

	Universitas Negeri Surabaya Faculty of Economics and Business Bachelor of Business Education Study Program					Document Code																																
SEMESTER LEARNING PLAN																																						
Courses	CODE	Course Family	Credit Weight			SEMESTER	Compilation Date																															
Learning Planning	8721102133	Study Program	T=2	P=0	ECTS=3.18	6	July 17, 2024																															
AUTHORIZATION	SP Developer	Elective Courses	Course Cluster Coordinator			Study Program Coordinator																																
		tri sudarwanto			Dr. Tri Sudarwanto, S.Pd., MSM.																																
Learning model	Project Based Learning																																					
Program Learning Outcomes (PLO)	PLO study program which is charged to the course																																					
	Program Objectives (PO)																																					
	PLO-PO Matrix																																					
		<div style="border: 1px solid black; width: 100px; height: 20px; margin: 0 auto; display: flex; align-items: center; justify-content: center;">P.O</div>																																				
Short Course Description	PO Matrix at the end of each learning stage (Sub-PO)																																					
		<table border="1" style="width: 100%; border-collapse: collapse; text-align: center;"> <tr> <td rowspan="2" style="width: 30px;">P.O</td> <td colspan="16">Week</td> </tr> <tr> <td>1</td><td>2</td><td>3</td><td>4</td><td>5</td><td>6</td><td>7</td><td>8</td><td>9</td><td>10</td><td>11</td><td>12</td><td>13</td><td>14</td><td>15</td><td>16</td> </tr> </table>					P.O	Week																1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13	14	15
P.O	Week																																					
	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13	14	15	16																						
References	Main :																																					

1. Arends, Richardl. 2004. Guide to Field Experiences and Portfolio Development: to accompany learning to teach. New York: McGraw-Hill Book Company.
2. Arikunto, Suharsimi. 2006. Dasar-dasar Evaluasi Pendidikan (edisi revisi). Cet. 6. Bumi Aksara: Jakarta
3. Brookhart, Susan M. 2010. How to Assess Higher-Order Thinking Skills in Your Classroom. Alexandria: ASCD.
4. Departemen Pendidikan Nasional. Kurikulum SMP 1984, 1999, 2004, 2006 dan 2013, Jakarta
5. Examination and Evaluation in Education. New Delhi: Common wealth.
6. Glencoe Series. 2001. Performance Assessment in The Science Classroom. New York: McGraw-Hill Company.
7. Gronlund, N. E. 1980. Construction Achievement Test. New Jersey: Prentice Hall Inc
8. Gronlund, N. E. 1991. How to Write and Use Instructional Objective. New Jersey: Prentice Hall Inc
9. Supranata, Sumarna, 2004. Analisis, Validitas, Reliabilitas dan Interpretasi Hasil Tes 10. Implementasi Kurikulum 2004. PT. Remaja Rosdakarya, Bandung.
10. Johnson, David W. and Johnson, Robert T. 2002. Meaningful Assessment Manage able and Cooperative Process. Boston: Allyn and Bacon.
11. Kubiszyn, Tom/I. Borich, Gary. 2007. Educational Testing and Measurement: Classroom Application and Practice. New Jersey: John Wiley & Sons.
12. Linn, R. I. Gronlund, N. E. 1995. Measurement and Assessment in Teaching. Ohio: Merrill.
13. Rani, T. Swarupa. 2004. Educational Measurement and Evaluation. New Delhi: DPH.
14. Permendiknas No. 53 tahun 2015 tentang penilaian.
15. Arends, Richardl. 2004. Guide to Field Experiences and Portfolio Development: to accompany learning to teach. New York: McGraw-Hill Book Company.
16. Arikunto, Suharsimi. 2006. Dasar-dasar Evaluasi Pendidikan (edisi revisi). Cet. 6. Bumi Aksara: Jakarta
17. Brookhart, Susan M. 2010. How to Assess Higher-Order Thinking Skills in Your Classroom. Alexandria: ASCD.
18. Departemen Pendidikan Nasional. Kurikulum SMP 1984, 1999, 2004, 2006 dan 2013, Jakarta
19. Examination and Evaluation in Education. New Delhi: Common wealth.
20. Glencoe Series. 2001. Performance Assessment in The Science Classroom. New York: McGraw-Hill Company.
21. Gronlund, N. E. 1980. Construction Achievement Test. New Jersey: Prentice Hall Inc
22. Gronlund, N. E. 1991. How to Write and Use Instructional Objective. New Jersey: Prentice Hall Inc
23. Supranata, Sumarna, 2004. Analisis, Validitas, Reliabilitas dan Interpretasi Hasil Tes 10. Implementasi Kurikulum 2004. PT. Remaja Rosdakarya, Bandung.
24. Johnson, David W. and Johnson, Robert T. 2002. Meaningful Assessment Manage able and Cooperative Process. Boston: Allyn and Bacon.
25. Kubiszyn, Tom/I. Borich, Gary. 2007. Educational Testing and Measurement: Classroom Application and Practice. New Jersey: John Wiley & Sons.
26. Linn, R. I. Gronlund, N. E. 1995. Measurement and Assessment in Teaching. Ohio: Merrill.
27. Rani, T. Swarupa. 2004. Educational Measurement and Evaluation. New Delhi: DPH.
28. Permendiknas No. 53 tahun 2015 tentang penilaian.

