

## Universitas Negeri Surabaya Faculty of Economics and Business Digital Business Undergraduate Study Program

Document Code

## SEMESTER LEARNING PLAN

Courses		CODE			Co	Course Family				Credit Weight				MEST	ER		npilati	ion
System Analysis and Design		61209030	)27			Compulsory Study				T=0	P=2	ECTS=3.	18	4			Jary 2	,
AUTHORIZATION		SP Dovola	SP Developer			Program Subjects			`ours				r C+	2023		ator		
			Anita Safitri, S.Kom., M.Kom., MOS					R	Course Cluster Coordinator Renny Sari Dewi, S.Kom., M.Kom., MCE, MOS					Study Program Coordinator Hujjatullah Fazlurrahman, S.E., MBA.				
Learning model	Project Based L	Learning																
Program	PLO study program that is charged to the course																	
Learning Outcomes	Program Objectives (PO)																	
(PLO)	PO - 1 Students are able to explain software requirements specifications [C2, A2]   Students are able to explain software requirement specification [C2, A2]																	
	PO - 2	O - 2 Students are able to define high-level requirements and candidate domains [C3, A2]   Students are able to define high-level requirements and domain candidate [C2, A2]																
	PO - 3	- 3 Students are able to analyze and elicit software requirements specifications [C3, P2, A2]   Students are able to analyze and to derive software requirement specification [C3, P2, A2]																
	PO - 4 Students are able to design unified modeling language (UML) diagrams according to software requirements [C4, P3, A2]   Students are capable to design software specification using UML diagram [C4, P3, A2]																	
	PLO-PO Matrix																	
		P.0 PO-1 PO-2 PO-3 PO-4																
	PO Matrix at th	e end of each lea	arning	g stage	(Sub-F	PO)												
												1						
		P.0	1		2 4	1	6	7	0	Wee	1		<u> </u>	10 1		15	10	
		PO-1	1	2	3 4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11 1	.2 :	13 1	L4	15	16	
		PO-2																
		PO-3																
		PO-4																]
Short Course Description	achieved when si process, student: techniques, and a independent and projects. (One of project is achieve of software deve needs, technique	al stages in softwa takeholder needs of s need an undersi approaches for doo group learning m f the crucial stage d when stakehold lopment, students s for analyzing, an independent and ects.)	an be tanding tument ethods s in so er nee need id app	met acc g of sys ting soft s so tha oftware ds can l an und roaches	cording stems a ware re- t stude develop develop erstandi for doc	to what nd so quiren nts ca oment ed acc ing of sumen	at was ftware nents in be is rec ording syste ting s	prom e engi specit traine quiren g to w ms a oftwai	nised ineerir ficatio ed wh nents /hat w nd so re req	by the ng, m ins. Then th engir as pr oftware juirem	e deve ethod he act ey are neering omise e engi ients s	eloper. So i s for explo ivities in th e directly i g. The suc d by the d neering, n specificatio	n the in rring s is lectur nvolve ccess evelop nethod ns Act	nitial so takeho ure will d in so of a so er. So, s for e tivities i	oftwar lder n be ca oftwar oftwar in the xplori n this	re dev needs arried re dev e dev e initia ng sta s lectu	elopm , anal out us velopm elopm al proc akeho re will	nent ysis sing nent nent cess Ider I be
References	Main :																	

Roger S Pressman and Bruce R. Maxim. 2014. Software Engineering: A Practitioners Approach (8th edition).
 Ivar Jacobson. 2012. Use Case version 2.
 IEEE. 1998. IEEE 1362-1998 - Concept of Operations (ConOps) Document.

Supporters:

