Document Code



Universitas Negeri Surabaya Faculty of Mathematics and Natural Sciences Biology Education Undergraduate Study Program

			5	SEN	/IES	STE	RL	_E/	۱RN	IINC	3 P	LAI	V							
Courses			CODE					Course Family			у	С	redit	Wei	ght	SEN	MESTER		ompilat ate	tion
Evaluation of	Learning and Lea	arning	8420502292				sory S		Т	=2 F	P=0	ECTS=3.18	3	3	Ju	ıly 17, 2	2024			
AUTHORIZAT	TON		SP Developer				н ошыјс		urse C	luste	r Co	ordinator	Stu	dy Prog	ram C	oordin	ator			
			Dr. Raha	arjo M.	Si						Prof. Dr. Endang Susantini, M.Pd			Dr.	Rinie Pra	atiwi F M.Si.	^o uspitav	wati,		
Learning model	Case Studies																			
Program Learning	PLO study program that is charged to the course																			
Outcomes	Program Objectives (PO)																			
F	PO - 1	Developing instruments to access the learning process and learning outcomes in the affective, cognitive and psychomotor domains including literacy and HOTs (high level thinking skills) and being able to develop assessment instructions or guidelines																		
	PO - 2	Demonstrate critical thinking skills in choosing approaches, methods, and assessment techniques or strategies in accordance with the indicators or competencies being measured.																		
	PO - 3	Competent in managing and analyzing various assessment results to carry out evaluations and formulate feedback, including for students with special needs																		
	PO - 4	Utilize var	ious learn	ing so	urces	, resea	arch re	sults,	media	and I	CT to	develo	p ass	essn	nents.					
	PLO-PO Matrix																			
		F F	P.O PO-1 PO-2 PO-3 PO-4																	
	PO Matrix at th	e end of e	ach lear	ning	stage	(Sub	-PO)													
		Р	.O									Week								
				1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	1	.1 12	13	14	15	16	
		PO-1																		
		PO-2																		
		PO-3]
		PO-4																		

Short Course Description

Study of the meaning, objectives, functions and principles of assessment, taxonomy of cognitive, affective and psychomotor learning outcomes, including literacy and HoTs, various approaches, methods and assessment strategies/techniques, forms of instruments, assessment rubrics/guidelines, analysis and interpretation of assessment results, as well as their use in the world of education.

References

Main:

- 1. Anderson, L.W., & Krathwohl, D.R. (Eds). (2001). A taxonomy for learning, teaching, and assessing: A revision of Bloom&rsquos taxonomy of educational objectives. New York: Longman.
- 2. Brookhart, Susan M. (2010). How to assess higher-order thinking skills in your classroom. Alexandria: ASCD.
- 3. Cohen, R.J., Swerdlik, M.É., & Sturman, E.D. (2013). Psychological Testing and Assessment: An Introduction to test and measurement. New York: McGraw Hill Comp.
- 4. Glencoe Series. (tt). Performance Assessment in The Science Classroom. New York: McGraw- Hill Company.
- 5. Gronlund, N.E. (2003). Assessment of student achievement (7th ed.). Boston: Allyn and Bacon.
- Gronlund, N.E. (2004). Writing instructional objectives for teaching and learning. (7th ed.). New Jersey: Pearson Merrill Prentice Hall.
- Johnson, D.W. & Johnson, R.T. (2002). Meaningful assessment: A manageable and cooperative process. Boston: Allyn and Bacon..
- 8. Wright, R.J. (2008). Educational assessment. Los Angeles: Sage Publications.

Supporters:

1. Oosterhof, A. (2003). Developing and Using Classroom Assessments. New Jersey: Merrill Prentice Hall.

Supporting lecturer

Dr. Raharjo, M.Si.
Prof. Dr. Endang Susantini, M.Pd.
Dr. Muji Sri Prastiwi, S.Pd., M.Pd.

