



Universitas Negeri Surabaya Faculty of Mathematics and Natural Sciences Undergraduate Chemistry Education Study Program

			SE	ΞM	ES	TE	RL	_E <i>F</i>	\RN	IIN	G F	PLA	N							
Courses			CODE				Cou	rse Fa	amily		Cı	redit V	Veight		SEI	MESTE	R	Co	mpilati te	on
School Mana	gement		8420402180)			Stud	ly Pro	gram	_	T=	2 P	0 EC	TS=3.18		6		Jul	y 1, 20	22
AUTHORIZAT	TION		SP Develop	er			EIEU	HVE C	ourse	T .	ırse C	luste	Coord	dinator	Stu	dy Pro	gram	Coord	inator	
			Prof. Dr. Ach	nmad	Lutfi,	, M.Po	d.			Prof	. Dr. S	Suyon	o, M.Pc	d.	F	Prof. Dr	. Utiya	Azizał	n, M.Pd	l.
Learning model	Project Based	Learnii	ng							<u> </u>										
Program	PLO study pr	ogram	which is ch	arge	ed to	the c	cours	e												
Learning Outcomes (PLO)	PLO-6		o adapt to var tion, both forr						nical s	cience	e, con	tinue t	o deve	lop and le	earn 1	through	nout life	e to co	ntinue	
	PLO-9		ring the princ o operate che						d Sec	urity),	mana	aging 1	the lab	pratory and using its equipment as well as				S		
	PLO-12	Able to		e che	emical	peda	agogic	al kno	wledg	je abo	out de	signin	g, imple	ementing	menting and evaluating chemistry learning				ng	
	Program Obje	ectives	(PO)																	
	PO - 1	Utilize	learning reso	urce	s and	ICT t	o des	cribe a	and ar	nalyze	scho	ol maı	nageme	ent.						
	PO - 2		knowledge a branding, SV												nent	Princip	oles, q	uality a	assurar	тсе,
	PO - 3	Make (decisions in a	naly	zing v	arious	s activ	/ities r	elated	to sc	hool n	nanag	ement.							
	PO - 4		a responsible I-Based Mana																papers	s on
	PLO-PO Matr	ix																		
			P.O		PLO	D-6		PI	LO-9		Р	LO-12	2							
			PO-1																	
			PO-2																	
			PO-3																	
			PO-4																	
	PO Matrix at 1	he end	l of each lea	arnin	ıq sta	age (Sub-F	PO)												
					J	3 (-,												
			P.O									Wee	k]
				1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13	14	15	16	
		PO	_1	_	_					'			10			10		-10	10	
		PO																		ł
		PO																		
		PO																		ł
			-4]
Short Course Description	Study of general including: improvement in analysis of train	oving gu	iality, bottom	up p	lannir	ng an	d dec	ision	makin	g, ťrai	nspare	ent ma	anagen	nent, and	l com	munity	empo			
References	Main :																			
	1. Lutfi, A	. & Hida	ayah, R. 2018	. Maı	najem	en Se	ekolar	n . Sur	abaya	ı: Univ	ersity	Press	6.							
	Supporters:																			
																		_		

 Rangkuti, Freddy. 2006. Analisis SWOT. Teknik Membedah Kasus Bisnis. Jakarta:PT Gramedia Pustaka Utama Supriadi, Dedi. 2004. Satuan Biaya Pendidikan Dasar dan Menengah. Bandung: PT Remaja Rosda Karya. Griffin, R. 2006. Business, 8th Edition. NJ: Prentice Hall Robbins Stephen dan Mary Coulter. 2007. Management, 8th Edition. NJ:Prentice Hall
--

Supporting lecturer Dr. Achmad Lutfi, M.Pd. Dr.Hj. Rinaningsih, S.Pd., M.Pd. Dian Novita, S.T., M.Pd. Rusly Hidayah, S.Si., M.Pd.

