INFSA INFSA

## Universitas Negeri Surabaya Faculty of Economics and Business Digital Business Undergraduate Study Program

Document Code

## SEMESTER LEARNING PLAN CODE **Credit Weight** SEMESTER Compilation Date Courses **Course Family** T=0 P=0 ECTS=0 Digital Business Ethics I 6120906023 4 July 17, 2024 Course Cluster Coordinator **AUTHORIZATION** SP Developer Study Program Coordinator Achmad Kautsar, S.E., M.M. Hujjatullah Fazlurrahman, S.E., MBA. Case Studies Learning model PLO study program that is charged to the course **Program** Learning **Program Objectives (PO)** Outcomes (PLO) PO - 1 Able to master the concepts and theories of Business Ethics and be able to make ethical decisions related to understanding Digital business ethics. Able to understand and comprehend digital business ethics issues that encourage the development of emerging governance accountability frameworks, and handle them effectively. PO - 2 PO - 3 Able to understand and comprehend information technology ethical issues **PLO-PO Matrix** PΩ PO-1 PO-2 PO-3 PO Matrix at the end of each learning stage (Sub-PO) P.O Week 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 PO-1 PO-2 PO-3 This course is the most important course in Digital Business because it is included in the VISION of the Study Program, where this course combines material on business ethics and technology ethics so that students are able to understand the essence of ethics, ethical theory, the Code of Ethics in digital business, GCG principles and the relationship between business ethics. digital, CSR principles and the relationship between digital business ethics, Ethical Issues During the Design Process, Technology Mediation, Moralization of Technology, Responsibility and the Law, Distribution of Responsibility and Technology Design, Environmental Ethics, Engineer Ethics and Sustainability Course Description References Main: 1. Ibo Van De Poel, And Lambèr Royakkers. 2011. Ethics, Technology, And Engineering An Introduction. A John Wiley & Sons, Ltd., Publication Supporters: Ilham Fahmi. 2021. Etika Bisnis (Teori, Kasus, dan Solusi) - cetakan kelima. Bandung: Alfabeta 2. Tri Hendro Sigit. 2012. Etika Bisnis Modern. Yogyakarta: Upp Stim Ypkn. 3. Sukrisno Agoes. 2011. Etika Bisnis Dan Profesi. Jakarta: Salemba Empat. 4. Sony Keraf. 1998. Etika Bisnis. Yogyakarta: Kanisius Dr. Ratih Amelia, S.E., M.M. Hujjatullah Fazlurrahman, S.E., MBA. Hafid Kholidi Hadi, S.E., M.SM. Achmad Kautsar, S.E., M.M. Ahmad Kurniawan, S.M., M.B.A. Fresha Kharisma, S.E., M.SM. Muhammad Fajar Wahyudi Rahman, S.E., M.M. Supporting lecturer

Week-	Final abilities of each learning stage (Sub-PO)	Evaluation		Help Learning, Learning methods, Student Assignments, [Estimated time]		Learning materials	Assessment Weight (%)
		Indicator	Criteria & Form	Offline ( offline )	Online ( online )	[ References ]	Weight (70)
(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)	(6)	(7)	(8)
1	Formulate a general description of Business Ethics	1.Able to understand the nature of ethics 2.Able to understand the relationship between religion, ethics and values	Criteria: Non-test form, Summarizing lecture material  Form of Assessment: Participatory Activities	Lecture method (The lecturer explains this basic material in one direction so that students are able to have a foundation for understanding digital business ethics) 3x50		Material: Business Ethics Reader: Ilham Fahmi. 2021. Business Ethics (Theory, Cases, and Solutions) - fifth printing. Bandung: Alphabeta  Material: Basics of Business Ethics Reader: Sukrisno Agoes. 2019. Business and Professional Ethics. Jakarta: Salemba Empat.	5%
2	Analyze the development of business ethics theory	1.Able to know the ethical theory of Utilitarianism 2.Able to know the Kantian Theory of ethics	Criteria: Summarize lecture material  Form of Assessment: Participatory Activities	Group discussion 3x50		Material: Ethic Theory Bibliography: Ibo Van De Poel, And Lambèr Royakkers. 2011. Ethics, Technology, And Engineering An Introduction. A John Wiley & Sons, Ltd., Publication  Material: Business Ethics Theory Library: Ilham Fahmi. 2021. Business Ethics (Theory, Cases, and Solutions) -fifth printing. Bandung: Alphabeta	5%
3	Analyze the development of business ethics theory	1.Able to know the ethical theory of Virtue Ethics 2.Able to know the ethical theory of Care Ethics	Criteria: Summarize lecture material  Form of Assessment: Participatory Activities, Tests	Group Discussion 3x50		Material: Ethic Theory Bibliography: Ibo Van De Poel, And Lambèr Royakkers. 2011. Ethics, Technology, And Engineering An Introduction. A John Wiley & Sons, Ltd., Publication  Material: Ethics Theory Literature: Ilham Fahmi. 2021. Business Ethics (Theory, Cases, and Solutions) -fifth printing. Bandung: Alphabeta  Material: Business Ethics Theory Reader: Sony Keraf. 1998. Business Ethics. Yogyakarta: Kanisius	5%

