

Universitas Negeri Surabaya Faculty of Economics and Business Bachelor of Business Education Study Program

Document Code

| Personality Development 8721102048 SP Developer Course Cluster Coordinator Study Program Coordinator C | | | | S | EMESTE | R LE | ARI | NIN | ١G | PLAN | | | |
|--|-------------------------|-------------------|---|--------|--------------|-------|--------------|----------------|----------------|-------------------|------------------------------------|-----------|--------------------------|
| AUTHORIZATION SP Developer | Courses | | | СО | DE | | (| Cred | it We | ight | , | SEMESTER | Compilation Date |
| Coordinator | Personality Development | | | nt 872 | 8721102048 | | ٦ | Т=2 | P=0 | ECTS=3.1 | 8 | 0 | July 18, 2024 |
| Case Studies Case Studies Case Studies Case Studies | AUTHORIZATION | | ION | SP | SP Developer | | | | | | | | |
| Program Objectives (PO) PLO-PO Matrix Program Objectives (PO) Program Objective (Po) Program Objective (Policies (Policies (Policies (Policies (Pol | | | | | | | | | | | Dr. Tri Sudarwanto, S.Pd., MSM. | | |
| Program Objectives (PO) PLO-PO Matrix PO Matrix at the end of each learning stage (Sub-PO) PLO-PO Matrix at the end of each learning stage (Sub-PO) PO Matrix at the end of each learning stage (Sub-PO) PLO-PO Matrix at the end of each learning | | | Case Studies | | | | | | | | | | |
| Program Objectives (PO) PLO-PO Matrix P.O P.O PLO-PO Matrix at the end of each learning stage (Sub-PO) P.O P.O P.O P.O P.O P.O P.O | | | PLO study program that is charged to the course | | | | | | | | | | |
| P.O Matrix at the end of each learning stage (Sub-PO) P.O | Outcome | | Program Objectives (PO) | | | | | | | | | | |
| PO Matrix at the end of each learning stage (Sub-PO) P.O | (PLO) | | PLO-PO | Matrix | | | | | | | | | |
| P.O 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 | | | P.O | | | | | | | | | | |
| This course covers the nature of self-concept, ethics, personality formation and recognition of self-concept potential as soft skills that will complement students' skills in developing their profession as a teach Learning is carried out using a constructivist approach and discussion methods. Lectures are carried out using a system of presentations, discussions, assignments and reflection References Main: 1. Arend, Richard I. BelajarUntuk Mengajar. Buku I. 2013. Jakarta: Salemba Empat 2. Criuckshank, Donald R., Bainer Jenkins, Deborah., and Kim K Metcalf. Perilaku Mengajar. Bul II. 2014. Jakarta. Salemba Empat 3. Criuckshank, Donald R., Bainer Jenkins, Deborah., and Kim K Metcalf. Perilaku Mengajar. Bul II. 2014. Jakarta. Salemba Empat 4. Feist, Jess., Greorgy J. Feist. Teori Kepribadian. Buku II. 2012. Jakarta: SalembaHumanika 5. Feist, Jess., Greorgy J. Feist. Teori Kepribadian. Buku II. 2012. Jakarta: SalembaHumanika 6. Kartini, Kartono. Teori Kepribadian. 2005. Bandung: Mandar Maju 7. Roesminingsih dan Hadi Susarno, Lamijan. 2013. Teori dan Praktek Pendidikan. Surabay LembagaPengkajian dan Pengembangan Ilmu Pendidikan Unesa 8. Sobur, Alex. Psikologi Umum. 2010. Bandung: PustakaSetia Supporting lecturer Evaluation Evaluation Learning methods, Student Assignments, Estimated time] Learning materials | | | PO Matrix at the end of each learning stage (Sub-PO) | | | | | | | | | | |
| Dotential as soft skills that will complement students' skills in developing their profession as a teach Learning is carried out using a constructivist approach and discussion methods. Lectures are carried out using a system of presentations, discussions, assignments and reflection References Main: 1. Arend, Richard I. BelajarUntuk Mengajar. Buku I. 2013. Jakarta: Salemba Empat 2. Criuckshank, Donald R., Bainer Jenkins, Deborah., and Kim K Metcalf. Perilaku Mengajar. Bul I. 2014. Jakarta. Salemba Empat 3. Criuckshank, Donald R., Bainer Jenkins, Deborah., and Kim K Metcalf. Perilaku Mengajar. Bul II. 2014. Jakarta. Salemba Empat 4. Feist, Jess., Greorgy J. Feist. Teori Kepribadian. Buku I. 2012. Jakarta: SalembaHumanika 5. Feist, Jess., Greorgy J. Feist. Teori Kepribadian. Buku II. 2012. Jakarta: SalembaHumanika 6. Kartini, Kartono. Teori Kepribadian. 2005. Bandung: Mandar Maju 7. Roesminingsih dan Hadi Susarno, Lamijan. 2013. Teori dan Praktek Pendidikan. Surabay LembagaPengkajian dan Pengembangan Ilmu Pendidikan Unesa 8. Sobur, Alex. Psikologi Umum. 2010. Bandung: PustakaSetia Supporting lecturer Evaluation Help Learning, Learning methods, Student Assignments, [Estimated time] Learning materials | | | | P.C | | 4 5 6 | 6 7 | 8 | | | 12 | 13 14 | 15 16 |