(Sub-PO) Indicator Criteria & (1) (2) (3) (4) 1 Explain the management processes in the nanagement processes in the nanagement processes in the nanagement nanageme	offline) (5) Discussion 2 X 50 out on	Online (online) (6)	[References]	(8)
1 Explain the Explain several Criteria:	ment is out on Discussion 2 X 50	(6)		(8)
	ment is 2 X 50 out on		Managerial.	(0)
process in general system system carried aspects 2.1. Particularing I carried through observa (weight 3.2. The I Semest Examin (UTS) is out assorelevan indicate through exam, weighting through exam, weighting through examin (UAS) is out assorelevan indicate through examin (UAS) is out assorelevan indicate through examin (UAS) is out assorelevan indicate through examin as weighting through ex	cipation ectures, out I ation 2) Mid- ter ation s carried essing all t ors a written with a ng of (2) Final ter ation s carried essing all t t ors a written with a ng of (2) Final ter ation s carried essing all t t ors a written ation, with nt of (3)) uct ment paper, as gnment, ight (3) final NA is pation 2) ment 3) (UTS 2) UAS 3) divided sessment		Material: Introduction Bibliography: Lutfi, A. & Hidayah, R. 2018. School Management. Surabaya: University Press.	5%

2	Explain the management process in general	Explain several processes in the management system	Criteria: 1. Assessment is carried out on aspects: 2.1. Participation during lectures, carried out through observation (weight 2) 3.2. The Mid-Semester Examination (UTS) is carried out assessing all relevant indicators through a written examination, with a weighting of (2) 4.3. The Final	Discussion 2 X 50	Material: processes in management systems References: Lutfi, A. & Hidayah, R. 2018. School Management. Surabaya: University Press.	10%
			2.1. Participation during lectures,		A. & Hidayah, R.	
					Management.	
					University Press.	
			_			
			through a written			
			4.3. The Final Semester			
			Examination			
			(UAS) is carried			
			out assessing all			
			relevant			
			indicators			
			through a written examination, with			
			a weight of (3))			
			5.4. Report/paper			
			product			
			assessment, as			
			an assignment,			
			with weight (3)			
			6.5. The final NA is (participation			
			value x2)			
			(assignment			
			value x 3) (UTS			
			value x 2) UAS			
			value (3) divided			
			by 10			
			Form of Assessment			
			Participatory Activities,			
			Portfolio Assessment			

	•					
3	Presentation of the training school management system for field practice	Informing the training school management system where field practice takes place	Criteria: 1. Assessment is carried out on aspects: 2.1. Participation during lectures, carried out through observation (weight 2) 3.2. The Mid-Semester Examination (UTS) is carried out assessing all relevant indicators through a written exam, with a weighting of (2) 4.3. The Final Semester Examination (UAS) is carried out assessing all relevant indicators through a written exam, with a weighting of (2) 4.3. The Final Semester Examination (UAS) is carried out assessing all relevant indicators through a written examination, with a weight of (3)) 5.4. Report/paper product assessment, as an assignment, with weight (3) 6.5. The final NA is (participation value x2) (assignment value x 3) (UTS value x 2) UAS value (3) divided by 10 Form of Assessment: Project Results Assessment / Product	Discussion Presentation 2 X 50	Material: training school management system for field practice. Reference: Lutfi, A. & Hidayah, R. 2018. School Management. Surabaya: University Press.	5%

## Presentation of the training school management system for field practice. The training school management system for field practice takes place. ### Presentation of the training school management system where field practice. The place is a species. The management system for field practice. The management system for fiel		T	T	T	ı	1		1
Accoment	4	the training school management system for field	training school management system where field practice takes	1.Assessment is carried out on aspects: 2.1. Participation during lectures, carried out through observation (weight 2) 3.2. The Mid-Semester Examination (UTS) is carried out assessing all relevant indicators through a written exam, with a weighting of (2) 4.3. The Final Semester Examination (UAS) is carried out assessing all relevant indicators through a written exam, with a weighting of (3) 4.3. The Final Semester Examination (UAS) is carried out assessing all relevant indicators through a written examination, with a weight of (3)) 5.4. Report/paper product assessment, as an assignment, with weight (3) 6.5. The final NA is (participation value x2) (assignment value x 2) UAS value (3) divided by 10 Form of Assessment: Project Results Assessment / Product	Presentation		school management system for field practice. Reference: Lutfi, A. & Hidayah, R. 2018. School Management. Surabaya:	5%

