

Universitas Negeri Surabaya Faculty of Mathematics and Natural Sciences Biology Undergraduate Study Program

Document Code

| | | | | | EME: | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
|--------------------------------|---|--------------------------------|--------------------------|------------------------------|--------------------------------------|--------------------------------|-----------------------------|----------------------------------|-------------------------------|------------------------------|-----------------|---------|--------|-----------------|------------------------------|--------|----------------|------------|
| Courses | Courses | | CODE Course Family | | | | | Credit Weight | | | SEMES | TER | Co | mpilatior te | | | | |
| Environment | al Microbiology* | | 462 | 010213 | 2 | | | | | | | T=2 | P=0 | ECTS=3.18 | 7 | | July | y 18, 202 |
| AUTHORIZA [*] | ΓΙΟΝ | | SP | Develo | per | | | | | Cou | ırse C | luste | r Coo | rdinator | Study Program Coordinator | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | Dr. H. S | | Kuntj I.Si. | joro, S.Si |
| Learning model | Project Based L | .earnin | g | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Program Learning | PLO study program that is charged to the course | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Outcomes (PLO) | Program Objectives (PO) | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| (PLO) | PLO-PO Matrix | (| | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | P.O PO Matrix at the end of each learning stage (Sub-PO) | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | 1 0 matrix at th | io ona | 0.0 | 4011 101 | uning c | otago (| - | ٠, | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | P.O | | | | | | | | Week | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | 1 | 2 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 | 9 | 10 | 1 | 1 12 | 13 14 | . 1 | 15 | 16 |
| | | | | ı | ı | | | 1 | | 1 | l | ı | | | ı | | | |
| Short Course Description | This course execution environmental quadrion, theoretical and p | uality, biorem | mon ediat | itoring ion, bio | environr | nental | quality | / base | d on | microl | oial di | iversit | y, the | role of m | crobes in | ı biot | rans | formation |
| References | Main : | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | 1. Hogg, S 2. Laskin, A 3. Madigan 4. Mitchell, 5. Tortora, | A.I. 200 ı, M.T., R. dan |)3. Ad J.M. i J.D. | dvances Martink Gu. 20 | s in Appli ko, D.A. : 10. Envi | ied Micı Stahl, d ronmen | obiolo an D.F tal Mic | gy . Els P. Clark crobiolo | sevier. k. 2012 ogy . V | . UK. 2. Biolo Viley-B | gy of lackwe | ell. Ne | w Jer | sey | | | Frar | nsisco. |
| | Supporters: | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |

Supporting lecturer

MUSLIMIN IBRAHIM Prof. Dr. Mahanani Tri Asri, M.Si. Guntur Trimulyono, S.Si., M.Sc. Lisa Lisdiana, S.Si., M.Si., Ph.D. Dr. Pramita Yakub, S.Pd., M.Pd.

| Week- | Final abilities of each learning stage (Sub-PO) | Eva | luation | Learnir Student <i>i</i> | Learning, g methods, Assignments, nated time] | Learning materials [References | Assessment Weight (%) |
|-------|--|-----------|-----------------|-----------------------------|--|---------------------------------|--------------------------|
| | (Sub-PO) | Indicator | Criteria & Form | Offline (offline) | Online (online) |] | |
| (1) | (2) | (3) | (4) | (5) | (6) | (7) | (8) |

| 1 | Understand the scope of environmental microbiology | 1.Explain the scope of environmental microbiology 2.Identifying the position of microbes in soil habitat 3.Identifying the position of microbes in freshwater habitats 4.Identifying the position of microbes in seawater habitats | Criteria: 1.Practical reports and products are assessed as assignments with a weight of 30% 2.USS weight 20% 3.Student activities and responses during learning activities, especially practicums, are assessed as participation, with a weight of 20% 4.US weight 30% Form of Assessment: Participatory Activities | Presentation and discussion 2 X 50 | | 5% |
|---|---|--|--|------------------------------------|--|----|
| 2 | Understand the field of study in soil microbiology. | 1.Identify specific microbes in the soil 2.Explain the carbon cycle | Criteria: 1.Practical reports and products are assessed as assignments with a weight of 30% 2.USS weight 20% 3.Student activities and responses during learning activities, especially practicums, are assessed as participation, with a weight of 20% 4.US weight 30% Form of Assessment: Project Results Assessment / Product Assessment | Presentation and discussion 2 X 50 | | 5% |
| 3 | Understand the field of study in soil microbiology. | 1.Explain the nitrogen cycle 2.Explain the phosphate cycle | Criteria: 1. Practical reports and products are assessed as assignments with a weight of 30% 2. USS weight 20% 3. Student activities and responses during learning activities, especially practicums, are assessed as participation, with a weight of 20% 4. US weight 30% Form of Assessment: Project Results Assessment / Product Assessment | Presentation and discussion 2 X 50 | | 5% |