| 1. Arend, Richard I. BelajarUntuk Mengajar. Buku I. 2013. Jakarta: Salemba Empat 2. Criuckshank, Donald R., Bainer Jenkins, Deborah., and Kim K Metcalf. Perilaku Mengajar. Bul I. 2014. Jakarta. Salemba Empat 3. Criuckshank, Donald R., Bainer Jenkins, Deborah., and Kim K Metcalf. Perilaku Mengajar. Bul II. 2014. Jakarta. Salemba Empat 4. Feist, Jess., Greorgy J. Feist. Teori Kepribadian. Buku I. 2012. Jakarta: SalembaHumanika 5. Feist, Jess., Greorgy J. Feist. Teori Kepribadian. Buku II. 2012. Jakarta: SalembaHumanika 6. Kartini, Kartono. Teori Kepribadian. 2005. Bandung: Mandar Maju 7. Roesminingsih dan Hadi Susarno, Lamijan. 2013. Teori dan Praktek Pendidikan. Surabay LembagaPengkajian dan Pengembangan Ilmu Pendidikan Unesa 8. Sobur, Alex. Psikologi Umum. 2010. Bandung: PustakaSetia Supporting lecturer Evaluation Help Learning, Learning methods, Student Assignments, [Estimated time] Learning materials Learning materials | Course | ion | This course covers the nature of self-concept, ethics, personality formation and recognition of self-potential as soft skills that will complement students' skills in developing their profession as a teacher. Learning is carried out using a constructivist approach and discussion methods. Lectures are carried out using a system of presentations, discussions, assignments and reflection | | | | | | | | | | |
| 2. Criuckshank,Donald R., Bainer Jenkins, Deborah., and Kim K Metcalf.Perilaku Mengajar. Bul I. 2014. Jakarta. Salemba Empat 3. Criuckshank,Donald R., Bainer Jenkins, Deborah., and Kim K Metcalf.Perilaku Mengajar. Bul II. 2014. Jakarta. Salemba Empat 4. Feist,Jess., Greorgy J. Feist. Teori Kepribadian. Buku I. 2012. Jakarta: SalembaHumanika 5. Feist,Jess., Greorgy J. Feist. Teori Kepribadian. Buku II. 2012. Jakarta: SalembaHumanika 6. Kartini,Kartono. Teori Kepribadian. 2005. Bandung: Mandar Maju 7. Roesminingsih dan Hadi Susarno, Lamijan. 2013. Teori dan Praktek Pendidikan. Surabay LembagaPengkajian dan Pengembangan Ilmu Pendidikan Unesa 8. Sobur, Alex. Psikologi Umum. 2010. Bandung: PustakaSetia Supporters: Bevaluation Help Learning, Learning methods, Student Assignments, [Estimated time] Learning materials | Reference | ces | Main : | | | | | | | | | | |
| Supporting lecturer Evaluation Help Learning, Learning methods, Student Assignments, [Estimated time] Learning materials | | | Criuckshank, Donald R., Bainer Jenkins, Deborah., and Kim K Metcalf. Perilaku Mengajar. Buku I. 2014. Jakarta. Salemba Empat Criuckshank, Donald R., Bainer Jenkins, Deborah., and Kim K Metcalf. Perilaku Mengajar. Buku II. 2014. Jakarta. Salemba Empat Feist, Jess., Greorgy J. Feist. Teori Kepribadian. Buku I. 2012. Jakarta: Salemba Humanika Feist, Jess., Greorgy J. Feist. Teori Kepribadian. Buku II. 2012. Jakarta: Salemba Humanika Kartini, Kartono. Teori Kepribadian. 2005. Bandung: Mandar Maju Roesminingsih dan Hadi Susarno, Lamijan. 2013. Teori dan Praktek Pendidikan. Surabaya: Lembaga Pengkajian dan Pengembangan Ilmu Pendidikan Unesa | | | | | | | | | | |
| Evaluation Help Learning, Learning methods, Student Assignments, [Estimated time] Learning materials | | | Supporters: | | | | | | | | | | |
| Evaluation Help Learning, Learning methods, Student Assignments, [Estimated time] Learning materials | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Final abilities of cook | | ing | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | Week- | abilities of each | | | Evaluation | | Lea Stude | ırnin ent A | g met Assig | thods, nments, | | materials | Assessment Weight (%) |