	Supporters:						
Support lecturer	ing Riska Dhenabayu Renny Sari Dewi, Anita Safitri, M. K	S. Kom., M. Kom., M	CE., MOS.				
Week-	Final abilities of each learning stage	Eva	aluation	Lea Stude	elp Learning, rning methods, rnt Assignments, stimated time]	Learning materials [ References	Assessment Weight (%)
	(Sub-PO)	Indicator Criteria & Form		Offline ( offline )	Online ( <i>online</i> )	1	weight (70)
(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)	(6)	(7)	(8)
1	Students are able to understand the concept of framework-based software requirements analysis [C2, A2]   Students are able to understand the concept of software requirements analysis using framework [C2, A2]	1.1. Students are able to come up with digital business system ideas that are in line with the industrial revolution 4.0	Criteria: Holistic rubric Form of Assessment : Participatory Activities	Non-test form: Interactive question and answer   Non-test form: Interactive Q&A 3 X 50	Non-test form: Interactive question and answer   Non-test form: Interactive Q&A 3 X 50	Material: IEEE ConOps Framework Library: IEEE 1398. IEEE 1362- 1998 - Concept of Operations (ConOps) Document.	5%
2	Students are able to understand the concept of framework-based software requirements analysis [C2, A2]   Students are able to understand the concept of software requirements analysis using framework [C2, A2]	2.1 Students are able to explain the digitalization of manual business operations	Criteria: Holistic rubric Form of Assessment : Participatory Activities, Practice/Performance	Non-test form: Interactive question and answer   Non-test form: Interactive Q&A 3 X 50	Non-test form: Interactive question and answer   Non-test form: Interactive Q&A 3 X 50	Material: Scope Description and Document Referenced Library: IEEE 1362- 1998 - Concept of Operations (ConOps) Document.	5%
3	Students are able to define high-level system requirements and domain objects [C3, A2]   Students are able to define a high-level requirement system and domain objects [C3, A2]	<ul> <li>1.3.1. Students are able to explain high- level requirements from user stories</li> <li>2.3.2. Students are able to provide several domain objects based on user stories</li> </ul>	Criteria: Holistic rubric Form of Assessment : Participatory Activities, Practice/Performance	Lecture   Discovery & Cooperative learning 3 X 50	Lecture   Discovery & Cooperative learning 3 X 50	Material: High-level Requirements and Domain Object Library: IEEE 1998. IEEE 1362- 1998 - Concept of Operations (ConOps) Document.	5%
4	Students are able to analyze functional and non-functional requirements based on user stories [C3, A2]   Students are able to analyze functional and non- functional requirements based on user stories [C3, A2]	1.4.1. Students are able to explain the functional requirements of the system 2.4.2. Students are able to name several functional requirements that support the business processes of the digital business system that will be developed	Criteria: Holistic rubric Form of Assessment : Participatory Activities	Lectures and Simulations   Discovery & Cooperative learning, Simulation- based learning 3 X 50	Lectures and Simulations   Discovery & Cooperative learning, Simulation-based learning 3 X 50	Material: Functional Requirements   Functional Requirements Library: IEEE: 1998. IEEE 1362- 1998 - Concept of Operations (ConOps) Document.	5%