| | 1 | T | 1 | T | T | |
|---|---|---|--|------------------------------------|---|----|
| 4 | Understand the field of study in aquatic microbiology | Explain the living needs of aquatic microbes | Criteria: 1.Practical reports and products are assessed as assignments with a weight of 30% 2.USS weight 20% 3.Student activities and responses during learning activities, especially practicums, are assessed as participation, with a weight of 20% 4.US weight 30% Form of Assessment: Project Results Assessment / Product Assessment | Presentation and discussion 2 X 50 | | 5% |
| 5 | Understand the field of study in aquatic microbiology | Identifying specific microbes in freshwater waters | Criteria: 1. Practical reports and products are assessed as assignments with a weight of 30% 2. USS weight 20% 3. Student activities and responses during learning activities, especially practicums, are assessed as participation, with a weight of 20% 4. US weight 30% Form of Assessment: Project Results Assessment / Product Assessment | Presentation and discussion 2 X 50 | | 5% |
| 6 | Understand the field of study in aquatic microbiology | Identifying specific microbes in seawater | Criteria: 1.Practical reports and products are assessed as assignments with a weight of 30% 2.USS weight 20% 3.Student activities and responses during learning activities, especially practicums, are assessed as participation, with a weight of 20% 4.US weight 30% Form of Assessment: Project Results Assessment / Product Assessment | Presentation and discussion 2 X 50 | | 5% |

| | | I . | 1 | 1 | | |
|---|--|--|---|--|--|-----|
| 7 | Understand methods of detection and isolation of microbes from the environment | 1.Identify methods for detecting and isolating microbes from soil samples 2.Identify methods for detecting and isolating microbes from water samples 3.Skilled in isolating microbes from soil and water samples | Criteria: 1.Practical reports and products are assessed as assignments with a weight of 30% 2.USS weight 20% 3.Students' activities and responses during learning activities, especially practicums, are assessed as participation, with a weight of 20% 4.US weight 30% Form of Assessment: Project Results Assessment / Product Assessment | Presentation and discussionPractice 2 X 50 | | 5% |
| 8 | U.S.S | U.S.S | Criteria: 1. Practical reports and products are assessed as assignments with a weight of 30% 2. USS weight 20% 3. Student activities and responses during learning activities, especially practicums, are assessed as participation, with a weight of 20% 4. US weight 30% Form of Assessment: Participatory Activities, Project Results Assessment / Product Assessment | Giving written test USS 1 2 X 50 | | 15% |
| 9 | Understand environmental quality analysis methods with microbial parameters | 1.Explain the position of microbes in environmental quality analysis 2.Identify soil quality analysis methods with soil microbial diversity parameters 3.Identify methods for analyzing soil quality with parameters for the number of water microbes 4.Skilled in analyzing environmental quality with microbial parameters | Criteria: 1.Practical reports and products are assessed as assignments with a weight of 30% 2.USS weight 20% 3.Student activities and responses during learning activities, especially practicums, are assessed as participation, with a weight of 20% 4.US weight 30% Form of Assessment: Project Results Assessment / Product Assessment | Presentation and discussionPractice 2 X 50 | | 5% |

| 10 | Understand the role of microbes in the environment | Explain the role of microbes in the biotransformation process | Criteria: 1.Practical reports and products are assessed as assignments with a weight of 30% 2.USS weight 20% 3.Student activities and responses during learning activities, especially practicums, are assessed as participation, with a weight of 20% 4.US weight 30% | Presentation and discussion 2 X 50 | | 5% |
|----|--|---|--|------------------------------------|--|----|
| | | | Form of Assessment: Project Results Assessment / Product Assessment | | | |
| 11 | Understand the role of microbes in the environment | Explain the role of microbes in the biodegradation and bioremediation processes | Criteria: 1. Practical reports and products are assessed as assignments with a weight of 30% 2. USS weight 20% 3. Student activities and responses during learning activities, especially practicums, are assessed as participation, with a weight of 20% 4. US weight 30% Form of Assessment: Project Results Assessment / Product Assessment | Presentation and discussion 2 X 50 | | 5% |
| 12 | Understand the role of microbes in the environment | Explain the role of microbes in the biomining process | Criteria: 1.Practical reports and products are assessed as assignments with a weight of 30% 2.USS weight 20% 3.Student activities and responses during learning activities, especially practicums, are assessed as participation, with a weight of 20% 4.US weight 30% Form of Assessment: Participatory Activities | Presentation and discussion 2 X 50 | | 5% |

