

Universitas Negeri Surabaya Faculty of Economics and Business Bachelor of Accounting Education Study Program

Document Code

UNESA	Bachelor of Accounting Education Study Program								
SEMESTER LEARNING PLAN									
Courses		CODE	Course Family		Credit Weight		SEMESTER	Compilation Date	
Accounting L	earning Assessment	8720903017			T=3 P=	0 ECTS=4.77	5	July 18, 2024	
AUTHORIZAT	TION	SP Developer		Course	e Cluster	Coordinator	Study Progr Coordinator		
							Rochmawati	i, S.Pd., M.Ak.	
Learning model	Project Based Learn	ing							
Program Learning	PLO study program which is charged to the course								
Outcomes	Program Objectives (PO)								
(PLO)	PLO-PO Matrix								
	P.O								
	PO Matrix at the en	d of each learning stage ((Sub-PO)						
	F	P.O		We	eek				
		1 2 3 4	5 6 7	8 9	10	11 12	13 14	15 16	
Short Course Description	Examining understanding of the role of assessment in education and learning, various types of assessment according to the applicable curriculum, preparation of various types of good questions along with assessment rubrics. The basic concepts of authentic, alternative and class-based assessment and the applicable curriculum include workshops on developing assessment instruments, along with analysis of question items both manually using the Excel program and using question-item software, learning completion references, presented theoretically, workshops, assignments and practice.								
References	Main :								

- Arends, Richardl. 2004.Guide toFieldExperiences andPortofolio Development:to accompany;learningto teach.NewYork:McGraw-HillBookCompany.
- 2. Arikunto, Suharsimi. 2006. Dasar-dasar Evaluasi Pendidikan (edisirevisi) cet. 6. Bumiaksara: Jakarta
- 3. Brookhart, Susan M. 2010. How to Assess Higher-Order Thinking Skillsin Your Classroom. Alexandria: ASCD. 4. Departemen Pendidikan Nasional. Kurikulum SMP1984, 1999, 2004, 2006 dan 2013. Jakarta
- 4. Examination and Evaluation in Education. NewDelhi:Common wealth. 6. Glencoe Series. 2001. Performance Assessmentin The Science Classroom. NewYork: McGraw-Hill Company.
- 5. Gronlund, N. E. 1980. Construction Achievement Test. New Jersey: Prentice HallInc
- 6. Gronlund, N. E. 1991. How to WriteanduseInstructionalObjective. New Jersey: Prentice Hall Inc
- 7. Supranata,Sumarna,2004.Analisis,Validitas,Reliabilitas danInterpretasi HasilTes10.ImplementasiKurikulum 2004.PT. Remaja Rosdakarya,Bandung.
- 8. Johnson, David W. and Johnson, Robert T. 2002. Meaningful Assessment Manage able and Cooperative Process. Boston: Allyn and Bacon.
- Kubiszyn, Tom/I. Borich, Gary. 2007. Educational Testingand Measurement: Classroom Application and Practice. New Jersey: John Wiley & Sons.
- 10. Linn, R. I. Gronlund, N. E. 1995. Measurement and Assessment in Teaching. Ohio: Merril.
- 11. Rani, T. Swarupa. 2004. Educational Measurementand Evaluation. NewDelhi: DPH.
- 12. Permendiknas No. 53 tahun 2015 tentang penilaian.
- 13. Arends, Richardl. 2004. Guide to Field Experiences and Portofolio Development: to accompany; learning to teach. New York: McGraw-Hill Book Company.
- 14. Arikunto, Suharsimi. 2006. Dasar-dasar Evaluasi Pendidikan (edisirevisi) cet. 6. Bumiaksara: Jakarta
- 15. Brookhart, Susan M. 2010. How to Assess Higher-Order Thinking Skillsin Your Classroom. Alexandria: ASCD. 4. Departemen Pendidikan Nasional. Kurikulum SMP1984, 1999, 2004, 2006 dan 2013, Jakarta
- 16. Examination and Evaluation in Education. NewDelhi:Common wealth. 6. GlencoeSeries. 2001.Performance Assessmentin The ScienceClassroom.NewYork: McGraw-Hill Company.
- 17. Gronlund, N. E. 1980. Construction Achievement Test. New Jersey: Prentice HallInc
- $18. \, \text{Gronlund,} \text{N. E. 1991.} \\ \text{How to Write and use Instructional Objective.} \\ \text{New Jersey:} \\ \text{Prentice Hall Inc.} \\$
- 19. Supranata, Sumarna, 2004. Analisis, Validitas, Reliabilitas dan Interpretasi Hasil Tes 10. Implementasi Kurikulum 2004. PT. Remaja Rosdakarya, Bandung.
- 20. Johnson, David W. and Johnson, Robert T. 2002. Meaningful Assessment Manage able and Cooperative Process. Boston: Allyn and Bacon.
- 21. Kubiszyn, Tom/I. Borich, Gary. 2007. Educational Testingand Measurement: Classroom Application and Practice. New Jersey: John Wiley & Sons.
- 22. Linn, R. I. Gronlund, N. E. 1995. Measurement and Assessment in Teaching. Ohio: Merril.
- 23. Rani, T. Swarupa. 2004. Educational Measurementand Evaluation. NewDelhi: DPH.
- 24. Permendiknas No. 53 tahun 2015 tentang penilaian.

