

Universitas Negeri Surabaya Faculty of Languages and Arts Bachelor of Chinese Language Education Study Program

Document Code

SEMESTER	I FARNING	ρι ΔΝ

Courses				CODE				Cou	rse	Fam	ily		Cred	it We	ight		SEME	ESTER	Compilation Date
Discours	se An	alysis		882080217	4					rogra			T=2	P=0	ECTS=	3.18		5	July 16, 2024
AUTHOR	RIZAT	ION		SP Developer				Course Cluster Coordinator					/ Progra dinator	ım					
				Miftachul Amri, M.Pd., M.Ed., Ph.D.				Muhammad Farhan Masrur, S.Pd., M.TCFL				ır,	Miftachul Amri, M.Pd., M.Ed., Ph.D.						
Learning model	I	Project Based Learning																	
Program		PLO study program that is charged to the course																	
Learning Outcom (PLO)		PLO-8 Able to speak and write (productively) in Mandarin in daily/general, academic and work contexts equivalent to HSK 4 and HSKK Intermediate																	
. ,		Program Objectives (PO)																	
		PO - 1	essenc cohesio	e of discour	se ana rence	lysis	, elei	ments o	of di	scou	rse, 1	types	s of di	scour	se, prin	ciples	in disc	course a	nalysis, deixis,
		PLO-PO Matrix																	
				P.O		PLO	9-8												
				PO-1		1													
		PO Matrix at th	e end o	of each lear	ning s	stage	e (Sı	ub-PO)											
				P.0									Wee	k					
				Ī	1	2	3	4 5	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13	14	15 16
			PO	-1															
					•	-	-	•											
Short Course Descript	tion	Course Descripti analysis, deixis, pragmatics and assignments, ind exhibitions/shows	cohesio their ap depende	n and cohe oplication in ent learning,	rence, Chine and	pres ese c	uppc disco	ositions urse ,	and both	l imp n vei	olicat rbally	ures, ⁄anc	refer thro	ence	s and ir face-to-f	nferen face	ices, a activitie	s well a es, train	s principles in ing, structured
Referen	ces	Main :																	
		1. Wijana d	an Muha	ammad Rohr	nadi. 2	009.	Anal	lisis Wa	can	a Pra	agma	tik Ka	ajian ⁻	Feori	dan Ana	lisis.	Suraka	rta: Yun	ia Pustaka.
		Supporters:																	
		1. Mulyana	. 2005. k	Kajian Wacar	na. Yog	gyaka	arta :	Tiara V	Vaca	ana.									
Support lecturer		Prof. Dr. Subandi Muhammad Farh			TCFL														
Fin		nal abilities of ch learning ge		Evaluation					Help Learning, Learning methods, Student Assignments, [Estimated time]					Learning materials [References		Assessment Weight (%)			
	(Su	Ď-PO)		ndicator		Crite	eria a	& Form			fline f <i>line</i>		0	nline	(online)	Rele]	
(1)		(2)		(3)			(4))			(5)			((6)			(7)	(8)

