

Universitas Negeri Surabaya Faculty of Mathematics and Natural Sciences Undergraduate Chemistry Education Study Program

Document Code

UNES	A									
			SEI	MESTER L	EARN	ING	PLAN			
Courses			CODE	Co	urse Family		Credit We	ight	SEMESTER	Compilation Date
Career de	evelo	pment	842040222	21			T=2 P=0	ECTS=3.18	7	July 18, 2024
AUTHOR	AUTHORIZATION		SP Develo	per		Course	e Cluster C	oordinator	Study Progra Coordinator	am
										Itiya Azizah, Pd.
Learning model	I	Project Based L	earning.							
Program		PLO study pro	gram that is ch	arged to the cou	ırse					
Outcom		Program Object	ctives (PO)							
(PLO)		PLO-PO Matrix	(
	P.O									
		PO Matrix at th	ne end of each l	earning stage (S	Sub-PO)					
Short				ersonal skills (tra		8 naracter,			hange manag	
Course Descript	tion	interpersonal sk negotiation skills	tills (communicat	creative thinking p ion skills, relatior ed in solving proble study methods	nship building	g, motiv	ation skills	, leadership	skills, self-ma	arketing skills,
Reference	ces	Main :								
	 Prijosaksono, A.M.M. (2005). The Power of Transformation. Jakarta: Elex Media Komputin 2. Rivai, V., Bachtiar, Amar, B.R. (2013). Pemimpin dan Kepemimpinan dalam Organis Cetakan I. Jakarta: Raja Grafindo Persada. Sailah, I. (2008). Pengembangan Softskill di Perguruan Tinggi. Jakarta: Dirjendikti. Samani, M. (2002). Kecakapan Hidup Melalui Pendekatan Pendidikan Berbasis Lu Surabaya: Swa Bina Qualita Indonesia. Yuanita, S. (2013). Tip Menumbuhkan Motivasi dan Percaya Diri untuk Meraih Kesukse Jakarta: Brilliant Books. 						Organisasi. Pasis Luas .			
		Supporters:								
Support lecturer										
Week-	eac	al abilities of h learning ge b-PO)		valuation	O#1	Help Learning, Learning methods Student Assignmen [Estimated time]		ods, ents, ne]	Learning materials [References	Assessment Weight (%)
	(50		Indicator	Criteria & For		ine (ine)	Unline	(online)	J	
(1)		(2)	(3)	(4)	(!	5)		(6)	(7)	(8)

		,				
1	1. Students understand the Career Development lecture system2. Explain the types of soft skills needed in life and the world of work	1. Explain the RPS, lecture system, assessment system, determination of graduation, and Career Development lecture rules2. 1 Explain the meaning of soft skills 3. Name the types of soft skills 4. Explain the role of soft skills in life and the world of work	Criteria: 1.1. Participation is assessed during lectures, carried out through observation (weight 2) 2.2. Subsummative tests or mid-semester exams (UTS) are carried out to assess indicators 1-12 through written exams, and are given a weighting of (2) 3.3. Structured task assessments are averaged, then given a weight (3) 4.4. The final semester exam (UAS) is used to measure the achievement of indicators 13-22, through a written exam, and the results are given a weight of 5. 5.5. The final NA is (participation grade") (Assignment grade%2 3) (UTS grade%2 2) UAS grade (3) divided by 10	Discussion, presentation and assignment 2 X 50		0%