5	Explain the principles of School Based Management (SBM)	Explain the benefits of implementing School Based Management (MBS) according to the educational unit curriculum	Criteria: 1.Assessment is carried out on aspects: 2.1. Participation during lectures, carried out through observation (weight 2) 3.2. The Mid-Semester Examination (UTS) is carried out assessing all relevant indicators through a written exam, with a weighting of (2) 4.3. The Final Semester Examination (UAS) is carried out assessing all relevant indicators through a written exam, with a weighting of (2) 4.3. The Final Semester Examination (UAS) is carried out assessing all relevant indicators through a written examination, with a weight of (3)) 5.4. Product assessment Report/paper, as a posignment.	Discussion Presentation 2 X 50	Material: principles of School-Based Management (MBS) Reference: Lutfi, A. & Hidayah, R. 2018. School Management. Surabaya: University Press.	5%
			(UAS) is carried out assessing all relevant indicators through a written examination, with a weight of (3)) 5.4. Product assessment Report/paper, as an assignment, with weight (3) 6.5. The final NA is (participation value x2) (assignment value x 3) (UTS value x 2) UAS value (3) divided by 10			
			Form of Assessment: Project Results Assessment / Product Assessment			

	Elain Han	Final aire than	0.11.11	5	**************************************	F0/
6	Explain the principles of School Based Management (SBM)	Explain the benefits of implementing School Based Management (MBS) according to the educational unit curriculum	Criteria: 1.Assessment is carried out on aspects: 2.1. Participation during lectures, carried out through observation (weight 2) 3.2. The Mid-Semester Examination (UTS) is carried out assessing all relevant indicators through a written exam, with a weighting of (2) 4.3. The Final Semester Examination (UAS) is carried out assessing all relevant indicators through a written exam, with a weighting of (2) 4.3. The Final Semester Examination (UAS) is carried out assessing all relevant indicators through a written examination, with a weight of (3)) 5.4. Product assessment Report/paper, as an assignment, with weight (3) 6.5. The final NA is (participation value x2) (assignment value x 3) (UTS value x 2) UAS value (3) divided by 10 Form of Assessment / Product Assessment	Discussion Presentation 2 X 50	Material: principles of School-Based Management (MBS) Reference: Lutfi, A. & Hidayah, R. 2018. School Management. Surabaya: University Press.	5%

	ı	ı	1	I I	-	
7	Explain the principles of School Based Management (SBM)	Explain the benefits of implementing School Based Management (MBS) according to the educational unit curriculum	Criteria: 1.Assessment is carried out on aspects: 2.1. Participation during lectures, carried out through observation (weight 2) 3.2. The Mid-Semester Examination (UTS) is carried out assessing all relevant indicators through a written exam, with a weighting of (2) 4.3. The Final Semester Examination (UAS) is carried out assessing all relevant indicators through a written exam, with a weighting of (2) 4.3. The Final Semester Examination (UAS) is carried out assessing all relevant indicators through a written examination, with a weight of (3)) 5.4. Product assessment Report/paper, as an assignment, with weight (3) 6.5. The final NA is (participation value x2) (assignment value x 2) UAS value (3) divided by 10 Form of Assessment: Project Results Assessment / Product Assessment	Discussion Presentation 2 X 50	Material: implementation of School-Based Management (MBS) according to educational unit curriculum. Reference: Lutfi, A. & Hidayah, R. 2018. School Management. Surabaya: University Press.	5%

9	Explaining SWOT Analysis	Understand the use of SWOT analysis results to develop school/educational institution development plans	Criteria: 1.Assessment is carried out on aspects: 2.1. Participation during lectures, carried out through observation (weight 2) 3.2. The Mid-Semester Examination (UTS) is carried out assessing all relevant indicators through a written exam, with a weighting of (2) 4.3. The Final Semester Examination (UAS) is carried out assessing all relevant a weighting of (2)	Discussion Presentation 2 X 50	Material: results of SWOT analysis to SWOT analysis to prepare school/educational institution development plans Reference: Lutfi, A. & Hidayah, R. 2018. School Management. Surabaya: University Press.	5%
			examination, with a weight of (3)) 5.4. Product assessment Report/paper, as an assignment, with weight (3) 6.5. The final NA is (participation value x2) (assignment value x 3) (UTS value x 2) UAS value (3) divided			
			by 10 Form of Assessment: Participatory Activities			