Supporters:		

Supporting lecturer

Dr. Luqman Hakim, S.Pd., S.E., M.SA. Vivi Pratiwi, S.Pd., M.Pd.

Week-	Final abilities of each learning stage	Evaluation		Lear Stude	elp Learning, ning methods, nt Assignments, stimated time]	Learning materials [References	Assessment Weight (%)
	(Sub-PO)	Indicator	Criteria & Form	Offline (offline)	Online (online)]	
(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)	(6)	(7)	(8)
1	Able to understand the concept and meaning of assessment, evaluation and assessment of learning outcomes	1.Explain the meaning of assessment, evaluation and evaluation of learning outcomes 2.Explain the purpose of the assessment 3.Explain the function of assessment in learning 4.Able to explain assessment classifications	Criteria: 1.question 1 weight 20 2.question 2 weight 20 3.question 3 weight 20 4.question 4 weight 20 5.question 5 weight 20	Presentation, discussion and reflection 3 X 50			0%

	- I		1	1	ı	1
2	Students are able	1.Explain the	Criteria:	Presentation,		0%
	to understand assessment	basic	1.Student Name:	discussion		
	techniques	principles of	Day/date:	and		
		assessment	2.Rated aspect	reflection		
		Explain the	3.Score	3 X 50		
		characteristics	4.4			
		of the	5.3			
		assessment	6.2			
		Explains the	7.1			
		cognitive,	8.A. Contents			
		affective and	9.1. Mastery of			
		psychomotor	material			
		domains as	10.2. Match			
		objects for	between the			
		assessing	content			
		learning	presented and			
		outcomes	the content of the			
		4.Explains	paper			
		techniques in	11.3. Slide			
		evaluating	displays (images, diagrams,			
		learning outcomes	photos, videos,			
		outcomes	material flow)			
			support			
			presentations			
			12.4. Ability to			
			defend			
			arguments			
			13.B. Presentation			
			14.1. Voice quality			
			(volume, voice			
			articulation,			
			intonation)			
			15.2. Demeanor			
			(way of looking,			
			effective body			
			movements,			
			calm)			
			16.3. Dress			
			politely and			
			neatly			
			17.4. Correct use			
			of language			
			18.5. Responsive			
			and ready to			
			accept input 19.Source:			
			Adapted from			
			assessment,			
			Glencoe			
			McGraw-Hill			
			20.Information:			
			21.1. Score 4 if			
			done very well			
			22.2. Score 3 if			
			done well			
			23.3. Score 2 if			
			done sufficiently			
			24.4. Score 1 if			
			done poorly			
			25.Questions			
			number 1-4 have			
			a weight of 25 etc			
<u> </u>						
3						0%
-			•			