| 13 | Understand the role of microbes in the environment | Explain the role of microbes as bioindicators | Criteria: 1.Practical reports and products are assessed as assignments with a weight of 30% 2.USS weight 20% 3.Student activities and responses during learning activities, especially practicums, are assessed as participation, with a weight of 20% | Presentation and discussion 2 X 50 | | 5% |
|----|--|---|--|------------------------------------|--|-----|
| | | | 4.US weight 30% Form of Assessment: Project Results Assessment / Product Assessment | | | |
| 14 | Understand the role of microbes in the environment | Explain the role of microbes as biosensors | Criteria: 1.Practical reports and products are assessed as assignments with a weight of 30% 2.USS weight 20% 3.Student activities and responses during learning activities, especially practicums, are assessed as participation, with a weight of 20% 4.US weight 30% Form of Assessment : Participatory Activities | Presentation and discussion 2 X 50 | | 5% |
| 15 | Understand the role of microbes in the environment | Explain the role of microbes as biopesticides | Criteria: 1.Practical reports and products are assessed as assignments with a weight of 30% 2.USS weight 20% 3.Student activities and responses during learning activities, especially practicums, are assessed as participation, with a weight of 20% 4.US weight 30% Form of Assessment: Participatory Activities | Presentation and discussion 2 X 50 | | 10% |
| 16 | | | Form of Assessment | | | 10% |
| | | | : Participatory Activities | | | |

Evaluation Descentage Pecan: Project Resed Learning

| ⊏va | Evaluation Percentage Recap: Project Based Learning | | | | | | | |
|-----|---|------------|--|--|--|--|--|--|
| No | Evaluation | Percentage | | | | | | |
| 1. | Participatory Activities | 42.5% | | | | | | |
| 2. | Project Results Assessment / Product Assessment | 57.5% | | | | | | |
| | | 100% | | | | | | |

Notes

- Learning Outcomes of Study Program Graduates (PLO Study Program) are the abilities possessed by each Study
 Program graduate which are the internalization of attitudes, mastery of knowledge and skills according to the level of their
 study program obtained through the learning process.
- 2. The PLO imposed on courses are several learning outcomes of study program graduates (CPL-Study Program) which are used for the formation/development of a course consisting of aspects of attitude, general skills, special skills and knowledge.
- 3. **Program Objectives (PO)** are abilities that are specifically described from the PLO assigned to a course, and are specific to the study material or learning materials for that course.
- 4. **Subject Sub-PO (Sub-PO)** is a capability that is specifically described from the PO that can be measured or observed and is the final ability that is planned at each learning stage, and is specific to the learning material of the course.
- Indicators for assessing ability in the process and student learning outcomes are specific and measurable statements that identify the ability or performance of student learning outcomes accompanied by evidence.
- Assessment Criteria are benchmarks used as a measure or measure of learning achievement in assessments based on
 predetermined indicators. Assessment criteria are guidelines for assessors so that assessments are consistent and unbiased.
 Criteria can be quantitative or qualitative.
- 7. Forms of assessment: test and non-test.
- 8. **Forms of learning:** Lecture, Response, Tutorial, Seminar or equivalent, Practicum, Studio Practice, Workshop Practice, Field Practice, Research, Community Service and/or other equivalent forms of learning.
- Learning Methods: Small Group Discussion, Role-Play & Simulation, Discovery Learning, Self-Directed Learning,
 Cooperative Learning, Collaborative Learning, Contextual Learning, Project Based Learning, and other equivalent methods.
- 10. Learning materials are details or descriptions of study materials which can be presented in the form of several main points and sub-topics.
- 11. The assessment weight is the percentage of assessment of each sub-PO achievement whose size is proportional to the level of difficulty of achieving that sub-PO, and the total is 100%.
- 12. TM=Face to face, PT=Structured assignments, BM=Independent study.