Week-	Final abilities of each learning stage	Evaluation		Learr Studen	p Learning, ing methods, t Assignments, timated time]	Learning materials [References	Assessment Weight (%)
	(Sub-PO)	Indicator	Criteria & Form	Offline (offline)	Online (online)]	3 ()
(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)	(6)	(7)	(8)
1	Understand the concepts, approaches, principles, types and functions of assessment	1.Describe measurement, assessment, and evaluation 2.Compare various approaches, principles, types and functions of assessment	Criteria: Observation of student activities in class/in the LMS Form of Assessment: Participatory Activities	Students and lecturers ask questions regarding the concepts of measurement, assessment and evaluation Lecturers present various approaches, principles, types and functions of assessment Students discuss and compare them 3 X 50	Students brainstorm ideas on the chat feature in Vinesa regarding comparisons of approaches, principles, types and functions of assessment. 1x50	Material: concepts, approaches, principles, types and functions of Learning and Learning Evaluation References: Anderson, LW, & Krathwohl, DR (Eds). (2001). A taxonomy for learning, teaching, and assessing: A revision of Bloom's taxonomy of educational objectives. New York: Longman.	0%
2	Analyze the implementation of assessments	1.Analyzing assessment characteristics as a function of Assessment as/of/for Learning (AaL, AofL, AfL). 2.Express critical responses to the application of assessments based on research results	Criteria: Observation of student activities in class/in the LMS Form of Assessment: Participatory Activities	Learning is carried out in the following PBL stages: 1. Problem Orientation for students regarding the characteristics of assessment as a function of AaL, AofL, AfL, AaM, AaP, and AaL and the tasks that must be completed 2. Organizing students: Helping understand the problem and the things needed . 3. Guiding group investigations: gathering information and discussing critically the implementation of assessments in accordance with the applicable curriculum (Merdeka Curriculum, 2013 curriculum) 4. Developing and presenting work: compiling group reports and preparing presentations of the results in the form of video presentations. 5. Analyze and evaluate the modeling process: monitor and provide input at each stage of the 3 X 50 modeling	Students submit submissions regarding the implementation of assessments based on the results of 1x50 research	Material: application of learning and learning evaluation References: Anderson, LW, & Krathwohl, DR (Eds). (2001). A taxonomy for learning, teaching, and assessing: A revision of Bloom's taxonomy of educational objectives. New York: Longman.	0%

3	Developing cognitive domain assessment instrument constructs	1.Analyzing Bloom & Andersen's cognitive taxonomy including cognitive levels (C1-C6) and dimensions of science (factual, conceptual, procedural and metacognitive) in Biology 2.Analyzing various thinking skills (Ex: HoTs, Scientific Literacy, Science Process)	Criteria: Observation of student activities in class/in the LMS Form of Assessment : Participatory Activities	Students explore various references to analyze the cognitive domain of Bloom & Andersen's taxonomy. Students discover and explain the characteristics of thinking levels and knowledge domains according to Bloom's revised taxonomy. Students explore various references about HoTs, scientific literacy, and science process thinking skills. 2 X 50	Synchronous discussion via 1x50 webmeeting	Material: cognitive domain assessment instrument constructs References: Anderson, LW, & Krathwohl, DR (Eds). (2001). A taxonomy for learning, teaching, and assessing: A revision of Bloom's taxonomy of educational objectives. New York: Longman.	5%
4	Develop assessment grids and cognitive domain assessment instruments (tests)	1. Analyzing competencies 2. Formulate indicators of competency achievement 3. Create an assessment grid 4. Create question items and scoring guidelines according to the revised Bloom's taxonomy	Criteria: Product assessment for making assessment grids, question items and cognitive domain assessment rubrics Form of Assessment: Project Results Assessment / Product Assessment	Students develop a cognitive domain assessment grid with the following PjBL stages: 1. Basic question: what is the form of cognitive domain assessment, containing literacy and HOTs. 2. Design and Development 3. Determine the deadline for project completion 4. Monitoring: monitor the progress of student group work 5. Test results: peer-review the results of group work 6. Evaluation: reflect and revise based on input in peer-review 7. Publication: Prepare videos presentation of results and reports on group work and discussing them in the 3 X 50 discussion forum	Students submit cognitive assessment tasks through the assignment feature and record video presentations.	Material: assessment grid and cognitive domain assessment instruments (tests) References: Cohen, RJ, Swerdlik, ME, & Sturman, ED (2013). Psychological Testing and Assessment: An Introduction to test and measurement. New York: McGraw Hill Comp.	20%

5	Develop assessment grids and cognitive domain assessment instruments (tests)	1.Analyzing competencies 2.Formulate indicators of competency achievement 3.Create an assessment grid 4.Create question items and scoring guidelines according to the revised Bloom's taxonomy	Criteria: Product assessment for making assessment grids, question items and cognitive domain assessment rubrics Form of Assessment: Project Results Assessment / Product Assessment	Students develop a cognitive domain assessment grid with the following PjBL stages: 1. Basic question: what is the form of cognitive domain assessment, containing literacy and HOTs. 2. Design and Development 3. Determine the deadline for project completion 4. Monitoring: monitor the progress of student group work 5. Test results: peer-review the results of group work 6. Evaluation: reflect and revise based on input in peer-review 7. Publication: Prepare videos presentation of results and reports on group work and discussing them in the 3 X 50	Students submit cognitive assessment tasks through the assignment feature and record video presentations.	Material: assessment grid and cognitive domain assessment instruments (tests) References: Cohen, RJ, Swerdlik, ME, & Sturman, ED (2013). Psychological Testing and Assessment: An Introduction to test and measurement. New York: McGraw Hill Comp.	20%
---	---------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------	------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------	---------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------	------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------	-----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------	-----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------	-----