1			T	I	Г		1
10	Explaining SWOT Analysis	Understand the use of SWOT analysis results to develop school/educational institution development plans	Criteria: 1.Assessment is carried out on aspects: 2.1. Participation during lectures, carried out through observation (weight 2) 3.2. The Mid-Semester Examination (UTS) is carried out assessing all relevant indicators through a written exam, with a weighting of (2) 4.3. The Final Semester Examination (UAS) is carried out assessing all relevant indicators through a written exam, with a weighting of (2) 4.3. The Final Semester Examination (UAS) is carried out assessing all relevant indicators through a written examination, with a weight of (3)) 5.4. Product assessment Report/paper, as an assignment, with weight (3) 6.5. The final NA is (participation value x 2) (assignment value x 3) (UTS value x 2) UAS value (3) divided by 10 Form of Assessment: Project Results Assessment / Product Assessment	Discussion Presentation 2 X 50		Material: results of SWOT analysis to prepare school/educational institution development plans Reference: Lutfi, A. & Hidayah, R. 2018. School Management. Surabaya: University Press.	5%

11	Explaining SWOT	Understand the	Criteria:	Discussion	Material: SWOT	5%
11	Explaining SWOT Analysis	Understand the use of SWOT analysis results to develop school/educational institution development plans	Criteria: 1.Assessment is carried out on aspects: 2.1. Participation during lectures, carried out through observation (weight 2) 3.2. The Mid-Semester Examination (UTS) is carried out assessing all relevant indicators through a written exam, with a weighting of (2) 4.3. The Final Semester Examination (UAS) is carried out assessing all relevant indicators through a written exam, with a weighting of (2) 4.3. The Final Semester Examination (UAS) is carried out assessing all relevant indicators through a written examination, with a weight of (3)) 5.4. Product assessment Report/paper, as an assignment, with weight (3) 6.5. The final NA is (participation value x2) (assignment value x3) (UTS value x2) UAS value (3) divided by 10 Form of Assessment:	Discussion Presentation 2 X 50	Material: SWOT Analysis References: Lutfi, A. & Hidayah, R. 2018. School Management. Surabaya: University Press.	5%

Explaining SWOT Analysis Independent of SWOT analysis results to develop school/leducational development plans Independent of SWOT analysis results to carried out on aspects. 2.1. Participation during lectures, carried out through observation (weight 2) 3.2. The Mid-Semester Examination (UTS) is carried out assessing all relevant indicators through a written exam, with a weighting of (2). 4.3. The Final Semester Examination (UAS) is carried out assessing all relevant indicators through a written exam, with a weighting of (2). 4.3. The Final Semester Examination (UAS) is carried out assessing all relevant indicators through awritten exam, with a weighting of (3). 5.4. Product assessiment Report/paper, as an assignment, with weight (3). 6.5. The final NA is (participation value x2) (assignment value x2) (LAS value (3) divided by 10). Form of Assessment : Participatory Activities	_		1	1		•
	12	use of SWOT analysis results to develop school/educational institution development	1.Assessment is carried out on aspects: 2.1. Participation during lectures, carried out through observation (weight 2) 3.2. The Mid-Semester Examination (UTS) is carried out assessing all relevant indicators through a written exam, with a weighting of (2) 4.3. The Final Semester Examination (UAS) is carried out assessing all relevant indicators through a written exam, with a weighting of (2) 4.3. The Final Semester Examination (UAS) is carried out assessing all relevant indicators through a written examination, with a weight of (3)) 5.4. Product assessment Report/paper, as an assignment, with weight (3) 6.5. The final NA is (participation value x2) (assignment value x 3) (UTS value x 2) UAS value (3) divided by 10 Form of Assessment:	Presentation	Analysis References: Lutfi, A. & Hidayah, R. 2018. School Management. Surabaya:	5%

	1	T	1	ı	<u> </u>		ı
13	Explain the school accreditation system	Can predict the accreditation achievements of training schools where field practice takes place	Criteria: 1.Assessment is carried out on aspects: 2.1. Participation during lectures, carried out through observation (weight 2) 3.2. The Mid-Semester Examination (UTS) is carried out assessing all relevant indicators through a written exam, with a weighting of (2) 4.3. The Final Semester Examination (UAS) is carried out assessing all relevant indicators through a written exam, with a weighting of (2) 4.3. The Final Semester Examination (UAS) is carried out assessing all relevant indicators through a written examination, with a weight of (3)) 5.4. Product assessment Report/paper, as an assignment, with weight (3) 6.5. The final NA is (participation value x2) (assignment value x 3) (UTS value x 2) UAS value (3) divided by 10 Form of Assessment: Project Results Assessment / Product Assessment	Discussion Presentation 2 X 50		Material: school accreditation system Reference: Lutfi, A. & Hidayah, R. 2018. School Management. Surabaya: University Press.	10%