				1	1	1	
2	Shows changes in	1. Shows	Criteria:	Discussion,			0%
	character and	positive .	1.1. Participation is	presentation			
	better self- confidence	changes in character 2.	assessed during	and			
	confidence	Grows in	lectures, carried	assignment			
		better self-	out through	2 X 50			
		confidence	observation				
			(weight 2)				
			2.2. Sub-				
			summative tests				
			or mid-semester				
			exams (UTS) are				
			carried out to				
			assess indicators				
			1-12 through				
			written exams,				
			and are given a				
			weighting of (2)				
			3.3. Structured				
			task				
			assessments are				
			averaged, then				
			given a weight				
			(3)				
			4.4. The final				
			semester exam				
			(UAS) is used to				
			measure the				
			achievement of				
			indicators 13-22,				
			through a written				
			exam, and the				
			results are given				
			a weight of 5.				
			5.5. The final NA is				
			(participation				
			grade")				
			(Assignment				
			grade%2 3)				
			(UTS grade%2				
			2) UAS grade (3)				
			divided by 10				
3	Demonstrated	1 Able to	Critoria	Discussion			00%
3	Demonstrated ability to manage	1. Able to manage	Criteria:	Discussion,			0%
3	Demonstrated ability to manage change and stress	1. Able to manage change 2.	1.1. Participation is	presentation			0%
3	ability to manage	manage change 2. Able to	1.1. Participation is assessed during	presentation and			0%
3	ability to manage	manage change 2. Able to manage	1.1. Participation is assessed during lectures, carried	presentation and assignment			0%
3	ability to manage	manage change 2. Able to	1.1. Participation is assessed during lectures, carried out through	presentation and			0%
3	ability to manage	manage change 2. Able to manage	1.1. Participation is assessed during lectures, carried out through observation	presentation and assignment			0%
3	ability to manage	manage change 2. Able to manage	1.1. Participation is assessed during lectures, carried out through observation (weight 2)	presentation and assignment			0%
3	ability to manage	manage change 2. Able to manage	1.1. Participation is assessed during lectures, carried out through observation (weight 2) 2.2. Sub-	presentation and assignment			0%
3	ability to manage	manage change 2. Able to manage	1.1. Participation is assessed during lectures, carried out through observation (weight 2)	presentation and assignment			0%
3	ability to manage	manage change 2. Able to manage	1.1. Participation is assessed during lectures, carried out through observation (weight 2) 2.2. Subsummative tests or mid-semester	presentation and assignment			0%
3	ability to manage	manage change 2. Able to manage	1.1. Participation is assessed during lectures, carried out through observation (weight 2) 2.2. Subsummative tests or mid-semester exams (UTS) are	presentation and assignment			0%
3	ability to manage	manage change 2. Able to manage	1.1. Participation is assessed during lectures, carried out through observation (weight 2) 2.2. Subsummative tests or mid-semester exams (UTS) are carried out to	presentation and assignment			0%
3	ability to manage	manage change 2. Able to manage	1.1. Participation is assessed during lectures, carried out through observation (weight 2) 2.2. Subsummative tests or mid-semester exams (UTS) are	presentation and assignment			0%
3	ability to manage	manage change 2. Able to manage	1.1. Participation is assessed during lectures, carried out through observation (weight 2) 2.2. Subsummative tests or mid-semester exams (UTS) are carried out to	presentation and assignment			0%
3	ability to manage	manage change 2. Able to manage	1.1. Participation is assessed during lectures, carried out through observation (weight 2) 2.2. Subsummative tests or mid-semester exams (UTS) are carried out to assess indicators	presentation and assignment			0%
3	ability to manage	manage change 2. Able to manage	1.1. Participation is assessed during lectures, carried out through observation (weight 2) 2.2. Subsummative tests or mid-semester exams (UTS) are carried out to assess indicators 1-12 through	presentation and assignment			0%
3	ability to manage	manage change 2. Able to manage	1.1. Participation is assessed during lectures, carried out through observation (weight 2) 2.2. Subsummative tests or mid-semester exams (UTS) are carried out to assess indicators 1-12 through written exams, and are given a weighting of (2)	presentation and assignment			0%
3	ability to manage	manage change 2. Able to manage	1.1. Participation is assessed during lectures, carried out through observation (weight 2) 2.2. Subsummative tests or mid-semester exams (UTS) are carried out to assess indicators 1-12 through written exams, and are given a	presentation and assignment			0%
3	ability to manage	manage change 2. Able to manage	1.1. Participation is assessed during lectures, carried out through observation (weight 2) 2.2. Subsummative tests or mid-semester exams (UTS) are carried out to assess indicators 1-12 through written exams, and are given a weighting of (2)	presentation and assignment			0%
3	ability to manage	manage change 2. Able to manage	1.1. Participation is assessed during lectures, carried out through observation (weight 2) 2.2. Subsummative tests or mid-semester exams (UTS) are carried out to assess indicators 1-12 through written exams, and are given a weighting of (2) 3.3. Structured	presentation and assignment			0%
3	ability to manage	manage change 2. Able to manage	1.1. Participation is assessed during lectures, carried out through observation (weight 2) 2.2. Subsummative tests or mid-semester exams (UTS) are carried out to assess indicators 1-12 through written exams, and are given a weighting of (2) 3.3. Structured task	presentation and assignment			0%
3	ability to manage	manage change 2. Able to manage	1.1. Participation is assessed during lectures, carried out through observation (weight 2) 2.2. Subsummative tests or mid-semester exams (UTS) are carried out to assess indicators 1-12 through written exams, and are given a weighting of (2) 3.3. Structured task assessments are averaged, then	presentation and assignment			0%
3	ability to manage	manage change 2. Able to manage	1.1. Participation is assessed during lectures, carried out through observation (weight 2) 2.2. Subsummative tests or mid-semester exams (UTS) are carried out to assess indicators 1-12 through written exams, and are given a weighting of (2) 3.3. Structured task assessments are averaged, then given a weight	presentation and assignment			0%
3	ability to manage	manage change 2. Able to manage	1.1. Participation is assessed during lectures, carried out through observation (weight 2) 2.2. Subsummative tests or mid-semester exams (UTS) are carried out to assess indicators 1-12 through written exams, and are given a weighting of (2) 3.3. Structured task assessments are averaged, then	presentation and assignment			0%
3	ability to manage	manage change 2. Able to manage	1.1. Participation is assessed during lectures, carried out through observation (weight 2) 2.2. Subsummative tests or mid-semester exams (UTS) are carried out to assess indicators 1-12 through written exams, and are given a weighting of (2) 3.3. Structured task assessments are averaged, then given a weight (3) 4.4. The final	presentation and assignment			0%
3	ability to manage	manage change 2. Able to manage	1.1. Participation is assessed during lectures, carried out through observation (weight 2) 2.2. Subsummative tests or mid-semester exams (UTS) are carried out to assess indicators 1-12 through written exams, and are given a weighting of (2) 3.3. Structured task assessments are averaged, then given a weight (3) 4.4. The final semester exams	presentation and assignment			0%
3	ability to manage	manage change 2. Able to manage	1.1. Participation is assessed during lectures, carried out through observation (weight 2) 2.2. Subsummative tests or mid-semester exams (UTS) are carried out to assess indicators 1-12 through written exams, and are given a weighting of (2) 3.3. Structured task assessments are averaged, then given a weight (3) 4.4. The final semester exam (UAS) is used to	presentation and assignment			0%
