

Universitas Negeri Surabaya Faculty of Engineering, Building Engineering Education Undergraduate Study Program

Document Code

SEMESTER LEARNING PLAN

Courses			CODE Cours			ırse F	amil	nily Credit Weight			:	SEME	STER	Co Dat	npilat e	ion				
Evaluation of Learning and Learning			8320502270			Cor Pro	npulso gram	Ilsory Study m Subjects		т	=2	P=0	ECTS=3	8.18	:	2	Apr 202	il 28, 3		
AUTHORIZATION			SP Developer					Course Cluster Coordinator				or :	Study Program Coordinator							
			Wahyu Dwi Mulyono, S.Pd., M.Pc				۶d.		Prof. Dr. Suparji, M.Pd.				Dr. Gde Agus Yudha Prawira Adistana, S.T., M.T.							
Learning model	g Case Studies																			
Program Learning Outcomes (PLO)	PLO study program which is charged to the course																			
	PLO-3 Develop logical, critical, systematic and creative thinking in carrying out specific work in their field of expertise and in accordance with work competency standards in the field concerned																			
	PLO-5	Able to communicate and present in detail educational and civil engineering concepts/theories to support the field of Building Engineering Education																		
	PLO-7 Able to analyze, evaluate, create solutions for educational and civil engineering problems that can support the field of Building Engineering Education																			
	Program Objectives (PO)																			
	PO - 1 Students have an understanding of the concept of learning evaluation which is in line with the curriculum and technological developments																			
	PO - 2	2 Students are able to develop valid and reliable assessment instruments																		
	PO - 3 Students are able to apply learning evaluation theory in analyzing student learning outcomes instruments																			
	PO Matrix at t	he end	P.O PO-1 PO-2 PO-3 of each lear	rning	PLC v	0-3	ub-F	20)	PLO-	5		P	LO-7 ✓							
				1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13	14	15	16	1
		PC	D-1																	1
		PC)-2									l								1
		PC)-3]
Short Course Description	This course pro forms of test differentiability of approach. Evalu	vides ar and nor of test ite ation of	n understandi n-test questi ems, and iter learning with	ng of ons, m ana writte	f mea: asses alysis. en tes	surer ssme . Lea ts.	nent, nt o rning	asse f wor is ca	ssme k pr arried	ent ar ocess out	nd eva ses a using	alua and a d	tion, t produ irect 1	ypes of ucts, val teaching	neası idity, mode	uremer reliabil el by a	nt and ity, lev pplying	evalua vel of a col	tion to diffici nstruct	ools ulty tivis
References	Main :																			
	1. Suharsi 2. Silveriu 3. Dirjen F 4. Ni Ketu Asosias sd.14 N	mi, Ariku s, Suke. Pendidika It Widiau i Pendia ovembe	unto. 2010. E 1991. Evalua an Dasar dan rtini.2014. As dikan Teknol r 2014.	valua asi Ha Meno esme ogida	asi Per asil Be engah en Ot an Kej	ndidil elajar n. 200 entik jurua	kan. C dan D3. Si pad n (A	Jakart Umpa istem a Pro PTEK	a: Bu an Ba Penil Igram INDC	ımi Ał Iik. Ja Iaian I Pen D) ke	ksara akarta Kelas Ididika 7 Fl	a: Gr s unt an \ PTK	amed uk SD /okas Univ	lia Widia:), SMP, S i.Diprese ersitas F	sarana SMA d ntasik Pendic	a. Ian SM an pa Iikan I	K. Jak da Koi ndones	arta: D nvensi sia, Ba	epdiki Nasio anduno	nas onal g,13