4	Principles and code of ethics in Digital business	1.Able to understand the Company's Code of Ethics 2.Able to understand the Professional Code of Ethics	Form of Assessment : Participatory Activities	3x50 Lecture Method	Profe of Et Rear Agoo Busi Profe Ethic Sale	der: Sukrisno es. 2019. iness and essional ess. Jakarta: emba Empat. erial: Modern	5%
					of Et Rea Hend Mod Ethic	essional Code thics der: Tri dro Sigit. 2012. lern Business cs. Yogyakarta: Stim Ypkn.	
5	Principles and code of ethics in Digital business	Understand the possibilities and limitations of the Code of Ethics in digital business.	Criteria: Case study presentation  Form of Assessment: Participatory Activities	3x150 group discussions and presentations	Conc Refe Van Lam Roya Ethic Tech Engi Intro	erences: Ibo De Poel, And bèr akkers. 2011.	5%
6	Implementation of Good Corporate Governance	Know the principles of GCG and the relationship between digital business ethics	Criteria: GCG Case Study Presentation  Form of Assessment: Participatory Activities	Group Discussion 3x50	BUS ETH CON GOO COF Rea Fahr Busi (The and fifth Banc	erial: SINESS IICS AND THE NCEPT OF DD RPORATE /ERNANCE der: Ilham mi. 2021. iness Ethics iory, Cases, Solutions) - printing. dung: nabeta	5%
					relat Busi <b>Rea</b> Agos Busi Profi Ethic	erial: GCG in ion to iness Ethics der: Sukrisno es. 2019. iness and dessional cs. Jakarta: emba Empat.	
7	Implementation of Corporate Social Responsibility	Know the principles of GCG and the relationship between digital business ethics	Criteria:     CSR Case Study     Presentation  Form of Assessment:     Participatory Activities,     Practice/Performance	Group Discussion 3x50	BUS ETH COF SOC RES (CSF Rea Fahr Busi (The and fifth   Bane	SPONSIBILITY	5%
8	Midterm exam		Form of Assessment : Test	Students take the 100 Midterm Exam			15%
9	Ethical questions in technology design	Ethical Issues During the Design Process. Ethical Issues During the Design Process	Criteria: Case Study discussion related to the design of ethical issues that occurred  Form of Assessment: Participatory Activities	Group Discussion 3x50	Issur Desi Refe Van Lam Roya Ethic Tect Engi Intro	akkers. 2011.	5%