3	ability to manage	manage change 2. Able to manage	1.1. Participation is assessed during lectures, carried out through observation (weight 2) 2.2. Subsummative tests or mid-semester exams (UTS) are carried out to assess indicators 1-12 through written exams, and are given a weighting of (2) 3.3. Structured task assessments are averaged, then given a weight (3) 4.4. The final semester exam (UAS) is used to measure the	presentation and assignment			0%
3	ability to manage	manage change 2. Able to manage	1.1. Participation is assessed during lectures, carried out through observation (weight 2) 2.2. Subsummative tests or mid-semester exams (UTS) are carried out to assess indicators 1-12 through written exams, and are given a weighting of (2) 3.3. Structured task assessments are averaged, then given a weight (3) 4.4. The final semester exam (UAS) is used to measure the achievement of	presentation and assignment			0%
3	ability to manage	manage change 2. Able to manage	1.1. Participation is assessed during lectures, carried out through observation (weight 2) 2.2. Subsummative tests or mid-semester exams (UTS) are carried out to assess indicators 1-12 through written exams, and are given a weighting of (2) 3.3. Structured task assessments are averaged, then given a weight (3) 4.4. The final semester exam (UAS) is used to measure the achievement of indicators 13-22,	presentation and assignment			0%
3	ability to manage	manage change 2. Able to manage	1.1. Participation is assessed during lectures, carried out through observation (weight 2) 2.2. Subsummative tests or mid-semester exams (UTS) are carried out to assess indicators 1-12 through written exams, and are given a weighting of (2) 3.3. Structured task assessments are averaged, then given a weight (3) 4.4. The final semester exam (UAS) is used to measure the achievement of indicators 13-22, through a written	presentation and assignment			0%
3	ability to manage	manage change 2. Able to manage	1.1. Participation is assessed during lectures, carried out through observation (weight 2) 2.2. Subsummative tests or mid-semester exams (UTS) are carried out to assess indicators 1-12 through written exams, and are given a weighting of (2) 3.3. Structured task assessments are averaged, then given a weight (3) 4.4. The final semester exam (UAS) is used to measure the achievement of indicators 13-22, through a written exam, and the	presentation and assignment			0%
3	ability to manage	manage change 2. Able to manage	1.1. Participation is assessed during lectures, carried out through observation (weight 2) 2.2. Subsummative tests or mid-semester exams (UTS) are carried out to assess indicators 1-12 through written exams, and are given a weighting of (2) 3.3. Structured task assessments are averaged, then given a weight (3) 4.4. The final semester exam (UAS) is used to measure the achievement of indicators 13-22, through a written exam, and the results are given	presentation and assignment			0%
3	ability to manage	manage change 2. Able to manage	1.1. Participation is assessed during lectures, carried out through observation (weight 2) 2.2. Subsummative tests or mid-semester exams (UTS) are carried out to assess indicators 1-12 through written exams, and are given a weighting of (2) 3.3. Structured task assessments are averaged, then given a weight (3) 4.4. The final semester exam (UAS) is used to measure the achievement of indicators 13-22, through a written exam, and the results are given a weight of 5.	presentation and assignment			0%
3	ability to manage	manage change 2. Able to manage	1.1. Participation is assessed during lectures, carried out through observation (weight 2) 2.2. Subsummative tests or mid-semester exams (UTS) are carried out to assess indicators 1-12 through written exams, and are given a weighting of (2) 3.3. Structured task assessments are averaged, then given a weight (3) 4.4. The final semester exam (UAS) is used to measure the achievement of indicators 13-22, through a written exam, and the results are given a weight of 5. 5.5. The final NA is	presentation and assignment			0%
3	ability to manage	manage change 2. Able to manage	1.1. Participation is assessed during lectures, carried out through observation (weight 2) 2.2. Subsummative tests or mid-semester exams (UTS) are carried out to assess indicators 1-12 through written exams, and are given a weighting of (2) 3.3. Structured task assessments are averaged, then given a weight (3) 4.4. The final semester exam (UAS) is used to measure the achievement of indicators 13-22, through a written exam, and the results are given a weight of 5. 5.5. The final NA is (participation	presentation and assignment			0%
3	ability to manage	manage change 2. Able to manage	1.1. Participation is assessed during lectures, carried out through observation (weight 2) 2.2. Subsummative tests or mid-semester exams (UTS) are carried out to assess indicators 1-12 through written exams, and are given a weighting of (2) 3.3. Structured task assessments are averaged, then given a weight (3) 4.4. The final semester exam (UAS) is used to measure the achievement of indicators 13-22, through a written exam, and the results are given a weight of 5. 5.5. The final NA is (participation grade")	presentation and assignment			0%
3	ability to manage	manage change 2. Able to manage	1.1. Participation is assessed during lectures, carried out through observation (weight 2) 2.2. Subsummative tests or mid-semester exams (UTS) are carried out to assess indicators 1-12 through written exams, and are given a weighting of (2) 3.3. Structured task assessments are averaged, then given a weight (3) 4.4. The final semester exam (UAS) is used to measure the achievement of indicators 13-22, through a written exam, and the results are given a weight of 5. 5.5. The final NA is (participation grade") (Assignment	presentation and assignment			0%
3	ability to manage	manage change 2. Able to manage	1.1. Participation is assessed during lectures, carried out through observation (weight 2) 2.2. Subsummative tests or mid-semester exams (UTS) are carried out to assess indicators 1-12 through written exams, and are given a weighting of (2) 3.3. Structured task assessments are averaged, then given a weight (3) 4.4. The final semester exam (UAS) is used to measure the achievement of indicators 13-22, through a written exam, and the results are given a weight of 5. 5.5. The final NA is (participation grade") (Assignment grade%2 3)	presentation and assignment			0%
3	ability to manage	manage change 2. Able to manage	1.1. Participation is assessed during lectures, carried out through observation (weight 2) 2.2. Subsummative tests or mid-semester exams (UTS) are carried out to assess indicators 1-12 through written exams, and are given a weighting of (2) 3.3. Structured task assessments are averaged, then given a weight (3) 4.4. The final semester exam (UAS) is used to measure the achievement of indicators 13-22, through a written exam, and the results are given a weight of 5. 5.5. The final NA is (participation grade") (Assignment grade%2 3) (UTS grade%2	presentation and assignment			0%
3	ability to manage	manage change 2. Able to manage	1.1. Participation is assessed during lectures, carried out through observation (weight 2) 2.2. Subsummative tests or mid-semester exams (UTS) are carried out to assess indicators 1-12 through written exams, and are given a weighting of (2) 3.3. Structured task assessments are averaged, then given a weight (3) 4.4. The final semester exam (UAS) is used to measure the achievement of indicators 13-22, through a written exam, and the results are given a weight of 5. 5.5. The final NA is (participation grade") (Assignment grade%2 3) (UTS grade%2 2) UAS grade (3)	presentation and assignment			0%
3	ability to manage	manage change 2. Able to manage	1.1. Participation is assessed during lectures, carried out through observation (weight 2) 2.2. Subsummative tests or mid-semester exams (UTS) are carried out to assess indicators 1-12 through written exams, and are given a weighting of (2) 3.3. Structured task assessments are averaged, then given a weight (3) 4.4. The final semester exam (UAS) is used to measure the achievement of indicators 13-22, through a written exam, and the results are given a weight of 5. 5.5. The final NA is (participation grade") (Assignment grade%2 3) (UTS grade%2	presentation and assignment			0%