	S	upporters:								
		1. Suparji. ((2020).	Konsep Dasar	r Evaluasi Pembelajar	an dan Kaidah F	Penulisan Alat Penilaian. S	Surabaya:Prima /	Abadi Jaya	
Support lecturer	t ing Pr W	rof. Dr. Suparji, ⁄ahyu Dwi Mulyo	S.Pd., ono, S.	M.Pd. Pd., M.Pd.						
Week-	Final a each l stage	al abilities of ch learning ge		Evalu	uation	He Lear Stude [Es	lp Learning, ning methods, nt Assignments, stimated time]	Learning materials [References	Assessment Weight (%)	
	(Sub-PO)		Indicator		Criteria & Form	Offline(offline)	Online (<i>online</i>)]		
(1)		(2)		(3)	(4)	(5)	(6)	(7)	(8)	
1	Defin asses evalu meas	ing ssment and lation surements	1.8 6 7 2.8 7 7 7 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8	Explain the definition of assessment and evaluation measurement Explain the ole and unction of measurement, assessment and evaluation	Form of Assessment : Participatory Activities	PjBL, discussion and questions and answers 2 X 50		Material: Understanding measurement and assessment References: Suharsimi, Arikunto. 2010. Education Evaluation. Jakarta: Bumi Literacy.	5%	
2	Unde variou tests	rstand the us types of and non-tests	Expl non- asse	ain test and test essment tools	Criteria: Oral test Form of Assessment : Participatory Activities	PjBL, discussion and questions and answers 2 X 50		Material: Test and non-test Reference: Suharsimi, Arikunto. 2010. Education Evaluation. Jakarta: Bumi Literacy.	5%	
3	Creat	te assessment iments	Corr and instr	npile grids develop uments	Criteria: Task Form of Assessment : Project Results Assessment / Product Assessment	PjBL, discussions, questions and answers, and presentations 2 X 50		Material: Preparing instruments Reader: Suparji. (2020). Basic Concepts of Learning Evaluation and Rules for Writing Assessment Tools. Surabaya: Prima Abadi Jaya	5%	
4	Creat	te assessment iments	Dev mult instr	eloping iple choice uments	Criteria: Task Form of Assessment : Project Results Assessment / Product Assessment	PjBL, discussions, questions and answers, and presentations 2 X 50		Material: Preparing instruments Reader: Suparji. (2020). Basic Concepts of Learning Evaluation and Rules for Writing Assessment Tools. Surabaya: Prima Abadi Jaya	10%	
5	Calcu difficu	llate the item llty level	Cald item leve	culate the difficulty I	Criteria: Task Form of Assessment : Project Results Assessment / Product Assessment	PjBL, discussions, questions and answers, and assignments 2 X 50		Material: difficulty level References: Suharsimi, Arikunto. 2010. Education Evaluation. Jakarta: Bumi Literacy.	5%	

6	Calculating different forces	Calculating power difference	Criteria: Task Form of Assessment : Project Results Assessment / Product Assessment	PjBL, discussions, questions and answers, and assignments 2 X 50	Material: difficulty level References: Suharsimi, Arikunto. 2010. Education Evaluation. Jakarta: Bumi Literacy.	5%
7	Analyzing onits and distractors	Analyzing omits and distractors	Criteria: Task Form of Assessment : Project Results Assessment / Product Assessment	PjBL, discussions, questions and answers, and assignments 2 X 50	Material: difficulty level References: Suharsimi, Arikunto. 2010. Education Evaluation. Jakarta: Bumi Literacy.	5%
8	The Mid-Term Exam shows the ability of meeting material 1 to 7	material for meetings 1 to 7	Criteria: writing test Form of Assessment : Test	written test 2 X 50	Material: UTS Reader: Suharsimi, Arikunto. 2010. Education Evaluation. Jakarta: Bumi Literacy.	10%
9	Explain the various types of validity	 Explain the various types of validation Differentiate validation functions 	Criteria: Oral questions Form of Assessment : Participatory Activities	PjBL, discussion and questions and answers 2 X 50	Material: Validity Literature: Suharsimi, Arikunto. 2010. Education Evaluation. Jakarta: Bumi Literacy.	5%
10	Calculating the validity of the question items	 Calculating the validity of question items using the product moment correlation formula with deviation Calculating the validity of question items using the product moment correlation formula with rough numbers 	Criteria: Task Form of Assessment : Project Results Assessment / Product Assessment	PjBL, discussions, questions and answers, exercises and assignments 2 X 50	Material: Validity Literature: Suharsimi, Arikunto. 2010. Education Evaluation. Jakarta: Bumi Literacy.	5%
11	Calculating the validity of the question items	 Calculating the validity of question items using the product moment correlation formula with deviation Calculating the validity of question items using the product moment correlation formula with rough numbers 	Criteria: Task Form of Assessment : Project Results Assessment / Product Assessment	PjBL, discussions, questions and answers, exercises and assignments 2 X 50	Material: Validity Literature: Suharsimi, Arikunto. 2010. Education Evaluation. Jakarta: Bumi Literacy.	5%

