

Universitas Negeri Surabaya Faculty of Engineering Civil Engineering Undergraduate Study Program

Document Code

			S	SEMI	EST	ER	R LE	ΞΑΙ	RN	IINC) P	PLA	N						
Courses			CODE		Cou	Course Family			Credit Weight			SEMESTER Com		ompila ate	ation				
MPK - Construction Methods, K3, & Environment			2220104186 Con Pro		npuls gram	oulsory Study am Subjects		T=	0 P=	0 E	CTS=0		6	J	ıly 18,	2024			
AUTHORIZATION			SP Developer					Course Cluster Coordinator				Study Program Coordinator							
											Yogie Risdianto, S.T., M.T.								
Learning model	Project Base	ed Lea	arning)															
Program Learning	PLO study	progr	am tl	hat is c	harge	d to t	the co	ourse)										
Outcomes	Program Ol	Program Objectives (PO)																	
(PLO)	PLO-PO Ma	trix																	
		P.O																	
	PO Matrix a	O Matrix at the end of each learning stage (Sub-PO)																	
		F	2.0					Week											
			1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13							14	15 16								
				·	ı		l							ı		ı			
Short Course Description	rides e g the 3L mar easure	experie imple nager es, us	nship pro ence to s mentation ment system se of PF ts and w	studen on of k stems, PE, eff	ts in u (3L in meas orts to	unders indus uring o incr	standi stry in thresh ease	ng H Iclud hold	ealth, ing fac values	Safe ctors for h	ty, Sed that in numan	curity ofluer ophys	and Er ice K3I sical fac	nvironi _, prev ctors,	ment (K /ention work ac	(3L) prir ccid	proced ciples ent har	dures work ndling	
References	Main:																		
Fakultas Tim FE Unesa. Tim Un Merdeka			Jnesa. 2014. Buku Panduan Praktik Industri/Praktik Kerja Lapangan (PKL) Teknik Unesa. Surabaya: Universitas Negeri Surabaya. Jnesa. 2018. Buku Panduan Praktik Kerja Lapangan (PKL) Fakultas Ekonomi Surabaya: Universitas Negeri Surabaya. esa. 2020. Pedoman dan Implementasi Kurikulum Merdeka Belajar Kampus I. Surabaya: Universitas Negeri Surabaya.																
Supporters:																			
Supporting Ir. Nurhayati Arito Yogie Risdianto, S Prof. Dr. Erina Ra Danayanti Azmi C Meity Wulandari, Lynda Refnitasari		nto, S. a Rah zmi De dari, S.	T., M. mady wi Nu .T., M	.T. anti, S.T ısantara I.T.															
	inal abilities f each		Evaluation					Learni Student			p Learning, ning methods, it Assignments, timated time]				Learning materials [ssess Veigh		

	learning stage (Sub-PO)	Indicator	Criteria & Form	Offline (offline)	Online (online)	References]	
(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)	(6)	(7)	(8)
1	Able to apply lecture knowledge at an internship	Activities at the internship site are carried out smoothly and according to the implementation time	Form of Assessment : Project Results Assessment / Product Assessment	interview, observation, and independent 4 X 50			6%
2	Able to apply lecture knowledge at an internship	Activities at the internship site are carried out smoothly and according to the implementation time	Form of Assessment : Project Results Assessment / Product Assessment	interview, observation, and independent 4 X 50			6%
3	Able to apply lecture knowledge at an internship	Activities at the internship site are carried out smoothly and according to the implementation time	Form of Assessment: Project Results Assessment / Product Assessment	interview, observation, and independent 4 X 50			6%
4	Able to apply lecture knowledge at an internship	Activities at the internship site are carried out smoothly and according to the implementation time	Form of Assessment: Project Results Assessment / Product Assessment	interview, observation, and independent 4 X 50			6%
5	Able to apply lecture knowledge at an internship	Activities at the internship site are carried out smoothly and according to the implementation time	Form of Assessment: Project Results Assessment / Product Assessment	interview, observation, and independent 4 X 50			6%
6	Able to apply lecture knowledge at an internship	Activities at the internship site are carried out smoothly and according to the implementation time	Form of Assessment: Project Results Assessment / Product Assessment	interview, observation, and independent 4 X 50			6%
7	Able to apply lecture knowledge at an internship	Activities at the internship site are carried out smoothly and according to the implementation time	Form of Assessment: Project Results Assessment / Product Assessment	interview, observation, and independent 4 X 50			6%
8	Able to apply lecture knowledge at an internship	Activities at the internship site are carried out smoothly and according to the implementation time	Form of Assessment: Project Results Assessment / Product Assessment	interview, observation, and independent 4 X 50			6%
9	Able to apply lecture knowledge at an internship	Activities at the internship site are carried out smoothly and according to the implementation time	Form of Assessment: Project Results Assessment / Product Assessment	interview, observation, and independent 4 X 50			6%
10	Able to apply lecture knowledge at an internship	Activities at the internship site are carried out smoothly and according to the implementation time	Form of Assessment : Project Results Assessment / Product Assessment	interview, observation, and independent 4 X 50			6%