1	Understand the	Explaining the	Criteria:	Lectures	Material:	4%
	nature of discourse and discourse analysis	nature of discourse and discourse analysis	4: the description is correct3: the description is generally correct, there is one aspect for which the explanation is incorrect2: the description is generally correct, there is more than one aspect for which the explanation is incorrect1: the description is incorrect1: the description is incorrect1 Forms of Assessment : Participatory Activities, Project Results Assessment, Portfolio Assessment	and questions and answers 2 X 50	Material: Process of Interpreting Meaning using a pragmatic approach Literature: Material: Meaning Interpretation Process using a pragmatic approach Reader: Wijana and Muhammad Rohmadi. 2009. Pragmatic Discourse Analysis Theory and Analysis Study. Surakarta: Yuma Pustaka.	470
2	Utilizing science and technology as a tool to help solve problems related to the types of discourse and principles in AWM Discussing the elements of discourse and various types of discourse in Mandarin	 Utilizing the internet to discuss types of discourse and principles in AW Utilize the internet to search for and discuss examples of discourse in Mandarin 	Criteria: 4: the description is correct3: the description is generally correct, there is one aspect for which the explanation is incorrect2: the description is generally correct, there is more than one aspect for which the explanation is incorrect1: the description is incorrect1: the description is incorrect1: Results Assessment : Participatory Activities, Project Results Assessment, Product Assessment, Practical Assessment Asses	Discussion and presentation 2 X 50	Material: Meaning Interpretation Process using a pragmatic approach Reader: Wijana and Muhammad Rohmadi. 2009. Pragmatic Discourse Analysis Theory and Analysis Study. Surakarta: Yuma Pustaka.	6%
3	Utilizing science and technology as a tool to help solve problems related to the types of discourse and principles in AWM Discussing the elements of discourse and various types of discourse in Mandarin	 Utilizing the internet to discuss types of discourse and principles in AW Utilize the internet to search for and discuss examples of discourse in Mandarin 	Criteria: 4: the description is correct3: the description is generally correct, there is one aspect for which the explanation is incorrect2: the description is generally correct, there is more than one aspect for which the explanation is incorrect1: the description is incorrect2: the description is incorrect1: the description is incorrect2: the description is incorrect1: the description is incorrect2:	Discussion and presentation 2 X 50	Material: examines a continuous series of theoretical and practical concepts both in oral and written discourse . Readers: Wijana and Muhammad Rohmadi. 2009. Pragmatic Discourse Analysis Theory and Analysis Study. Surakarta: Yuma Pustaka.	5%

4	Mastering the concepts of deixis, cohesion and coherence Utilizing science and technology to obtain data about deixis in BM and cohesion and coherence	 Explain the concept of deixis and types of deixis Analyzing deixis in discourse Explain the concept of cohesion and 	Criteria: 4: the description is correct3: the description is generally correct, there is one aspect for which the explanation is incorrect2: the description is generally correct, there is more than one aspect for which the explanation is incorrect1: the description is incorrect2: the Resent is project Results Assessment / Product Assessment, Portfolio Assessment, Practice / Performance, Tests	Discussion and presentation 2 X 50	Material: examines a continuous series of theoretical and practical concepts both in oral and written discourse . Readers: Wijana and Muhammadi Rohmadi. 2009. Pragmatic Discourse Analysis Theory and Analysis Study. Surakarta: Yuma Pustaka.	5%
5	Mastering the concepts of deixis, cohesion and coherence Utilizing science and technology to obtain data about deixis in BM and cohesion and coherence	 Explain the concept of deixis and types of deixis Analyzing deixis in discourse Explain the concept of cohesion and 	Criteria: 4: the description is correct3: the description is generally correct, there is one aspect for which the explanation is incorrect2: the description is generally correct, there is more than one aspect for which the explanation is incorrect1: the description is incorrect2: the Assessment : Participatory Activities, Project Results Assessment / Product Assessment, Practical Assessment, Practical Assessment, Practical / Performance, Test	Discussion and presentation 2 X 50	Material: examines a series of continuous theoretical and practical concepts both in oral and written discourse. Reader: Mulyana. 2005. Discourse Studies. Yogyakarta: Tiara Wacana.	6%
6	Mastering the concepts of deixis, cohesion and coherence Utilizing science and technology to obtain data about deixis in BM and cohesion and coherence	 Explain the concept of deixis and types of deixis Analyzing deixis in discourse Explain the concept of cohesion and 	Criteria: 4: the description is correct3: the description is generally correct, there is one aspect for which the explanation is incorrect2: the description is generally correct, there is more than one aspect for which the explanation is incorrect1: the description is incorrect2: the description is incorrect1: the description is incorrect1: the description is incorrect1: the description is incorrect1: the description is incorrect1: Assessment : Participatory Activities, Project Results Assessment, Product Assessment, Practical Assessment, Practical / Performance, Test	Discussion and presentation 2 X 50	Material: studying linguistic units within the scope of linguistics in the field of syntax. Reader: Wijana and Muhammad Rohmadi. 2009. Pragmatic Discourse Analysis Theory and Analysis Study. Surakarta: Yuma Pustaka.	6%