4	Students are able to understand tests and non-tests as evaluation of learning outcomes	- Explaining the meaning of tests - Explaining the function of tests - Explaining observations - Explaining interviews - Explaining questionnaires - Explaining document inspection - Explaining portfolio assessment - Product assessment - Attitude assessment - Skills assessment - Project assessment - Self-assessment - Self-assessment	Criteria: 1.Details of participation assessment criteria: 2.Score 4 Very good 3.Score 3 Good 4.Score 2 Fair 5.Score 1 less 6.Description of essay value; 7.essay questions number 1-5 weight @20	Discussions, assignments, exercises, searching for library sources and other references 3 X 50		0%
5						0%
6	Students are able to understand the techniques for preparing and implementing learning outcomes tests	Explain the characteristics of a good test. Explain the form of learning outcomes tests and their preparation. Explain the techniques for implementing learning outcomes tests	Criteria: 1.Information: 2.1. Score 4 if done very well 3.2. Score 3 if done well 4.3. Score 2 if done sufficiently 5.4. Score 1 if done poorly 6.for essay questions number 1-5 the score weight is @20	Discussion, exercises and assignments 3 X 50		0%
7						0%
8	UTS			2 X 45		0%
9	Students are able to understand the validity of learning outcome test items	1 Explain the techniques for testing the validity of learning outcomes tests - Explain rational testing of tests 2. Explains empirical test testing 3. Explain test testing rationally 4. Explain the meaning of learning outcome items 5. Explains techniques for testing the validity of learning outcome test items	Criteria: 1. Description of presentation assessment sheet: 2.1. Score 4 if done very well 3.2. Score 3 if done well 4.3. Score 2 if done sufficiently 5.4. Score 1 if done poorly 6. Essay questions number 1-5 weight @score 20	Discussion, assignments and exercises 3 X 50		0%

r	,		T		1	1	
10	Students are able to understand the reliability test of learning outcomes tests	1 Explain the reliability testing technique for the description learning test 2. Explains techniques for testing the reliability of objective learning tests 3. Explains the technique for testing the reliability of learning outcomes tests using a single test-single trial approach 4. Explain the technique for testing the reliability of learning outcomes tests using a test-test using a test-test approach 5. Explains techniques for testing the reliability of learning outcomes tests using a test-test approach 5. Explains techniques for testing the reliability of learning outcomes tests using alternative form approaches	Criteria: 1.Information: 2.1. Score 4 if done very well 3.2. Score 3 if done well 4.3. Score 2 if done sufficiently 5.4. Score 1 if done poorly 6.essay questions number 1-5 score weight @ 20	Discussion, assignments and exercises 3 X 50			0%

			T	ı	T	T	1
11	Able to explain	1 Explain the	Criteria:	Discussion,			0%
	examination, scoring and	technique for	1.Information:	assignments			
	processing of	checking	2.1. Score 4 if	and			
	learning results	learning	done very well	exercises			
	tests. Able to	outcomes test	3.2. Score 3 if	3 X 50			
	explain	results	done well				
	enrichment guestions and	2.Explain	4.3. Score 2 if				
	remedial	examination	done sufficiently				
	questions	techniques in	5.4. Score 1 if				
	'	order to	done poorly				
		assess oral	6.essay questions				
		test results.	number 1-5				
		3.Explain	question weight				
		inspection	@20				
		techniques in	G = 3				
		order to					
		assess					
		manufacturing					
		test results					
		4.explains the					
		scoring on the					
		essay test					
		5.explains					
		•					
		scoring on					
		objective tests 6.explains					
		•					
		processing					
		techniques					
		and changing					
		(converting)					
		learning					
		outcomes test					
		scores into					
		values.					
		7.explain the					
		difference					
		between					
		scores and					
		grades					
		8.explains the					
		processing					
		and					
		conversion of					
		raw scores					
		from learning					
		outcomes					
		tests into					
		standard					
		scores					
		9.explains					
		about					
		enrichment					
		and remedial					
		matters					
12							0%
13	Able to explain	1.explains the	Criteria:	Discussion,			0%
	the analysis	item difficulty	1.Information:	practice and			
	techniques for	degree	2.A score of 4 is	reflection			
	learning outcome test items	technique	excellent	3 X 50			
	1621 1161119	2.explains the	3.A score of 3 is				
		discriminative	good				
		power	4.A score of 2 is				
			4.A score of 2 is sufficient				
		analysis					
		technique	5.Score 1 less				
		3.explains					
		distractor					
		function					
1 1		analysis					
		techniques					