12	Calculating reliability	Calculating reliability	Criteria: Task Form of Assessment : Project Results Assessment / Product Assessment	PjBL, discussions, questions and answers, exercises and assignments 2 X 50	Material: Validity Literature: Suharsimi, Arikunto. 2010. Education Evaluation. Jakarta: Bumi Literacy.	5%
13	Calculating reliability	Calculating reliability	Criteria: Task Form of Assessment : Project Results Assessment / Product Assessment	PjBL, discussions, questions and answers, exercises and assignments 2 X 50	Material: Validity Literature: Suharsimi, Arikunto. 2010. Education Evaluation. Jakarta: Bumi Literacy.	5%
14	Calculating reliability	Calculating reliability	Criteria: Task Form of Assessment : Project Results Assessment / Product Assessment	PjBL, discussions, questions and answers, exercises and assignments 2 X 50	Material: Validity Literature: Suharsimi, Arikunto. 2010. Education Evaluation. Jakarta: Bumi Literacy.	5%
15	Determine z-score and T-score for ranking	1.Calculate z- score and t- score 2.Determine ranking based on student test scores	Form of Assessment : Participatory Activities, Project Results Assessment / Product Assessment	Lectures, discussions, questions and answers, exercises and assignments 2 X 50	Material: Library Ranking : Suharsimi, Arikunto. 2010. Education Evaluation. Jakarta: Bumi Literacy.	5%
16	Doing UAS questions (written test)		Form of Assessment : Test	writing test	Material: UAS Literature: Suharsimi, Arikunto. 2010. Education Evaluation. Jakarta: Bumi Literacy.	15%

Evaluation Percentage Recap: Case Study

No	Evaluation	Percentage
1.	Participatory Activities	17.5%
2.	Project Results Assessment / Product Assessment	57.5%
3.	Test	25%
		100%

Notes

- Learning Outcomes of Study Program Graduates (PLO Study Program) are the abilities possessed by each Study
 Program graduate which are the internalization of attitudes, mastery of knowledge and skills according to the level of their
 study program obtained through the learning process.
- The PLO imposed on courses are several learning outcomes of study program graduates (CPL-Study Program) which are used for the formation/development of a course consisting of aspects of attitude, general skills, special skills and knowledge.
- 3. **Program Objectives (PO)** are abilities that are specifically described from the PLO assigned to a course, and are specific to the study material or learning materials for that course.
- 4. **Subject Sub-PO (Sub-PO)** is a capability that is specifically described from the PO that can be measured or observed and is the final ability that is planned at each learning stage, and is specific to the learning material of the course.
- Indicators for assessing abilities in the process and student learning outcomes are specific and measurable statements that identify the abilities or performance of student learning outcomes accompanied by evidence.
- Assessment Criteria are benchmarks used as a measure or measure of learning achievement in assessments based on predetermined indicators. Assessment criteria are guidelines for assessors so that assessments are consistent and unbiased. Criteria can be quantitative or qualitative.
- 7. Forms of assessment: test and non-test.
- 8. Forms of learning: Lecture, Response, Tutorial, Seminar or equivalent, Practicum, Studio Practice, Workshop Practice, Field Practice, Research, Community Service and/or other equivalent forms of learning.
- Learning Methods: Small Group Discussion, Role-Play & Simulation, Discovery Learning, Self-Directed Learning, Cooperative Learning, Collaborative Learning, Contextual Learning, Project Based Learning, and other equivalent methods.

- 10. Learning materials are details or descriptions of study materials which can be presented in the form of several main points and sub-topics.
- 11. The assessment weight is the percentage of assessment of each sub-PO achievement whose size is proportional to the level of difficulty of achieving that sub-PO, and the total is 100%.
- 12. TM=Face to face, PT=Structured assignments, BM=Independent study.