7	Discusses the concepts of presupposition and implicature. Discusses the concepts of reference and inference	 Explain the concepts of presupposition and implicature Analyzing presuppositions and implicatures in discourse Explain the concepts of reference and inference Analyzing references and inferences in discourse 	Criteria: Attached (attachment 1) Forms of Assessment : Participatory Activities, Project Results Assessment / Product Assessment, Practice / Performance, Tests	Discussion and presentation 2 X 50	Material: studying linguistic units within the scope of linguistics in the field of morphology. Reader: Wijana and Muhammad Rohmadi. 2009. Pragmatic Discourse Analysis Theory and Analysis Study. Study. Surakarta: Yuma Pustaka.	5%
8	Discusses the concepts of presupposition and implicature. Discusses the concepts of reference and inference	 Explain the concepts of presupposition and implicature Analyzing presuppositions and implicatures in discourse Explain the concepts of reference and inference Analyzing references and inferences in discourse 	Criteria: Attached (attachment 1) Forms of Assessment : Participatory Activities, Project Results Assessment / Product Assessment, Portfolio Assessment, Practical Assessment	Discussion and presentation 2 X 50	Material: studying linguistic units within the scope of linguistics in the field of semantics. Reader: Wijana and Muhammad Rohmadi. 2009. Pragmatic Discourse Analysis Theory and Analysis Study. Study. Sturakata: Yuma Pustaka.	12%
9	UTS	UTS	Criteria: UTS Forms of Assessment : Participatory Activities, Project Results Assessment / Product Assessment, Practice / Performance, Tests	UTS 2 X 50	Material: studying linguistic units within the scope of linguistics in the field of phonology. Library: Wijana and Muhammad Rohmadi. 2009. Pragmatic Discourse Analysis Theory and Analysis Study. Surakarta: Yuma Pustaka.	4%

10	Discusses the nature of pragmatics	Mastering the nature of pragmatics	Criteria: Attached (attachment 1) Forms of Assessment : Project Results Assessment, Portfolio Assessment, Practical Assessment, Practice / Performance	Lectures and questions and answers 2 X 50	Material: studying linguistic units within the scope of linguistics in the field of pragmatics. Library: Wijana and Muhammad Rohmadi. 2009. Pragmatic Discourse Analysis Theory and Analysis Study. Surakarta: Yuma Pustaka. Material: analyzing discourse with the group and presenting the results of the discussion in class Reader: Mulyana. 2005. Discourse Studies. Yogyakarta: Tiara	4%
11	Make strategic decisions based on the results of collecting data and information about speech acts and Chinese speech/text data and analyzing them	 1.Collect information about speech act theory and discourse/speech data 2.Analyzing data from the internet using speech act theory 	Criteria: Attached (attachment 1) Forms of Assessment : Participatory Activities, Project Results Assessment / Product Assessment, Portfolio Assessment, Practice / Performance, Tests	Discussion and presentation 2 X 50	Material: analyze discourse with the group and present the results of the discussion in class Reader: Wijana and Muhammad Rohmadi. 2009. Pragmatic Discourse Analysis Theory and Analysis Study. Surakarta: Yuma Pustaka.	5%
12	Make strategic decisions based on the results of collecting data and information about speech acts and Chinese speech/text data and analyzing them	 Collect information about speech act theory and discourse/speech data Analyzing data from the internet using speech act theory 	Criteria: Attached (attachment 1) Forms of Assessment : Participatory Activities, Project Results Assessment / Product Assessment, Portfolio Assessment, Practical Assessment, Practical / Performance, Test	Discussion and presentation 2 X 50	Material: analyze discourse with the group and present the results of the discussion in class Reader: Wijana and Muhammad Rohmadi. 2009. Pragmatic Discourse Analysis Theory and Analysis Study. Surakarta: Yuma Pustaka.	6%