4	Able to manage time and carry out creative thinking processes	1. Able to manage time 2. Able to carry out creative thinking processes	Criteria: 1.1. Participation is assessed during lectures, carried out through observation (weight 2) 2.2. Subsummative tests or mid-semester exams (UTS) are carried out to assess indicators 1-12 through written exams, and are given a weighting of (2) 3.3. Structured task assessments are averaged, then given a weight (3) 4.4. The final semester exam (UAS) is used to measure the achievement of indicators 13-22, through a written exam, and the results are given a weight of 5. 5.5. The final NA is (participation grade") (Assignment grade% 2.3)	Discussion, presentation and assignment 2 X 50		0%
			grade%2 3) (UTS grade%2 2) UAS grade (3) divided by 10			
5	Able to set life goals and objectives	1. Able to set goals 2. Able to set life goals	Criteria: 1.1. Participation is assessed during lectures, carried out through observation (weight 2) 2.2. Subsummative tests or mid-semester exams (UTS) are carried out to assess indicators 1-12 through written exams, and are given a weighting of (2) 3.3. Structured task assessments are averaged, then given a weight (3) 4.4. The final semester exam (UAS) is used to measure the achievement of indicators 13-22, through a written exam, and the results are given a weight of 5. 5.5. The final NA is (participation grade") (Assignment grade%2 3) (UTS grade%2 2) UAS grade (3) divided by 10	Discussion, presentation and assignment 2 X 50		0%