| | stage (Sub-PO) | Indicator | Criteria & Form | Offline (offline) | Online (<i>online</i>) | References] | |
|-----|-------------------|-----------|-----------------|------------------------------|--------------------------|-----------------|-----|
| (1) | (2) | (3) | (4) | (5) | (6) | (7) | (8) |
| 1 | | | | | | | 0% |
| 2 | | | | | | | 0% |
| 3 | | | | | | | 0% |
| 4 | | | | | | | 0% |
| 5 | | | | | | | 0% |
| 6 | | | | | | | 0% |
| 7 | | | | | | | 0% |
| 8 | | | | | | | 0% |
| 9 | | | | | | | 0% |
| 10 | | | | | | | 0% |
| 11 | | | | | | | 0% |
| 12 | | | | | | | 0% |
| 13 | | | | | | | 0% |
| 14 | | | | | | | 0% |
| 15 | | | | | | | 0% |
| 16 | | | | | | | 0% |
| | l | 1 | | | | 1 | |

Evaluation Percentage Recap: Case Study

| No | Evaluation | Percentage |
|----|------------|------------|
| | | 0% |

Notes

- Learning Outcomes of Study Program Graduates (PLO Study Program) are the abilities possessed by each Study Program graduate which are the internalization of attitudes, mastery of knowledge and skills according to the level of their study program obtained through the learning process.
- 2. **The PLO imposed on courses** are several learning outcomes of study program graduates (CPL-Study Program) which are used for the formation/development of a course consisting of aspects of attitude, general skills, special skills and knowledge.
- 3. **Program Objectives (PO)** are abilities that are specifically described from the PLO assigned to a course, and are specific to the study material or learning materials for that course.
- 4. **Subject Sub-PO (Sub-PO)** is a capability that is specifically described from the PO that can be measured or observed and is the final ability that is planned at each learning stage, and is specific to the learning material of the course.
- Indicators for assessing ability in the process and student learning outcomes are specific and measurable statements that identify the ability or performance of student learning outcomes accompanied by evidence.
- 6. **Assessment Criteria** are benchmarks used as a measure or measure of learning achievement in assessments based on predetermined indicators. Assessment criteria are guidelines for assessors so that assessments are consistent and unbiased. Criteria can be quantitative or qualitative.
- 7. Forms of assessment: test and non-test.
- 8. **Forms of learning:** Lecture, Response, Tutorial, Seminar or equivalent, Practicum, Studio Practice, Workshop Practice, Field Practice, Research, Community Service and/or other equivalent forms of learning.

- Learning Methods: Small Group Discussion, Role-Play & Simulation, Discovery Learning, Self-Directed Learning, Cooperative Learning, Collaborative Learning, Contextual Learning, Project Based Learning, and other equivalent methods.
- Learning materials are details or descriptions of study materials which can be presented in the form of several main points and sub-topics.
- 11. The assessment weight is the percentage of assessment of each sub-PO achievement whose size is proportional to the level of difficulty of achieving that sub-PO, and the total is 100%.
- 12. TM=Face to face, PT=Structured assignments, BM=Independent study.