5	Students are able to analyze functional and non-functional requirements based on user stories [C3, A2]   Students are able to analyze functional and non- functional requirements based on user stories [C3, A2]	<ul> <li>1.5.1 Students are able to explain the non-functional requirements of the system</li> <li>2.5.2 Students are able to state the main non-functional requirements that support the digital business system environment to be developed</li> </ul>	Criteria: Holistic rubric Form of Assessment : Practice / Performance	Lectures and Simulations   Discovery & Cooperative learning, Simulation- based learning 3 X 50	Lectures and Simulations   Discovery & Cooperative learning, Simulation-based learning 3 x 50	Material: Non- Functional Requirements   Non- functional requirements Library: IEEE. 1998. IEEE 1362- 1998 - Concept of Operations (ConOps) Document.	5%
6	Students are able to compose use case scenarios/narratives from the functional requirements of the system [C3, A2, P2]   Students are able to compose use case narratives based on functional requirements system [C3, A2, P2]	<ul> <li>1.6.1 Students are able to explain the components and structure of a use case scenario</li> <li>2.6.2 Students are able to write use cases according to appropriate rules</li> </ul>	Criteria: Holistic rubric Form of Assessment : Participatory Activities, Practice/Performance	Lectures and Simulations   Discovery & Cooperative learning, Simulation- based learning 3 X 50	Lectures and Simulations   Discovery & Cooperative learning, Simulation-based learning 3 x 50	Material: Use Case Narrative   Use Case Narrative Bibliography: IEEE. 1998. IEEE 1362- 1998 - Concept of Operations (ConOps) Document. Material: Use Case Narrative Reader: Ivar Jacobson. 2012. Use Case version 2.	5%
7	Students are able to compose use case scenarios/narratives from the functional requirements of the system [C3, A2, P2]   Students are able to compose use case narratives based on functional requirements system [C3, A2, P2]	7.1 Students are able to compose use case narratives that are in line with the functional requirements of the system	Criteria: Holistic rubric Form of Assessment : Participatory Activities, Practice/Performance	Lectures and Simulations   Discovery & Cooperative learning, Simulation- based learning 3 X 50	Lectures and Simulations   Discovery & Cooperative learning, Simulation-based learning 3 x 50	Material: Description of Proposed Systems Library: IEEE. 1998. IEEE 1362- 1998 - Concept of Operations (ConOps) Document.	5%
8	Students are able to present the correlation between high-level requirements, functional requirements, to use case narratives comprehensively [C3, P2, A3] Students are able to present the correlation between high-level requirements, functional requirements, to use case narratives comprehensively [C3, P2, A3]	Portfolio according to case	Criteria: Holistic rubric Form of Assessment : Participatory Activities, Portfolio Assessment	Lectures and Simulations   Discovery & Cooperative learning, Simulation- based learning 3 X 50	Lectures and Simulations   Discovery & Cooperative learning, Simulation-based learning 3 X 50	Material: IEEE ConOps Document Presentation Library: IEEE 1398. IEEE 1362- 1998 - Concept of Operations (ConOps) Document.	15%
9	Students are able to create system designs using UML diagrams such as Use Case Diagrams, Sequence Diagrams, and Activity Diagrams [C4, P2, A2] ] Students are able to create UML diagrams such as use case diagrams, sequence diagrams, and activity diagrams [C4, P2, A2]	9.1 Students are able to create use case diagrams based on use case scenarios	Criteria: Holistic rubric Form of Assessment : Assessment of Project Results / Product Assessment, Practices / Performance	Project simulation 3 X 50	Project simulation 3 X 50	Material: Use Case Diagram Reader: Roger S Pressman and Bruce R. Maxim. 2014. Software Engineering: A Practitioners Approach (8th edition).	5%

10	Students are able to create system designs using UML diagrams such as Use Case Diagrams, Sequence Diagrams, and Activity Diagrams [C4, P2, A2]   Students are able to create UML diagrams such as use case diagrams, sequence diagrams, and activity diagrams [C4, P2, A2]	10.1 Students are able to create sequence diagrams based on use case scenarios	Criteria: Holistic rubric Form of Assessment : Practice / Performance	Project simulation 3 X 50	Project simulation 3 X 50	Material: Sequence Diagram Bibliography: Roger S Pressman and Bruce R. Maxim. 2014. Software Engineering: A Practitioners Approach (8th edition).	5%
11	Students are able to create system designs using UML diagrams such as Use Case Diagrams, Sequence Diagrams, and Activity Diagrams [C4, P2, A2] Students are able to create UML diagrams such as use case diagrams, sequence diagrams, and activity diagrams [C4, P2, A2]	11.1 Students are able to create activity diagrams from manual business processes converted into systems	Criteria: Holistic rubric Forms of Assessment Participatory Activities, Project Results Assessment / Product Assessment, Practices / Performance	Project simulation 3 X 50	Project simulation 3 X 50	Material: Sequence Diagram Bibliography: Roger S Pressman and Bruce R. Maxim. 2014. Software Engineering: A Practitioners Approach (8th edition).	5%
12	Students are able to create system analysis and design based on business ideas in a cohesive and comprehensive manner [C4, P3, A2]   Students are able to create system analysis and design based on business ideas cohesively and comprehensively [C4, P3, A2]	12.1 Students are able to describe the current system	Criteria: Holistic rubric Form of Assessment : Practice / Performance	Project simulation 3 X 50	Project simulation 3 x 50	Material: Operational Scenario Library: IEEE. 1998. IEEE 1362- 1998 - Concept of Operations (ConOps) Document.	5%
13	Students are able to create system analysis and design based on business ideas in a cohesive and comprehensive manner [C4, P3, A2]   Students are able to create system analysis and design based on business ideas cohesively and comprehensively [C4, P3, A2]	13.1 Students are able to justify changes towards digital business systems	Criteria: Holistic rubric Form of Assessment : Project Results Assessment / Product Assessment	Case-based method 3 X 50	Case-based method 3 x 50	Material: Justification of Changes Bibliography: IEEE. 1998. IEEE 1362- 1998 - Concept of Operations (ConOps) Document.	5%
14	Students are able to create system analysis and design based on business ideas in a cohesive and comprehensive manner [C4, P3, A2]   Students are able to create system analysis and design based on business ideas cohesively and comprehensively [C4, P3, A2]	14.1 Students are able to create operational concepts for digital business systems and analyze potential impacts in the future   14.1 Students are able to create an operational concept of proposed system and analyze the impact for future digital business	Criteria: Holistic rubric Form of Assessment : Project Results Assessment / Product Assessment	Project simulation 3 X 50	Project simulation 3 X 50	Material: Description of Proposed System Library: IEEE. 1998. IEEE 1362- 1998 - Concept of Operations (ConOps) Document.	10%
15	Students are able to create system analysis and design based on business ideas in a cohesive and comprehensive manner [C4, P3, A2]   Students are able to create system analysis and design based on business ideas cohesively and comprehensively [C4, P3, A2]	15.1 Students are able to complete the IEEE   operations concept document 15.1 Students are able to complete IEEE ConOps document	Criteria: Holistic rubric Form of Assessment : Participatory Activities, Portfolio Assessment	Project simulation 3 X 50	Project simulation 3 x 50	Material: IEEE ConOps Book Compilation Library: IEEE. 1998. IEEE 1362- 1998 - Concept of Operations (ConOps) Document.	5%