6						
	Able to set life goals and	 Able to set goals 2. Able 	Criteria:	Discussion,		0%
	objectives	to set life	1.1. Participation is assessed during	presentation and		
		goals	lectures, carried	assignment		
			out through	2 X 50		
			observation			
			(weight 2)			
			2.2. Sub- summative tests			
			or mid-semester			
			exams (UTS) are			
			carried out to			
			assess indicators			
			1-12 through written exams,			
			and are given a			
			weighting of (2)			
			3.3. Structured			
			task			
			assessments are averaged, then			
			given a weight			
			(3)			
			4.4. The final			
			semester exam			
			(UAS) is used to measure the			
			achievement of			
			indicators 13-22,			
			through a written			
			exam, and the			
			results are given a weight of 5.			
			5.5. The final NA is			
			(participation			
			grade")			
			(Assignment			
			grade%2 3) (UTS grade%2			
			2) UAS grade (3)			
			divided by 10			
7	Able to do fast	A - - 4 -				00/
		Able to do	Criteria:	Discussion,		0%
	learning	fast learning	1.1. Participation is	presentation		0%
			1.1. Participation is assessed during	presentation and		0%
-	learning	fast learning	1.1. Participation is assessed during lectures, carried	presentation		0%
	learning	fast learning	1.1. Participation is assessed during lectures, carried out through	presentation and assignment		0%
	learning	fast learning	1.1. Participation is assessed during lectures, carried out through observation (weight 2)	presentation and assignment		0%
	learning	fast learning	1.1. Participation is assessed during lectures, carried out through observation (weight 2) 2.2. Sub-	presentation and assignment		0%
	learning	fast learning	1.1. Participation is assessed during lectures, carried out through observation (weight 2) 2.2. Subsummative tests	presentation and assignment		0%
	learning	fast learning	1.1. Participation is assessed during lectures, carried out through observation (weight 2) 2.2. Subsummative tests or mid-semester	presentation and assignment		0%
	learning	fast learning	1.1. Participation is assessed during lectures, carried out through observation (weight 2) 2.2. Subsummative tests	presentation and assignment		0%
	learning	fast learning	1.1. Participation is assessed during lectures, carried out through observation (weight 2) 2.2. Subsummative tests or mid-semester exams (UTS) are carried out to assess indicators	presentation and assignment		0%
	learning	fast learning	1.1. Participation is assessed during lectures, carried out through observation (weight 2) 2.2. Subsummative tests or mid-semester exams (UTS) are carried out to assess indicators 1-12 through	presentation and assignment		0%
	learning	fast learning	1.1. Participation is assessed during lectures, carried out through observation (weight 2) 2.2. Subsummative tests or mid-semester exams (UTS) are carried out to assess indicators 1-12 through written exams,	presentation and assignment		0%
	learning	fast learning	1.1. Participation is assessed during lectures, carried out through observation (weight 2) 2.2. Subsummative tests or mid-semester exams (UTS) are carried out to assess indicators 1-12 through written exams, and are given a	presentation and assignment		0%
	learning	fast learning	1.1. Participation is assessed during lectures, carried out through observation (weight 2) 2.2. Subsummative tests or mid-semester exams (UTS) are carried out to assess indicators 1-12 through written exams,	presentation and assignment		0%
	learning	fast learning	1.1. Participation is assessed during lectures, carried out through observation (weight 2) 2.2. Subsummative tests or mid-semester exams (UTS) are carried out to assess indicators 1-12 through written exams, and are given a weighting of (2) 3.3. Structured task	presentation and assignment		0%
	learning	fast learning	1.1. Participation is assessed during lectures, carried out through observation (weight 2) 2.2. Subsummative tests or mid-semester exams (UTS) are carried out to assess indicators 1-12 through written exams, and are given a weighting of (2) 3.3. Structured task assessments are	presentation and assignment		0%
	learning	fast learning	1.1. Participation is assessed during lectures, carried out through observation (weight 2) 2.2. Subsummative tests or mid-semester exams (UTS) are carried out to assess indicators 1-12 through written exams, and are given a weighting of (2) 3.3. Structured task assessments are averaged, then	presentation and assignment		0%
	learning	fast learning	1.1. Participation is assessed during lectures, carried out through observation (weight 2) 2.2. Subsummative tests or mid-semester exams (UTS) are carried out to assess indicators 1-12 through written exams, and are given a weighting of (2) 3.3. Structured task assessments are averaged, then given a weight	presentation and assignment		0%
	learning	fast learning	1.1. Participation is assessed during lectures, carried out through observation (weight 2) 2.2. Subsummative tests or mid-semester exams (UTS) are carried out to assess indicators 1-12 through written exams, and are given a weighting of (2) 3.3. Structured task assessments are averaged, then	presentation and assignment		0%
	learning	fast learning	1.1. Participation is assessed during lectures, carried out through observation (weight 2) 2.2. Subsummative tests or mid-semester exams (UTS) are carried out to assess indicators 1-12 through written exams, and are given a weighting of (2) 3.3. Structured task assessments are averaged, then given a weight (3) 4.4. The final semester exams	presentation and assignment		0%
	learning	fast learning	1.1. Participation is assessed during lectures, carried out through observation (weight 2) 2.2. Subsummative tests or mid-semester exams (UTS) are carried out to assess indicators 1-12 through written exams, and are given a weighting of (2) 3.3. Structured task assessments are averaged, then given a weight (3) 4.4. The final semester exam (UAS) is used to	presentation and assignment		0%
	learning	fast learning	1.1. Participation is assessed during lectures, carried out through observation (weight 2) 2.2. Subsummative tests or mid-semester exams (UTS) are carried out to assess indicators 1-12 through written exams, and are given a weighting of (2) 3.3. Structured task assessments are averaged, then given a weight (3) 4.4. The final semester exam (UAS) is used to measure the	presentation and assignment		0%
	learning	fast learning	1.1. Participation is assessed during lectures, carried out through observation (weight 2) 2.2. Subsummative tests or mid-semester exams (UTS) are carried out to assess indicators 1-12 through written exams, and are given a weighting of (2) 3.3. Structured task assessments are averaged, then given a weight (3) 4.4. The final semester exam (UAS) is used to measure the achievement of	presentation and assignment		0%
	learning	fast learning	1.1. Participation is assessed during lectures, carried out through observation (weight 2) 2.2. Subsummative tests or mid-semester exams (UTS) are carried out to assess indicators 1-12 through written exams, and are given a weighting of (2) 3.3. Structured task assessments are averaged, then given a weight (3) 4.4. The final semester exam (UAS) is used to measure the achievement of indicators 13-22, through a written	presentation and assignment		0%
	learning	fast learning	1.1. Participation is assessed during lectures, carried out through observation (weight 2) 2.2. Subsummative tests or mid-semester exams (UTS) are carried out to assess indicators 1-12 through written exams, and are given a weighting of (2) 3.3. Structured task assessments are averaged, then given a weight (3) 4.4. The final semester exam (UAS) is used to measure the achievement of indicators 13-22, through a written exam, and the	presentation and assignment		0%
	learning	fast learning	1.1. Participation is assessed during lectures, carried out through observation (weight 2) 2.2. Subsummative tests or mid-semester exams (UTS) are carried out to assess indicators 1-12 through written exams, and are given a weighting of (2) 3.3. Structured task assessments are averaged, then given a weight (3) 4.4. The final semester exam (UAS) is used to measure the achievement of indicators 13-22, through a written exam, and the results are given	presentation and assignment		0%
	learning	fast learning	1.1. Participation is assessed during lectures, carried out through observation (weight 2) 2.2. Subsummative tests or mid-semester exams (UTS) are carried out to assess indicators 1-12 through written exams, and are given a weighting of (2) 3.3. Structured task assessments are averaged, then given a weight (3) 4.4. The final semester exam (UAS) is used to measure the achievement of indicators 13-22, through a written exam, and the results are given a weight of 5.	presentation and assignment		0%
	learning	fast learning	1.1. Participation is assessed during lectures, carried out through observation (weight 2) 2.2. Subsummative tests or mid-semester exams (UTS) are carried out to assess indicators 1-12 through written exams, and are given a weighting of (2) 3.3. Structured task assessments are averaged, then given a weight (3) 4.4. The final semester exam (UAS) is used to measure the achievement of indicators 13-22, through a written exam, and the results are given a weight of 5. 5.5. The final NA is	presentation and assignment		0%
	learning	fast learning	1.1. Participation is assessed during lectures, carried out through observation (weight 2) 2.2. Subsummative tests or mid-semester exams (UTS) are carried out to assess indicators 1-12 through written exams, and are given a weighting of (2) 3.3. Structured task assessments are averaged, then given a weight (3) 4.4. The final semester exam (UAS) is used to measure the achievement of indicators 13-22, through a written exam, and the results are given a weight of 5.	presentation and assignment		0%
	learning	fast learning	1.1. Participation is assessed during lectures, carried out through observation (weight 2) 2.2. Subsummative tests or mid-semester exams (UTS) are carried out to assess indicators 1-12 through written exams, and are given a weighting of (2) 3.3. Structured task assessments are averaged, then given a weight (3) 4.4. The final semester exam (UAS) is used to measure the achievement of indicators 13-22, through a written exam, and the results are given a weight of 5. 5.5. The final NA is (participation grade") (Assignment	presentation and assignment		0%
	learning	fast learning	1.1. Participation is assessed during lectures, carried out through observation (weight 2) 2.2. Subsummative tests or mid-semester exams (UTS) are carried out to assess indicators 1-12 through written exams, and are given a weighting of (2) 3.3. Structured task assessments are averaged, then given a weight (3) 4.4. The final semester exam (UAS) is used to measure the achievement of indicators 13-22, through a written exam, and the results are given a weight of 5. 5.5. The final NA is (participation grade") (Assignment grade%2 3)	presentation and assignment		0%
	learning	fast learning	1.1. Participation is assessed during lectures, carried out through observation (weight 2) 2.2. Subsummative tests or mid-semester exams (UTS) are carried out to assess indicators 1-12 through written exams, and are given a weighting of (2) 3.3. Structured task assessments are averaged, then given a weight (3) 4.4. The final semester exam (UAS) is used to measure the achievement of indicators 13-22, through a written exam, and the results are given a weight of 5. 5.5. The final NA is (participation grade") (Assignment grade%2 3) (UTS grade%2	presentation and assignment		0%
	learning	fast learning	1.1. Participation is assessed during lectures, carried out through observation (weight 2) 2.2. Subsummative tests or mid-semester exams (UTS) are carried out to assess indicators 1-12 through written exams, and are given a weighting of (2) 3.3. Structured task assessments are averaged, then given a weight (3) 4.4. The final semester exam (UAS) is used to measure the achievement of indicators 13-22, through a written exam, and the results are given a weight of 5. 5.5. The final NA is (participation grade") (Assignment grade%2 3)	presentation and assignment		0%

