profiles 9.Explain the use of a learning achievement profiles	Criteria: 1.Essay questions number 1-5 have a score weight of @20 2.Information: 3.1. Score 4 if done very well 4.2. Score 3 if done well 5.3. Score 2 if done sufficiently 6.4. Score 1 if done poorly Form of Assessment: Practice / Performance	Create a concept map regarding the overall assessment 3 X 50	Material: KKM Reader: Arikunto, Suharsimi. 2021.Basics of Educational Evaluation (revised edition). Bumiaksara: Jakarta	10%
15	Able to design follow-up plans based on student learning outcomes	profile 1.1. Be able to differentiate between enrichment and remedial 2.2. Able to analyze student learning outcomes based on KKM 3.3. Determine a follow-up plan based on the results of data analysis of student learning outcomes	Criteria: test Form of Assessment: Test	Method: small group discussion Assignment: 2 x 50 presentations	Material: learning outcomes Reader: Arikunto, Suharsimi. 2021.Basics of Educational Evaluation (revised edition). Bumiaksara: Jakarta	10%
16	UAS	test	Criteria: test Form of Assessment : Test	2 X 45 test	Material: UAS Literature: Arikunto, Suharsimi. 2021.Basics of Educational Evaluation (revised edition). Bumiaksara: Jakarta	0%

Evaluation Percentage Recap: Project Based Learning

	Evaluation i crocintage recoup. I roject Basea Ecarning							
No	Evaluation	Percentage						
1.	Participatory Activities	15%						
2.	Project Results Assessment / Product Assessment	61.67%						
3.	Portfolio Assessment	1.67%						

4.	Practice / Performance	10%
5.	Test	11.67%
		100%

Notes

- Learning Outcomes of Study Program Graduates (PLO Study Program) are the abilities possessed by each Study
 Program graduate which are the internalization of attitudes, mastery of knowledge and skills according to the level of their
 study program obtained through the learning process.
- The PLO imposed on courses are several learning outcomes of study program graduates (CPL-Study Program) which
 are used for the formation/development of a course consisting of aspects of attitude, general skills, special skills and
 knowledge.
- 3. **Program Objectives (PO)** are abilities that are specifically described from the PLO assigned to a course, and are specific to the study material or learning materials for that course.
- 4. **Subject Sub-PO (Sub-PO)** is a capability that is specifically described from the PO that can be measured or observed and is the final ability that is planned at each learning stage, and is specific to the learning material of the course.
- Indicators for assessing ability in the process and student learning outcomes are specific and measurable statements that identify the ability or performance of student learning outcomes accompanied by evidence.
- Assessment Criteria are benchmarks used as a measure or measure of learning achievement in assessments based
 on predetermined indicators. Assessment criteria are guidelines for assessors so that assessments are consistent and
 unbiased. Criteria can be quantitative or qualitative.
- 7. Forms of assessment: test and non-test.
- 8. Forms of learning: Lecture, Response, Tutorial, Seminar or equivalent, Practicum, Studio Practice, Workshop Practice, Field Practice, Research, Community Service and/or other equivalent forms of learning.
- Learning Methods: Small Group Discussion, Role-Play & Simulation, Discovery Learning, Self-Directed Learning, Cooperative Learning, Collaborative Learning, Contextual Learning, Project Based Learning, and other equivalent methods.
- 10. Learning materials are details or descriptions of study materials which can be presented in the form of several main points and sub-topics.
- 11. The assessment weight is the percentage of assessment of each sub-PO achievement whose size is proportional to the level of difficulty of achieving that sub-PO, and the total is 100%.
- 12. TM=Face to face, PT=Structured assignments, BM=Independent study.