

Supporters:

## Universitas Negeri Surabaya Faculty of Engineering Civil Engineering Undergraduate Study Program

Document Code

			SE	ME	ST	EF	R L	EA	RN	IN	GΙ	PL	<b>. A</b>	N							
Courses			CODE			Course Family				Credit Weight			5	SEMES	TER	Co Da	mpilati te	on			
Irrigation and	l Drainage		222010315	2			Compulsory Study Program Subjects				T=3 P=0 ECTS=4.77			1.77	4		Jul	y 17, 20	)24		
AUTHORIZAT	AUTHORIZATION		SP Develo	per			Progi	<del>am s</del>	ubjec		ourse	e Clu	uste	r Coo	ordinat	or s	Study I	Progra	m Coo	ordinate	or
			Danayanti A & Ir. Nurhay	Azmi [ /ati Ar	Dewi I itonar	Nusar ng, M	ntara, .T.	S.T.,	м.т.					i Dev ., M.1			Yogie	e Risdia	anto, S	.T., M.1	Г.
Learning model	Case Studies	ies																			
Program	PLO study program which is charged to the course																				
Learning Outcomes	Program Objectives (PO)																				
(PLO)	PO - 1	Able t	to apply know	vledge	e of th	e bas	ic pri	nciple	s of w	ater	civil e	engi	neer	ing ir	the fie	ld of ir	rigatio	n and d	Irainag	e.	
	PO - 2 Able to design secondary irrigation and drainage network systems in urban areas.																				
	PO - 3 Able to plan, complete and evaluate irrigation planning and drainage master plans																				
	PLO-PO Matrix																				
			P.0 P0-1																		
			PO-2																		
			PO-3																		
	DO Matrix at the and of each learning store (Sub DO)																				
	PO Matrix at the end of each learning stage (Sub-PO)											_									
			P.O Week							11											
			D-1	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8		9	10	11	12	13	14	15	16	
											-	-									1
			D-2 D-3									_	_								
		PC	J-3																		•
Short Course Description	The Irrigation ar channels and hy irrigation includes rice field elevatio and calculating o planning and res buildings. Lecture learning outcome	drology s: irriga n. Mea Irainag identia es are	<ul> <li>In this cours ation network unwhile, mate e times. Apa I drainage m held face-to-</li> </ul>	se, we syste rials r rt fron aster face,	e teac ms ar elated n that plans either	h abo nd pa d to d , stru s. Dut direo	out irri tterns raina cture ies in ctly or	igatior s, irriga ge inc d assi clude <sup>r</sup> onlin	n and ation lude o gnme disch e. Ass	drain dema creati nts w arge sessn	age : and d ng dr vill be calc nents	syst isch aina giv ulati s are	ems arge age i /en i ions e cai	and e, alten netwo n gro , dime rried (	the wa rnative ork syst ups re ensions out to c	ter stru planti ems a gardin s, and leterm	ictures ng patt nd patt g seco depicti ine the	in ther ern dis erns, d ndary r on of c	n. Mat charge Irainag networ channe	erial ab e, land a e facilit k irrigat l work	iout and ies, tion and
References	Main :																				
	<ol> <li>Direktorat Irigasi dan Rawa. 2013. Standart Perencanaan Irigasi KP. 01 s/d KP. 09 . Jakarta: Direktorat Jenderal Sum Air Kementerian Pekerjaan Umum</li> <li>Direktorat Irigasi dan Rawa. 2013 . Standart Perencanaan Irigasi BI. 01 s/d BI. 03 . Jakarta: Direktorat Jenderal Sum Air Kementerian Pekerjaan Umum.</li> <li>Indiah Kustini. 2014. Perencanaan Petak Tersier . Surabaya: Unesa University Press.</li> <li>Indiah Kustini. 2017. Irigasi Dan Bangunan Air . Surabaya: Unesa University Press.</li> <li>Suhardjono. 1994. Kebutuhan Air Tanaman . ITN: Malang.</li> <li>Suripin. 2004. Sistem Drainase Perkotaan Yang Berkelanjutan . Yogyakarta: Andi.</li> <li>Suryaman, Heri dan Kusnan. 2018. Drainase . Surabaya: Unesa University Press.</li> <li>Syarifudin, A. 2018. Drainase Perkotaan Berwawasan Lingkungan . Yogyakarta: Andi.</li> <li>Wesli. 2008. Drainase Perkotaan . Yogyakarta: Graha Imu.</li> </ol>																				