school accreditation system	accreditation achievements of training schools where field practice takes place	1.Assessment is carried out on aspects: 2.1. Participation during lectures, carried out through observation (weight 2) 3.2. The Mid-Semester Examination (UTS) is carried out assessing all relevant indicators through a written exam, with a weighting of (2) 4.3. The Final Semester Examination (UAS) is carried out assessing all relevant indicators through a written exam, with a weight of (3) is carried out assessing all relevant indicators through a written examination, with a weight of (3)) 5.4. Product assessment Report/paper, as an assignment, with weight (3) 6.5. The final NA is (participation value x2) (assignment value x 3) (UTS value x 2) UAS value (3) divided by 10	Presentation 2 X 50	accreditation system Reference: Lutfi, A. & Hidayah, R. 2018. School Management. Surabaya: University Press.	
		by 10 Form of Assessment: Participatory Activities,			

15	Explain the school accreditation system	Can predict the accreditation achievements of training schools where field practice takes place	Criteria: 1.Assessment is carried out on aspects: 2.1. Participation during lectures, carried out through observation (weight 2) 3.2. The Mid-Semester Examination (UTS) is carried out assessing all relevant indicators through a written examination, with a weighting of (2) 4.3. The Final Semester Examination (UAS) is carried out assessing all relevant indicators through a written examination, with a weighting of (2) 4.3. The Final Semester Examination (UAS) is carried out assessing all relevant indicators through a written examination, with a weight of (3)) 5.4. Product assessment Report/paper, as an assignment, with weight (3) 6.5. The final NA is (participation value x2) (assignment value x3) (UTS value x 2) UAS value (3) divided by 10 Form of Assessment :	Discussion Presentation 2 X 50	Material: school accreditation system Reference: Lutfi, A. & Hidayah, R. 2018. School Management. Surabaya: University Press.	10%
16	According to the final ability in the 9-15 meeting	According to indicators at meeting 9-15	Criteria: Final exams Form of Assessment: Test	Final Exam Semester 2 X 50	Material: school accreditation system Reference: Lutfi, A. & Hidayah, R. 2018. School Management. Surabaya: University Press.	10%

Evaluation Percentage Recap: Project Based Learning

No	Evaluation	Percentage
1.	Participatory Activities	22.5%
2.	Project Results Assessment / Product Assessment	57.5%
3.	Portfolio Assessment	5%
4.	Test	15%
		100%

Notes

- 1. **Learning Outcomes of Study Program Graduates (PLO Study Program)** are the abilities possessed by each Study Program graduate which are the internalization of attitudes, mastery of knowledge and skills according to the level of their study program obtained through the learning process
- program obtained through the learning process.

 2. The PLO imposed on courses are several learning outcomes of study program graduates (CPL-Study Program) which are used for the formation/development of a course consisting of aspects of attitude, general skills, special skills and knowledge.
- 3. **Program Objectives (PO)** are abilities that are specifically described from the PLO assigned to a course, and are specific to the study material or learning materials for that course.
- 4. **Subject Sub-PO (Sub-PO)** is a capability that is specifically described from the PO that can be measured or observed and is the final ability that is planned at each learning stage, and is specific to the learning material of the course.
- Indicators for assessing abilities in the process and student learning outcomes are specific and measurable statements that identify the abilities or performance of student learning outcomes accompanied by evidence.
- Assessment Criteria are benchmarks used as a measure or measure of learning achievement in assessments based on predetermined indicators. Assessment criteria are guidelines for assessors so that assessments are consistent and unbiased.

- Criteria can be quantitative or qualitative.
- Forms of assessment: test and non-test.
- Forms of assessment: test and non-test.
 Forms of learning: Lecture, Response, Tutorial, Seminar or equivalent, Practicum, Studio Practice, Workshop Practice, Field Practice, Research, Community Service and/or other equivalent forms of learning.
 Learning Methods: Small Group Discussion, Role-Play & Simulation, Discovery Learning, Self-Directed Learning, Cooperative Learning, Collaborative Learning, Contextual Learning, Project Based Learning, and other equivalent methods.
 Learning materials are details or descriptions of study materials which can be presented in the form of several main points and explanation.
- The assessment weight is the percentage of assessment of each sub-PO achievement whose size is proportional to the level of difficulty of achieving that sub-PO, and the total is 100%.
 TM=Face to face, PT=Structured assignments, BM=Independent study.