10	Shaping ethical	1.Ethics as an	Criteria:		Material: Ethics as	5%
20	design in technology	Inportant Issue in Industry 2.Technological Mediation in business ethics	Non-test form, Summarizing lecture material  Form of Assessment : Participatory Activities	3x50 Lecture Method	a Matter of Things and Technological Mediation References: Ibo Van De Poel, And Lambèr Royakkers. 2011. Ethics, Technology, And Engineering An Introduction. A John Wiley & Sons, Ltd., Publication	370
11	Shaping ethical design in technology	1.The Moralization of Technology 2.Designing Technological Mediation in business ethics	Criteria: Non-test form, Summarizing lecture material  Form of Assessment: Participatory Activities	3x50 Lecture Method		5%
12	Distribution of Responsibilities in Digital Technology	1.The Problem of Many Hands in resolving business ethics cases     2.Responsibility and Law	Criteria: Non-test form, Summarizing lecture material  Form of Assessment: Participatory Activities	3x50 Lecture Method	Material: The Problem of Many Hands, Responsibility and the Law Bibliography: Ibo Van De Poel, And Lambèr Royakkers. 2011. Ethics, Technology, And Engineering An Introduction. A John Wiley & Sons, Ltd., Publication	5%
13	Distribution of Responsibilities in Digital Technology	1.Ethical     Responsibilities     in     Organizations     2.Distribution of     Responsibilities     and     Technology     Design	Criteria: Non-test form, Summarizing lecture material  Form of Assessment: Participatory Activities	3x50 Lecture Method	Material: Responsibility in Organizations; Responsible Distributions and Technological Designs Bibliography: Ibo Van De Poel, And Lambèr Royakkers. 2011. Ethics, Technology, And Engineering An Introduction. A John Wiley & Sons, Ltd., Publication	5%
14	Sustainability, Ethics, and Technology	1.Environmental Ethics 2.Environmental Issues 3.Environmental Issues	Criteria: 5 Form of Assessment : Participatory Activities	3x50 Lecture Method	Material: Environmental Ethics, Sustainable Development Literature: Ibo Van De Poel, And Lambèr Royakkers. 2011. Ethics, Technology, And Engineering An Introduction. A John Wiley & Sons, Ltd., Publication  Material: BUSINESS ETHICS AND ENVIRONMENTAL DESTRUCTION Reader: Ilham Fahmi. 2021. Business Ethics (Theory, Cases, and Solutions) - fifth printing. Bandung: Alphabeta	5%

15	Sustainability, Ethics, and Technology	1.Can a Sustainable Society Be Realized? 2.Engineers and Sustainability	Form of Assessment : Participatory Activities, Tests	3x50 Lecture Method	Material: Can a Sustainable Society be Realized?, Engineers and Sustainability Bibliography: Ibo Van De Poel, And Lambèr Royakkers. 2011. Ethics, Technology, And Engineering An Introduction. A John Wiley & Sons, Ltd., Publication	5%
16	Final exams		Form of Assessment : Test	Working on UAS 100 questions		15%

**Evaluation Percentage Recap: Case Study** 

Evaluation i crocintage recoupt case of						
No	Evaluation	Percentage				
1.	Participatory Activities	62.5%				
2.	Practice / Performance	2.5%				
3.	Test	35%				
		100%				

## Notes

- Learning Outcomes of Study Program Graduates (PLO Study Program) are the abilities possessed by each Study Program
  graduate which are the internalization of attitudes, mastery of knowledge and skills according to the level of their study program
  obtained through the learning process.
- 2. **The PLO imposed on courses** are several learning outcomes of study program graduates (CPL-Study Program) which are used for the formation/development of a course consisting of aspects of attitude, general skills, special skills and knowledge.
- 3. Program Objectives (PO) are abilities that are specifically described from the PLO assigned to a course, and are specific to the study material or learning materials for that course.
- 4. Subject Sub-PO (Sub-PO) is a capability that is specifically described from the PO that can be measured or observed and is the final ability that is planned at each learning stage, and is specific to the learning material of the course.
- 5. **Indicators for assessing** abilities in the process and student learning outcomes are specific and measurable statements that identify the abilities or performance of student learning outcomes accompanied by evidence.
- 6. Assessment Criteria are benchmarks used as a measure or measure of learning achievement in assessments based on predetermined indicators. Assessment criteria are guidelines for assessors so that assessments are consistent and unbiased. Criteria can be quantitative or qualitative.
- 7. **Forms of assessment:** test and non-test.
- 8. Forms of learning: Lecture, Response, Tutorial, Seminar or equivalent, Practicum, Studio Practice, Workshop Practice, Field Practice, Research, Community Service and/or other equivalent forms of learning.
- 9. Learning Methods: Small Group Discussion, Role-Play & Simulation, Discovery Learning, Self-Directed Learning, Cooperative Learning, Collaborative Learning, Contextual Learning, Project Based Learning, and other equivalent methods.
- 10. Learning materials are details or descriptions of study materials which can be presented in the form of several main points and sub-topics.
- 11. The assessment weight is the percentage of assessment of each sub-PO achievement whose size is proportional to the level of difficulty of achieving that sub-PO, and the total is 100%.
- 12. TM=Face to face, PT=Structured assignments, BM=Independent study.