Suppor lecture	ting Danayanti Azmi I Siti Talitha Rachr	Dewi Nusantara, S.T	., M.T.				
Week-	Final abilities of each learning stage	Ev	aluation	Learn Studen	p Learning, ing methods, t Assignments, timated time]	Learning materials	Assessment Weight (%)
	(Sub-PO)	Indicator	Criteria & Form	Offline( offline)	Online ( <i>online</i> )	[ References ]	
(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)	(6)	(7)	(8)
1	Global understanding of irrigation	Students understand the Darinase network system	Criteria: The assessment has been determined by Unesa Form of Assessment : Participatory Activities	Participatory activities, questions and answers and reflection 3 X 50		Material: Drainage Systems Reader: Wesli. 2008. Urban Drainage. Yogyakarta: Graha Imu.	3%
2	Planning Urban Drainage	<ol> <li>Students are able to calculate the area of the termination area</li> <li>Students are able to calculate the combined flow coefficient</li> </ol>	Criteria: The assessment has been determined by Unesa Form of Assessment : Participatory Activities, Project Results Assessment / Product Assessment	Participatory activities, questions and answers and reflection 3 X 50		Material: Drainage Systems Literature: Suripin. 2004. Sustainable Urban Drainage Systems. Yogyakarta: Andi.	3%
3	Planning Urban Drainage	<ol> <li>Students are able to calculate the flow concentration time</li> <li>Students are able to calculate the amount of rain intensity</li> </ol>	Criteria: The assessment has been determined by Unesa Form of Assessment : Participatory Activities	Participatory activities, questions and answers and reflection 3 X 50		Material: Drainage Systems Literature: Suryaman, Heri and Kusnan. 2018. Drainage. Surabaya: Unesa University Press.	4%
4	Planning Urban Drainage	<ol> <li>Students are able to calculate the planned flood discharge in the drainage channel</li> <li>Students are able to plan the dimensions of the drainage channels needed</li> </ol>	Criteria: Full marks are obtained if you do the questions correctly and precisely Form of Assessment : Participatory Activities	Participatory activities, questions and answers and reflection 3 X 50		Material: Drainage Systems Reader: Wesli. 2008. Urban Drainage. Yogyakarta: Graha Imu.	3%
5	Planning Drainage on Highways	<ol> <li>Students are able to calculate flood discharge on roads with a longitudinal slope equal to 0</li> <li>Students are able to calculate flood discharge on roads with a longitudinal slope not equal to 0</li> </ol>	Criteria: Full marks are obtained if you do the questions correctly and precisely Form of Assessment : Participatory Activities	Participatory activities, questions and answers and reflection 3 X 50		Material: Drainage Systems Literature: Syarifudin, A. 2018. Environmentally Friendly Urban Drainage. Yogyakarta: Andi.	3%