8	Mid-term exam to measure final ability achievement from TM 1 to 7	Mid-semester exam to measure achievement of indicators from TM 1 to 7	Criteria: Attached to the UTS question assessment rubric	Midterm Exam 2 X 50		0%
9	Able to communicate and build relationships	1. Able to communicate effectively and efficiently 2. Able to build relationships with relationships	Criteria: 1.1. Participation is assessed during lectures, carried out through observation (weight 2) 2.2. Subsummative tests or mid-semester exams (UTS) are carried out to assess indicators 1-12 through written exams, and are given a weighting of (2) 3.3. Structured task assessments are averaged, then given a weight (3) 4.4. The final semester exam (UAS) is used to measure the achievement of indicators 13-22, through a written exam, and the results are given a weight of 5. 5.5. The final NA is (participation grade") (Assignment grade%2 3) (UTS grade%2 2) UAS grade (3) divided by 10	Discussion, presentation and assignment 2 X 50		0%

10	Able to motivate and lead	Skilled at motivating 2. Demonstrates leadership attitude	Criteria: 1.1. Participation is assessed during lectures, carried out through observation (weight 2) 2.2. Subsummative tests or mid-semester exams (UTS) are carried out to assess indicators 1-12 through written exams, and are given a weighting of (2) 3.3. Structured task assessments are averaged, then given a weight	Discussion, presentation and assignment 2 X 50		0%
11	Able to metivate	1 Skilled et	(3) 4.4. The final semester exam (UAS) is used to measure the achievement of indicators 13-22, through a written exam, and the results are given a weight of 5. 5.5. The final NA is (participation grade") (Assignment grade%2 3) (UTS grade%2 2) UAS grade (3) divided by 10	Disquesion		004
11	Able to motivate and lead	1. Skilled at motivating 2. Demonstrates leadership attitude	Criteria: 1.1. Participation is assessed during lectures, carried out through observation (weight 2) 2.2. Subsummative tests or mid-semester exams (UTS) are carried out to assess indicators 1-12 through written exams, and are given a weighting of (2) 3.3. Structured task assessments are averaged, then given a weight (3) 4.4. The final semester exam (UAS) is used to measure the achievement of indicators 13-22, through a written exam, and the results are given a weight of 5. 5.5. The final NA is (participation grade") (Assignment grade%2 3) (UTS grade%2 2) UAS grade (3) divided by 10	Discussion, presentation and assignment 2 X 50		0%