Supporters:

Supporting lecturer

Septyan Budy Cahya, S.Pd., M.Pd.
Winaika Irawati, S.Pd., M.Pd.

Week-	Final abilities of each learning stage (Sub-PO)	Evaluation		Help Learning, Learning methods, Student Assignments, [Estimated time]		Learning materials [References]	Assessment Weight (%)
		Indicator	Criteria & Form	Offline (offline)	Online (online)		
(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)	(6)	(7)	(8)
1							0%
2							0%
3							0%
4							0%
5							0%
6							0%
7							0%
8							0%
9							0%

10							0%
11							0%
12							0%
13							0%
14							0%
15							0%
16							0%

Evaluation Percentage Recap: Project Based Learning

No	Evaluation	Percentage
		0%

Notes

- 1. Learning Outcomes of Study Program Graduates (PLO - Study Program)** are the abilities possessed by each Study Program graduate which are the internalization of attitudes, mastery of knowledge and skills according to the level of their study program obtained through the learning process.
- 2. The PLO imposed on courses** are several learning outcomes of study program graduates (CPL-Study Program) which are used for the formation/development of a course consisting of aspects of attitude, general skills, special skills and knowledge.
- 3. Program Objectives (PO)** are abilities that are specifically described from the PLO assigned to a course, and are specific to the study material or learning materials for that course.
- 4. Subject Sub-PO (Sub-PO)** is a capability that is specifically described from the PO that can be measured or observed and is the final ability that is planned at each learning stage, and is specific to the learning material of the course.
- 5. Indicators for assessing** ability in the process and student learning outcomes are specific and measurable statements that identify the ability or performance of student learning outcomes accompanied by evidence.
- 6. Assessment Criteria** are benchmarks used as a measure or measure of learning achievement in assessments based on predetermined indicators. Assessment criteria are guidelines for assessors so that assessments are consistent and unbiased. Criteria can be quantitative or qualitative.
- 7. Forms of assessment:** test and non-test.
- 8. Forms of learning:** Lecture, Response, Tutorial, Seminar or equivalent, Practicum, Studio Practice, Workshop Practice, Field Practice, Research, Community Service and/or other equivalent forms of learning.
- 9. Learning Methods:** Small Group Discussion, Role-Play & Simulation, Discovery Learning, Self-Directed Learning, Cooperative Learning, Collaborative Learning, Contextual Learning, Project Based Learning, and other equivalent methods.
- 10. Learning materials** are details or descriptions of study materials which can be presented in the form of several main points and sub-topics.
- 11. The assessment weight** is the percentage of assessment of each sub-PO achievement whose size is proportional to the level of difficulty of achieving that sub-PO, and the total is 100%.
- 12. TM=Face to face, PT=Structured assignments, BM=Independent study.**