6	Planning subsurface drainage	<ol> <li>Students are able to understand the drainage system below the surface</li> <li>Students are able to plan sub surface drainage for a simple sports field</li> </ol>	Criteria: Full marks are obtained if you do the questions correctly and precisely Form of Assessment : Participatory Activities	Participatory activities, questions and answers and reflection 3 X 50			3%
7	Understand the need for drainage facilities	Students are able to determine the need for drainage facilities	Criteria: Full marks are obtained if you do the questions correctly and precisely Form of Assessment : Participatory Activities	Participatory activities, questions and answers and reflection 3 X 50	D S L S a. 2 U U U U U	Material: Drainage Systems iterature: Suryaman, Heri Ind Kusnan. V018. Drainage. Surabaya: Inesa Iniversity Press.	3%
8	Master the Drainage System material by taking the Mid-Semester Exam (UTS)	Students master the Drainage System material by taking the Mid-Semester Exam (UTS)	Criteria: UTS Form of Assessment : Participatory Activities, Tests	UTS 2 X 50	D S R 2 2 V Y G M D S L S 2 2 E F D Y A M D S L S 2 2 E F D Y A M D S L S 2 2 S U U V P M D S L S 2 2 S U V S R 2 2 S S R 2 2 S S R S R 2 S S R S R	Aterial: Drainage Systems Reader: Wesli. 1008. Urban Orainage. Yogyakarta: Graha Imu. Material: Drainage Systems Literature: Systifudin, A. 1018. Invironmentally Griendly Urban Drainage. Yogyakarta: Noti. Material: Drainage Systems Literature: Suryaman, Heri Ind Kusnan. 1018. Drainage. Systems Literature: Suryaman, Heri Ind Kusnan. 1018. Drainage. Systems Literature: Surjpin. 2004. Sustainable Irban Drainage Systems. Literature: Surjpin. 2004. Sustainable Irban Drainage Systems. Literature: Surjpin. 2004. Sustainable Irban Drainage Systems. Yogyakarta: Indi.	20%
9	Understand the condition of Surabaya City, Geography, Topography, Hydrology and land use	<ol> <li>Students can explain the purpose of irrigation</li> <li>Students can explain irrigation patterns and systems</li> <li>Students can explain water sources and how to provide water</li> </ol>	Criteria: Full marks are obtained if you do the questions correctly and precisely Form of Assessment : Participatory Activities	Participation activities, questions and answers and reflection 3 X 50	וד S L D S K P S S J J D G G W R R M	Aterial: rrigation Systems iterature: Directorate of rrigation and Swamps. 2013. (P Irrigation Planning Standards. 01 o KP. 09. lakarta: Directorate General of Vater Resources, Ministry of Public Works	4%

10	Calculating the water needs of rice plants in NFR rice fields	<ol> <li>Students can explain the application of irrigation network systems</li> <li>Students can apply the water needs of rice plants in rice fields</li> </ol>	Criteria: Full marks are obtained if you do the questions correctly and precisely Form of Assessment : Participatory Activities	Participatory activities, questions and answers and reflection 3 X 50	Material: Irrigation Systems Literature: Directorate of Irrigation and Swamps. 2013. KP Irrigation Planning Standards. 01 to KP. 09 . Jakarta: Directorate General of Water Resources, Ministry of Public Works	4%
11	Calculate the discharge in each irrigation channel	Students are able to calculate the water demand in the irrigation network	Criteria: Full marks are obtained if you do the questions correctly and precisely Form of Assessment : Participatory Activities, Practice/Performance	Participatory activities, questions and answers and reflection 3 X 50	Material: Irrigation Systems Literature: Directorate of Irrigation and Swamps. 2013 . BI Irrigation Planning Standards. 01 to BI. 03 . Jakarta: Directorate General of Water Resources, Ministry of Public Works.	4%
12	Planning Irrigation Buildings	<ol> <li>Students are able to understand the components of auxiliary buildings in irrigation</li> <li>Students are able to understand the planning of siphon crossings, gutters and culverts</li> </ol>	Criteria: Full marks are obtained if you do the questions correctly and precisely Form of Assessment : Participatory Activities	Participatory activities, questions and answers and reflection 3 X 50	Material: Irrigation Systems Library: Indiah Kustini. 2017. Irrigation and Water Structures. Surabaya: Unesa University Press.	4%
13	Planning Irrigation Buildings	<ol> <li>Students are able to understand the main building components of the weir and intake intake</li> <li>Students are able to understand the planning of the main building</li> </ol>	Criteria: Full marks are obtained if you do the questions correctly and precisely Form of Assessment : Participatory Activities, Practice/Performance	Participatory activities, questions and answers and reflection 3 X 50	Material: Irrigation Systems Library: Indiah Kustini. 2014. Tertiary Plot Planning. Surabaya: Unesa University Press.	4%
14	Planning Tertiary Plots	<ol> <li>Students are able to plan tertiary plot nomenclature</li> <li>Students are able to plan a water distribution system in tertiary plots</li> </ol>	Criteria: Full marks are obtained if you do the questions correctly and precisely Form of Assessment : Participatory Activities	Participatory activities, questions and answers and reflection 3 X 50	Material: Irrigation Systems Library: Indiah Kustini. 2014. Tertiary Plot Planning. Surabaya: Unesa University Press.	4%