12	Able to demonstrate marketing and negotiation skills	Skilled in marketing 2. Skilled in negotiation	Criteria: 1.1. Participation is assessed during lectures, carried out through observation (weight 2) 2.2. Subsummative tests or mid-semester exams (UTS) are carried out to assess indicators 1-12 through written exams, and are given a weighting of (2) 3.3. Structured task assessments are averaged, then given a weight (3) 4.4. The final semester exam (UAS) is used to measure the achievement of indicators 13-22, through a written exam, and the results are given a weight of 5. 5.5. The final NA is (participation	Discussion, presentation and assignment 2 X 50		0%
			grade") (Assignment grade%2 3) (UTS grade%2 2) UAS grade (3) divided by 10			
13	Able to demonstrate marketing and negotiation skills	1. Skilled in marketing 2. Skilled in negotiation	Criteria: 1.1. Participation is assessed during lectures, carried out through observation (weight 2) 2.2. Subsummative tests or mid-semester exams (UTS) are carried out to assess indicators 1-12 through written exams, and are given a weighting of (2) 3.3. Structured task assessments are averaged, then given a weight (3) 4.4. The final semester exam (UAS) is used to measure the achievement of indicators 13-22, through a written exam, and the results are given a weight of 5. 5.5. The final NA is (participation grade") (Assignment grade%2 3) (UTS grade%2 2) UAS grade (3) divided by 10	Discussion, presentation and assignment 2 X 50		0%