11	Able to apply lecture knowledge at an internship	Activities at the internship site are carried out smoothly and according to the implementation time	Form of Assessment : Project Results Assessment / Product Assessment	interview, observation, and independent 4 X 50		6%
12	Able to apply lecture knowledge at an internship	Activities at the internship site are carried out smoothly and according to the implementation time	Form of Assessment: Project Results Assessment / Product Assessment	interview, observation, and independent 4 X 50		6%
13	Able to apply lecture knowledge at an internship	Activities at the internship site are carried out smoothly and according to the implementation time	Form of Assessment: Project Results Assessment / Product Assessment	interview, observation, and independent 4 X 50		6%
14	Able to apply lecture knowledge at an internship	Activities at the internship site are carried out smoothly and according to the implementation time	Form of Assessment: Project Results Assessment / Product Assessment	interview, observation, and independent 4 X 50		6%
15	Able to apply lecture knowledge at an internship	Activities at the internship site are carried out smoothly and according to the implementation time	Form of Assessment: Project Results Assessment / Product Assessment	interview, observation, and independent 4 X 50		6%
16			Form of Assessment: Project Results Assessment / Product Assessment			10%

Evaluation Percentage Recap: Project Based Learning

No	Evaluation	Percentage						
1.	Project Results Assessment / Product Assessment	100%						
		100%						

Notes

- 1. Learning Outcomes of Study Program Graduates (PLO Study Program) are the abilities possessed by each Study Program graduate which are the internalization of attitudes, mastery of knowledge and skills according to the level of their study program obtained through the learning process.
- 2. The PLO imposed on courses are several learning outcomes of study program graduates (CPL-Study Program) which are used for the formation/development of a course consisting of aspects of attitude, general skills, special skills and knowledge.
- 3. Program Objectives (PO) are abilities that are specifically described from the PLO assigned to a course, and are specific to the study material or learning materials for that course.
- 4. Subject Sub-PO (Sub-PO) is a capability that is specifically described from the PO that can be measured or observed and is the final ability that is planned at each learning stage, and is specific to the learning material of the course.
- 5. Indicators for assessing ability in the process and student learning outcomes are specific and measurable statements that identify the ability or performance of student learning outcomes accompanied by evidence.
- 6. Assessment Criteria are benchmarks used as a measure or measure of learning achievement in assessments based on predetermined indicators. Assessment criteria are guidelines for assessors so that assessments are consistent and unbiased. Criteria can be quantitative or qualitative.
- 7. Forms of assessment: test and non-test.
 8. Forms of learning: Lecture, Response, Tutorial, Seminar or equivalent, Practicum, Studio Practice, Workshop Practice, Field Practice, Research, Community Service and/or other equivalent forms of learning.
- 9. Learning Methods: Small Group Discussion, Role-Play & Simulation, Discovery Learning, Self-Directed Learning, Cooperative Learning, Collaborative Learning, Contextual Learning, Project Based Learning, and other equivalent methods.

- $10. \ \textbf{Learning materials} \ \text{are details or descriptions of study materials which can be presented in the form of several}$ main points and sub-topics.
- 11. The assessment weight is the percentage of assessment of each sub-PO achievement whose size is proportional to the level of difficulty of achieving that sub-PO, and the total is 100%.
 12. TM=Face to face, PT=Structured assignments, BM=Independent study.