15	Understanding specific energy, critical depth, gradually varying flow, planning stable channels for buildings in drainage and pump systems	Students are able to plan water channels and structures in tertiary plots	Criteria: Full marks are obtained if you do the questions correctly and precisely Form of Assessment : Participatory Activities	Participatory activities, questions and answers and reflection 3 X 50	Material: Irrigation Systems Literature Directorat Irrigation BI Irrigatio Planning Standards to BI. 03 . Jakarta: Directorat General o Water Resource Ministry o Public Wo	e of and 2013 . on s. 01 e f f s, f
16	Master the Irrigation System material by taking the Final Semester Examination (UAS)	Students master the Irrigation Systems material by taking the Final Semester Examination (UAS)	Criteria: UAS Form of Assessment : Participatory Activities, Tests	UAS 3 X 50	Material: Irrigation Systems Literature Directoral Irrigation Swamps SKP Irrigat Planning Standards to KP. 09 Jakarta: Directoral General C Water Resource Ministry o Public Wo Material: Irrigation Systems Literature Directoral Irrigation Systems Literature Directoral Irrigation Systems BI Irrigation Swamps SBI Irrigation Swamps SBI Irrigation C Planning Standards to BI. 03 Jakarta: Directoral General C Water Resource Material: Irrigation Systems Literature Directorat General C Water Resource Material: Irrigation Systems SUrabaya Unesa University Press.Material: Irrigation Systems Library: 1 Kustini. 21 Irrigation Systems Library: 1 Kustini. 21 <br< td=""><td>e of and 2013. ion s. 01 e f f s, f rrks 22: e of and 2013. in s. 01 e f s, f f rrks. s. 01 2013. in s. 01 2013. in s. 01 2013. in s. 01 2014. in s. i s. i s. i s. i s. i s. i s. i s. i</br></br></br></br></br></br></br></br></br></br></br></br></br></br></br></br></br></br></br></br></br></br></br></br></br></br></br></br></br></br></br></br></br></br></br></br></br></br></br></br></br></br></br></br></br></br></br></br></br></br></br></br></br></br></br></br></br></br></br></br></br></br></br></br></br></br></td></br<>	e of and 2013. ion s. 01 e f f s, f rrks 22: e of and 2013. in s. 01 e f s, f f rrks. s. 01 2013. in s. 01 2013. 

## Evaluation Percentage Recap: Case Study

	Evaluation i broomage nooapi babb brady								
No	Evaluation	Percentage							
1.	Participatory Activities	69.5%							
2.	Project Results Assessment / Product Assessment	1.5%							
3.	Practice / Performance	4%							
4.	Test	25%							
		100%							

Notes

- Learning Outcomes of Study Program Graduates (PLO Study Program) are the abilities possessed by each Study
  Program graduate which are the internalization of attitudes, mastery of knowledge and skills according to the level of their
  study program obtained through the learning process.
- 2. The PLO imposed on courses are several learning outcomes of study program graduates (CPL-Study Program) which are
- used for the formation/development of a course consisting of aspects of attitude, general skills, special skills and knowledge.
   Program Objectives (PO) are abilities that are specifically described from the PLO assigned to a course, and are specific to the study material or learning materials for that course.
- Subject Sub-PO (Sub-PO) is a capability that is specifically described from the PO that can be measured or observed and is the final ability that is planned at each learning stage, and is specific to the learning material of the course.
- Indicators for assessing ability in the process and student learning outcomes are specific and measurable statements that identify the ability or performance of student learning outcomes accompanied by evidence.
- 6. Assessment Criteria are benchmarks used as a measure or measure of learning achievement in assessments based on predetermined indicators. Assessment criteria are guidelines for assessors so that assessments are consistent and unbiased. Criteria can be quantitative or qualitative.
- 7. Forms of assessment: test and non-test.
- 8. Forms of learning: Lecture, Response, Tutorial, Seminar or equivalent, Practicum, Studio Practice, Workshop Practice, Field Practice, Research, Community Service and/or other equivalent forms of learning.
- 9. Learning Methods: Small Group Discussion, Role-Play & Simulation, Discovery Learning, Self-Directed Learning, Cooperative Learning, Collaborative Learning, Contextual Learning, Project Based Learning, and other equivalent methods.
- Learning, and sub-topics.
- 11. The assessment weight is the percentage of assessment of each sub-PO achievement whose size is proportional to the level of difficulty of achieving that sub-PO, and the total is 100%.
- 12. TM=Face to face, PT=Structured assignments, BM=Independent study.