13	Make strategic decisions based on the results of collecting data and information about speech acts and Chinese speech/text data and analyzing them	 Collect information about speech act theory and discourse/speech data Analyzing data from the internet using speech act theory 	Criteria: Attached (attachment 1) Forms of Assessment : Participatory Activities, Project Results Assessment / Product Assessment, Portfolio Assessment, Practice / Performance	Discussion and presentation 2 X 50	Material: analyze discourse with the group and present the results of the discussion in class Reader: Wijana and Muhammad Rohmadi. 2009. Pragmatic Discourse Analysis Theory and Analysis Study. Surakarta: Yuma Pustaka.	4%
14	Able to take responsibility for utilizing science and technology as a tool to discuss the results of analysis of the principles of cooperation and principles of politeness in Mandarin speech/discourse	Presenting the results of the analysis of the principle of cooperation and the principle of politeness in BM discourse	Criteria: Attached (attachment 1) Forms of Assessment : Participatory Activities, Project Results Assessment / Product Assessment, Portfolio Assessment, Practical Assessment, Practical Practical / Performance, Test	Presentation and Discussion 2 X 50	Material: analyze discourse with the group and present the results of the discussion in class Reader: Wijana and Muhammad Rohmadi. 2009. Pragmatic Discourse Analysis Theory and Analysis Study. Surakarta: Yuma Pustaka.	9%
15	Able to take responsibility for utilizing science and technology as a tool to discuss the results of analysis of the principles of cooperation and principles of politeness in Mandarin speech/discourse	Presenting the results of the analysis of the principle of cooperation and the principle of politeness in BM discourse	Criteria: Attached (attachment 1) Forms of Assessment : Participatory Activities, Project Results Assessment / Product Assessment, Portfolio Assessment, Practical Assessment, Practical / Performance, Test	Presentation and Discussion 2 X 50	Material: analyze discourse with the group and present the results of the discussion in class Reader: Wijana and Muhammad Rohmadi. 2009. Pragmatic Discourse Analysis Theory and Analysis Study. Surakarta: Yuma Pustaka.	9%
16	UAS	UAS	Criteria: UAS Forms of Assessment : Participatory Activities, Project Results Assessment / Product Assessment, Practical Assessment, Practice / Performance, Test	UAS 2 X 50	Material: reflection Reader: Wijana and Muhammad Rohmadi. 2009. Pragmatic Discourse Analysis Theory and Analysis Study. Surakarta: Yuma Pustaka.	10%

 Evaluation Percentage Recap: Project Based Learning

 No
 Evaluation

 Percentage

1.	Participatory Activities	19.03%
2.	Project Results Assessment / Product Assessment	21.28%
3.	Portfolio Assessment	17.03%
4.	Practical Assessment	13.2%
5.	Practice / Performance	15.7%
6.	Test	13.75%
		99.99%

Notes

- Learning Outcomes of Study Program Graduates (PLO Study Program) are the abilities possessed by each Study
 Program graduate which are the internalization of attitudes, mastery of knowledge and skills according to the level of their
 study program obtained through the learning process.
- The PLO imposed on courses are several learning outcomes of study program graduates (CPL-Study Program) which are used for the formation/development of a course consisting of aspects of attitude, general skills, special skills and knowledge.
- 3. **Program Objectives (PO)** are abilities that are specifically described from the PLO assigned to a course, and are specific to the study material or learning materials for that course.
- 4. **Subject Sub-PO (Sub-PO)** is a capability that is specifically described from the PO that can be measured or observed and is the final ability that is planned at each learning stage, and is specific to the learning material of the course.
- 5. Indicators for assessing ability in the process and student learning outcomes are specific and measurable statements that identify the ability or performance of student learning outcomes accompanied by evidence.
- Assessment Criteria are benchmarks used as a measure or measure of learning achievement in assessments based on predetermined indicators. Assessment criteria are guidelines for assessors so that assessments are consistent and unbiased. Criteria can be quantitative or qualitative.
- 7. Forms of assessment: test and non-test.
- 8. Forms of learning: Lecture, Response, Tutorial, Seminar or equivalent, Practicum, Studio Practice, Workshop Practice, Field Practice, Research, Community Service and/or other equivalent forms of learning.
- 9. Learning Methods: Small Group Discussion, Role-Play & Simulation, Discovery Learning, Self-Directed Learning,
- Cooperative Learning, Collaborative Learning, Contextual Learning, Project Based Learning, and other equivalent methods.
 10. Learning materials are details or descriptions of study materials which can be presented in the form of several main points and sub-topics.
- 11. The assessment weight is the percentage of assessment of each sub-PO achievement whose size is proportional to the level of difficulty of achieving that sub-PO, and the total is 100%.
- 12. TM=Face to face, PT=Structured assignments, BM=Independent study.