16	Students are able to present a complete operational concept document for a digital business system idea based on a certain framework [C4, A3, P3]   Students are capable to present the IEEE Concept of operations document properly [C4, A3, P3]	Accuracy in presentation/demo of framework- based case resolution	Criteria: Holistic rubric Form of Assessment : Project Results Assessment / Product Assessment, Portfolio Assessment	Demo project 3 X 50	Demo project 3 x 50	Materials: Complete ConOps documents Library: IEEE. 1998. IEEE 1362- 1998 - Concept of Operations (ConOps) Document.	10%
----	--	---	--	---------------------------	------------------------	---	-----

Evaluation Percentage Recap: Project Based Learning

No	Evaluation	Percentage
1.	Participatory Activities	31.67%
2.	Project Results Assessment / Product Assessment	24.17%
3.	Portfolio Assessment	15%
4.	Practice / Performance	29.17%
		100%

## Notes

- Learning Outcomes of Study Program Graduates (PLO Study Program) are the abilities possessed by each Study
  Program graduate which are the internalization of attitudes, mastery of knowledge and skills according to the level of their
  study program obtained through the learning process.
- 2. The PLO imposed on courses are several learning outcomes of study program graduates (CPL-Study Program) which are used for the formation/development of a course consisting of aspects of attitude, general skills, special skills and knowledge.
- 3. Program Objectives (PO) are abilities that are specifically described from the PLO assigned to a course, and are specific to the study material or learning materials for that course.
- 4. **Subject Sub-PO (Sub-PO)** is a capability that is specifically described from the PO that can be measured or observed and is the final ability that is planned at each learning stage, and is specific to the learning material of the course.
- 5. Indicators for assessing ability in the process and student learning outcomes are specific and measurable statements that identify the ability or performance of student learning outcomes accompanied by evidence.
- 6. Assessment Criteria are benchmarks used as a measure or measure of learning achievement in assessments based on predetermined indicators. Assessment criteria are guidelines for assessors so that assessments are consistent and unbiased. Criteria can be quantitative or qualitative.
- 7. Forms of assessment: test and non-test.
- 8. Forms of learning: Lecture, Response, Tutorial, Seminar or equivalent, Practicum, Studio Practice, Workshop Practice, Field Practice, Research, Community Service and/or other equivalent forms of learning.
- 9. Learning Methods: Small Group Discussion, Role-Play & Simulation, Discovery Learning, Self-Directed Learning, Cooperative Learning, Collaborative Learning, Contextual Learning, Project Based Learning, and other equivalent methods.
- 10. Learning materials are details or descriptions of study materials which can be presented in the form of several main points and sub-topics.
- 11. The assessment weight is the percentage of assessment of each sub-PO achievement whose size is proportional to the level of difficulty of achieving that sub-PO, and the total is 100%.
- 12. TM=Face to face, PT=Structured assignments, BM=Independent study.