14	Explain the types of work that are appropriate to the field of science	Explain the types of work that are in accordance with a chemical scientific background	Criteria: 1.1. Participation is assessed during lectures, carried out through observation (weight 2) 2.2. Subsummative tests or mid-semester exams (UTS) are carried out to assess indicators 1-12 through written exams, and are given a weighting of (2) 3.3. Structured task assessments are averaged, then given a weight (3) 4.4. The final semester exam (UAS) is used to measure the achievement of indicators 13-22, through a written exam, and the results are given a weight of 5. 5.5. The final NA is (participation grade") (Assignment grade%2 3) (UTS grade%2 2) UAS grade (3)	Discussion, presentation and assignment 2 X 50		0%
15	Explain the steps in applying for a job	1. Able to make a job application letter 2. Able to make a curriculum vitae 3. Able to face an interview test	divided by 10 Criteria: 1.1. Participation is assessed during lectures, carried out through observation (weight 2) 2.2. Subsummative tests or mid-semester exams (UTS) are carried out to assess indicators 1-12 through written exams, and are given a weighting of (2) 3.3. Structured task assessments are averaged, then given a weight (3) 4.4. The final semester exam (UAS) is used to measure the achievement of indicators 13-22, through a written exam, and the results are given a weight of 5. 5.5. The final NA is (participation grade") (Assignment grade%2 2) UAS grade (3) divided by 10	Discussions, presentations and assignments 2 X 50		0%

16	UAS to measure final ability achievement of TM 9 ad 15	UAS to measure the reliability of TM 9 ad 15 indicators	Criteria: Attached to the UAS assessment rubric	UAS 2 X 50			0%
----	---	---	---	---------------	--	--	----

Evaluation Percentage Recap: Project Based Learning

	No	Evaluation	Percentage	
1			0%	

Notes

- Learning Outcomes of Study Program Graduates (PLO Study Program) are the abilities possessed by each Study Program graduate which are the internalization of attitudes, mastery of knowledge and skills according to the level of their study program obtained through the learning process.
- The PLO imposed on courses are several learning outcomes of study program graduates (CPL-Study Program)
 which are used for the formation/development of a course consisting of aspects of attitude, general skills, special skills
 and knowledge.
- 3. **Program Objectives (PO)** are abilities that are specifically described from the PLO assigned to a course, and are specific to the study material or learning materials for that course.
- 4. Subject Sub-PO (Sub-PO) is a capability that is specifically described from the PO that can be measured or observed and is the final ability that is planned at each learning stage, and is specific to the learning material of the course.
- Indicators for assessing ability in the process and student learning outcomes are specific and measurable statements that identify the ability or performance of student learning outcomes accompanied by evidence.
- Assessment Criteria are benchmarks used as a measure or measure of learning achievement in assessments based on predetermined indicators. Assessment criteria are guidelines for assessors so that assessments are consistent and unbiased. Criteria can be quantitative or qualitative.
- 7. Forms of assessment: test and non-test.
- 8. Forms of learning: Lecture, Response, Tutorial, Seminar or equivalent, Practicum, Studio Practice, Workshop Practice, Field Practice, Research, Community Service and/or other equivalent forms of learning.
- Learning Methods: Small Group Discussion, Role-Play & Simulation, Discovery Learning, Self-Directed Learning, Cooperative Learning, Collaborative Learning, Contextual Learning, Project Based Learning, and other equivalent methods.
- 10. Learning materials are details or descriptions of study materials which can be presented in the form of several main points and sub-topics.
- 11. The assessment weight is the percentage of assessment of each sub-PO achievement whose size is proportional to the level of difficulty of achieving that sub-PO, and the total is 100%.
- 12. TM=Face to face, PT=Structured assignments, BM=Independent study.