progress of student group work 5. Results test: peer-review of group work results 6. Evaluation: reflection and revision based on input in peer-review 7. Publication: Prepare a video presentation of group work results and reports about and discuss them in a 3 x 50	6 Developing psychomotor assessment instruments	1.Describe Harrow's psychomotor taxonomy (P1-P6) 2.Comparing psychomotor and science process skills 3.Create psychomotor assessment instruments and science process skills 4.Develop an assessment rubric	Criteria: Observation of student activities in class/in the LMS Form of Assessment: Participatory Activities	Students develop assessment instruments in the psychomotor domain with the PjBL stages as follows: 1. Basic questions: what is the form of assessment in the affective domain, especially spiritual and social attitudes 2. Design and Development 3. Determine the deadline for project completion 4. Monitoring: monitor the	Students submit cognitive assessment tasks through the assignment feature and record video presentations.	Material: psychomotor assessment instrument instremence: Glencoe Series. (tt). Performance Assessment in The Science Classroom. New York: McGraw-Hill Company.	5%
discussion				5. Results test: peer-review of group work results 6. Evaluation: reflection and revision based on input in peer-review 7. Publication: Prepare a video presentation of group work results and reports about and discuss them in a 3 X 50			

7	Developing an affective domain assessment instrument	1.Describe Kratwohl's affective domain taxonomy (A1-A5) 2.Create affective assessment instruments, especially spiritual and social attitudes 3.Develop an assessment rubric	Criteria: Make good affective assessment instruments, especially spiritual and social attitudes Form of Assessment : Project Results Assessment / Product Assessment	Students develop an affective domain assessment instrument with the PjBL stages as follows: 1. Basic questions: what is the form of assessment in the affective domain, especially spiritual and social attitudes 2. Design and Development 3. Determine the deadline for project completion 4. Monitoring: monitor the progress of student group work 5. Results test: peer-review of group work results 6. Evaluation: reflection and revision based on input in peer-review 7. Publication: Prepare video presentations of group work results and discuss	Students submit cognitive assessment tasks through the assignment feature and record video presentations. 1x50	Material: Development of affective domain assessment instruments References: Cohen, RJ, Swerdlik, ME, & Sturman, ED (2013). Psychological Testing and Assessment: An Introduction to test and measurement. New York: McGraw Hill Comp.	10%
8	Midterm exam	-	Criteria:	them in discussion forums 2 X 50		Material: -	15%
			Form of Assessment : Test	2 X 50		Library:	
9	Develop authentic assessments	1.Comparing traditional, alternative, performance/performance, and authentic assessments 2.Describe the advantages and disadvantages of authentic assessment	Criteria: Observation of student activities in class/in the LMS Form of Assessment: Participatory Activities	Students develop authentic assessment instruments with the PjBL stages as follows: 1. Basic questions: what form of assessment in the affective domain, especially spiritual and social attitudes 2. Design and Development 3. Determine the deadline for project completion 4. Monitoring: monitor the progress of student group work 2 X 50	Brainstorm on the chat feature on Vinesa about authentic 1x5 assessments	Material: authentic assessment Reference: Glencoe Series. (tt). Performance Assessment in The Science Classroom. New York: McGraw-Hill Company.	5%

10	Develop authentic assessments	1.Comparing traditional, alternative, performance/performance, and authentic assessments 2.Describe the advantages and disadvantages of authentic assessment	Criteria: Observation of student activities in class/in the LMS Form of Assessment : Participatory Activities	Students develop authentic assessment instruments with the PjBL stages as follows: 1. Basic questions: what form of assessment in the affective domain, especially spiritual and social attitudes 2. Design and Development 3. Determine the deadline for project	Brainstorm on the chat feature on Vinesa about authentic 1x5 assessments	Material: authentic assessment Reference: Glencoe Series. (tt). Performance Assessment in The Science Classroom. New York: McGraw-Hill Company.	0%
				completion 4. Monitoring: monitor the progress of student group work 2 X 50			
11	Developing computer-based tests (Computer based Test)	Describe the meaning of CBT, principles and procedures for developing CBT Create computer-based tests with various software	Criteria: Observation of student activities in class/in the LMS Form of Assessment: Participatory Activities	1. Students download the electronic question making application 2. Students make questions in electronic form (CBT) 2 X 50	Students submit CBT assessment tasks through the assignment feature and provide feedback to each other via the forum feature in Vinesa 1x50	Material: computer- based test (Computer based Test) References: Brookhart, Susan M. (2010). How to assess higher-order thinking skills in your classroom. Alexandria: ASCD.	5%
12	Analyze the quality of the instrument	Describe validity and reliability Determining the validity of assessment instruments Calculating the reliability of questions, tests, and SE	Criteria: Observation of student activities in class/in the LMS Form of Assessment: Participatory Activities	1. Students and lecturers ask questions regarding the concepts of validity, reliability and SE 2. Students look for examples of test data and analyze the validity, reliability and SE 2 X 50	Students submit instrument quality analysis assessment assignments through the assignment feature in Vinesa 1x50	Material: instrument quality References: Johnson, DW & Johnson, RT (2002). Meaningful assessment: A manageable and cooperative process. Boston: Allyn and Bacon	0%
13	Determine scores, grades and Test Item Parameters	1.Calculates scores and grades 2.Describe the different power, level of difficulty, and item sensitivity index 3.Calculating power difference 4.Calculate the level of difficulty 5.Calculate the item sensitivity index 6.Skilled in using question item analysis software	Form of Assessment : Participatory Activities	1. Students and lecturers ask questions regarding the concept of differential power, level of difficulty, and sensitivity index of items. 2. Students look for examples of test data on questions and analyze the differential power, level of difficulty, and item sensitivity index. 3. Students carry out item analysis with/or without software. 3 X 50	Students submit instrument quality analysis assessment assignments through the assignment feature in Vinesa 1x50		5%