15	Able to explain techniques for determining final grades, KKM, and loading learning achievement profiles	1.Explain the meaning of final value 2.Explain the final value function 3.Explain the factors that need to be considered in determining the final grade 4.Explain the technique of arranging the ranking. 5.Explain the meaning of Rankin. Types and procedures for preparing Rankin 6.Explains techniques for creating learning achievement profiles 7.Explain the meaning of learning achievement profile 8.Explain the forms of learning achievement profiles 9.Explain the use of a learning achievement profiles	Criteria: 1.Essay questions number 1-5 have a score weight of @20 2.Information: 3.1. Score 4 if done very well 4.2. Score 3 if done well 5.3. Score 2 if done sufficiently 6.4. Score 1 if done poorly	Create a concept map regarding the overall assessment 3 X 50		0%
						0%
16	UAS			2 X 45		0%

Evaluation Percentage Recap: Project Based Learning

No	Evaluation	Percentage	
		0%	

Notes

- Learning Outcomes of Study Program Graduates (PLO Study Program) are the abilities possessed by each Study
 Program graduate which are the internalization of attitudes, mastery of knowledge and skills according to the level of their
 study program obtained through the learning process.
- The PLO imposed on courses are several learning outcomes of study program graduates (CPL-Study Program) which
 are used for the formation/development of a course consisting of aspects of attitude, general skills, special skills and
 knowledge.
- 3. **Program Objectives (PO)** are abilities that are specifically described from the PLO assigned to a course, and are specific to the study material or learning materials for that course.
- 4. Subject Sub-PO (Sub-PO) is a capability that is specifically described from the PO that can be measured or observed and is the final ability that is planned at each learning stage, and is specific to the learning material of the course.
- Indicators for assessing ability in the process and student learning outcomes are specific and measurable statements
 that identify the ability or performance of student learning outcomes accompanied by evidence.
- 6. Assessment Criteria are benchmarks used as a measure or measure of learning achievement in assessments based on predetermined indicators. Assessment criteria are guidelines for assessors so that assessments are consistent and unbiased. Criteria can be quantitative or qualitative.
- 7. Forms of assessment: test and non-test.
- 8. Forms of learning: Lecture, Response, Tutorial, Seminar or equivalent, Practicum, Studio Practice, Workshop Practice, Field Practice, Research, Community Service and/or other equivalent forms of learning.
- Learning Methods: Small Group Discussion, Role-Play & Simulation, Discovery Learning, Self-Directed Learning, Cooperative Learning, Collaborative Learning, Contextual Learning, Project Based Learning, and other equivalent methods.

- 10. Learning materials are details or descriptions of study materials which can be presented in the form of several main
- 10. Learning materials are details of descriptions of study materials which can be presented in the form of several main points and sub-topics.
 11. The assessment weight is the percentage of assessment of each sub-PO achievement whose size is proportional to the level of difficulty of achieving that sub-PO, and the total is 100%.
 12. TM=Face to face, PT=Structured assignments, BM=Independent study.