14	Determine scores, grades and Test Item Parameters	1.Calculates scores and grades 2.Describe the different power, level of difficulty, and item sensitivity index 3.Calculating power difference 4.Calculate the level of difficulty 5.Calculate the item sensitivity index 6.Skilled in using question item analysis software	Form of Assessment : Participatory Activities	1. Students and lecturers ask questions regarding the concept of differential power, level of difficulty, and sensitivity index of items. 2. Students look for examples of test data on questions and analyze the differential power, level of difficulty, and item sensitivity index. 3. Students carry out item analysis with/or without software. 2 X 50	Students submit instrument quality analysis assessment assignments through the assignment feature in Vinesa 1x50	Material: scores, values and Test Item Parameters References: Johnson, DW & Johnson, RT (2002). Meaningful assessment: A manageable and cooperative process. Boston: Allyn and Bacon	5%
15	Communicate and utilize assessment results	1.Make reports on learning outcomes using assessments in the affective, cognitive and psychomotor domains. 2.Describe the use of assessment results	Criteria: Observation of student activities in class/in the LMS Form of Assessment: Project Results Assessment / Product Assessment	□Students describe processing and reporting assessment results after discussing learning resources Students discuss the use of learning and learning evaluation results 3 × 50	Students submit the task of communicating and utilizing evaluation results through the assignment feature and recording video presentations.		5%
16			Form of Assessment : Project Results Assessment / Product Assessment	- 2x50	-		0%

Evaluation Percentage Recap: Case Study

No	Evaluation	Percentage
1.	Participatory Activities	30%
2.	Project Results Assessment / Product Assessment	55%
3.	Test	15%
		100%

Notes

- Learning Outcomes of Study Program Graduates (PLO Study Program) are the abilities possessed by each Study Program
 graduate which are the internalization of attitudes, mastery of knowledge and skills according to the level of their study program obtained
 through the learning process.
- The PLO imposed on courses are several learning outcomes of study program graduates (CPL-Study Program) which are used for the formation/development of a course consisting of aspects of attitude, general skills, special skills and knowledge.
 Program Objectives (PO) are abilities that are specifically described from the PLO assigned to a course, and are specific to the study
- 3. **Program Objectives (PO)** are abilities that are specifically described from the PLO assigned to a course, and are specific to the study material or learning materials for that course.
- 4. **Subject Sub-PO** (Sub-PO) is a capability that is specifically described from the PO that can be measured or observed and is the final ability that is planned at each learning stage, and is specific to the learning material of the course.
- Indicators for assessing abilities in the process and student learning outcomes are specific and measurable statements that identify the abilities or performance of student learning outcomes accompanied by evidence.
- 6. Assessment Criteria are benchmarks used as a measure or measure of learning achievement in assessments based on predetermined indicators. Assessment criteria are guidelines for assessors so that assessments are consistent and unbiased. Criteria can be quantitative or qualitative.
- Forms of assessment: test and non-test.
- 8. Forms of learning: Lecture, Response, Tutorial, Seminar or equivalent, Practicum, Studio Practice, Workshop Practice, Field Practice, Research, Community Service and/or other equivalent forms of learning.
- 9. Learning Methods: Small Group Discussion, Role-Play & Simulation, Discovery Learning, Self-Directed Learning, Cooperative Learning, Collaborative Learning, Contextual Learning, Project Based Learning, and other equivalent methods.
- Learning materials are details or descriptions of study materials which can be presented in the form of several main points and subtopics.
- 11. The assessment weight is the percentage of assessment of each sub-PO achievement whose size is proportional to the level of difficulty of achieving that sub-PO, and the total is 100%.
- 12. TM=Face to face, PT=Structured assignments, BM=Independent study.