Document Code



## Universitas Negeri Surabaya Faculty of Social Sciences and Law, Social Sciences Education Undergraduate Study Program

SEMESTI	ER LE/	ARNINC	3 PLAN

Courses			CODE	CODE Course Family			nily		Credit Weight						SEMI	STER		ompilati ate	on			
Political Theory			8420703	8420703011			Compulsory Study Program Subjects				Ť	T=3	P=0	ECTS=	4.77		2	F	ebruary 1	L,		
AUTHORIZATIO	N		SP Deve	loper			<u>.                                    </u>				Course	Clust	er (	Coord	linato	r		Study	/ Progr	am Co	ordinat	or
_			Agung S						Katon Galih Setyawan, S.Sos., M.Sosio.				sio.	Dr. Nuansa Bayu Segara, S.Pd., M.Pd.			ι,					
Learning model	Case Studies	<b>∂S</b>																				
Program Learning	PLO study pro	_		ged to	the c	ourse																
Outcomes (PLO)	PO - 1	Г	ole to master the	e basic	concen	nts of po	olitical	theory	concer	nina (	governm	ent. po	owe	r. autl	nority.	legitima	CV. S	tate, na	ation, po	olitical	integrati	on.
		ide	eology, political emocracy, total	parties	, politic	cal and	lintere	est rep	esenta	tion,	political	decisi	ons	, poli	tical c	onflicts,	polit	tical be	havior,	politio	al syste	ms
	PO - 2	Ab	ole to analyze v cation, area) on	arious a the cou	aspects intry's p	such a	as natu powei	ıral res r.	ources,	hum	an reso	urces, i	indı	ustrial	izatio	n, geogr	aphic	cal env	ironmer	nt (clin	nate, sha	іре,
	PLO-PO Matrix																					
			P.O PO-1 PO-2																			
	PO Matrix at th	e e	nd of each lea	arning	stage	(Sub-l	PO)															
			P.O												l							
			P.0	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	Weel 9	_	10	11	12		13	14	15	16	
			PO-1																			1
			PO-2																			
Short Course Description								that that ding rise ical and ory.														
References	Main :																					
	2. Budiman 3. Budiman 4. Dahl, Ro 5. Dahl, Ro 6. Dahl, Ro 7. Gaffar, A 8. Giddens 9. Giddens 10. Giddens 11. Huntingt 12. Keller, S 13. Machiav 14. Mannhei 15. Marsh, E 16. Masoed, 17. Nelson, I 18. Prasetyo 20. Schump 21. Stepan,	n, Ar h, Ar hber hber Afan , An , An , An on, uza elli, Mo Bria a, Su Jun eter Alfre	liriam . 1991. A rief. 2002. Teori rief. 1991. Nega t A. 1982. Dilem t. 1999. On Der t. 1999. Politik Ir thony. 1984. Ti thony. 1984. Ti thony. 2000. Ja Samuel P. 1995 nne. 1984. Pen Nicolo. 1996. P Karl. 1991. Ideo d dan Gerry Sto ohtar. 1994. Neg u. 2000. Weste ukma Perdana. niawan dan Yus Joseph. 1985. d. 1996. Milite gnis. 1994. Etik	Negara ra dan l ia Demi nocracy rasi da idonesi ne Natic ne Consilan Ket ib. Gelor guasa c olitik Ke logi dar iker. 19 iara, Ka rn Politi 2015. C giantor C Capital i dan Do	a: Nega Pemba okrasi F r. Yale I r. Yale I r. Yale I r. Yale I a; Tran on State stitution iga Per mbang don Kel erakyat n Utopia 95. The upital da cal Tho Seograf o, Purne lism, So emokra	ara, Kek ngunar Pluralis Univers Pengki sisi Mee e and V of Soo mbarua Demok ompok an Mer a. Yogy eory an an Dem pught F ii Politik omo. 20 cocialisn	kuasaa n, Stud: : Antar sity ritiknya enuju D fiolence ciety. C un Dem kratisas Elit. Ja nurut M rakarta d Meth nokrasi rom So & Sebag 017. Go n, and Pengal	n dan I i tentar i tentar a Oton  , Jakar eemokra ee. Cam aliforni lokrasi si Ketig akarta: lachiav :: Kanis lods in . Yogya ocrates gai Per eopoliti Democ	deologi gg Indoromi dar ta: Yay asi. Yog bridge: a: Unive Sosial. Jakart Rajawa elli, Cel ius Politica akarta: I to the a ak, Geos racy. U Brasil d	i. Jak nesian Kor asan Politi Jaka a: Gr Ili rgam I Scie Vav Wav Wav K, G	arta: PT dan Ko tro., Jak Obor urta: Pus y Press of Califirata: Gra afiti Seri Ke ence aka Pela bif Ideolo vasan K ggi, Geoe eorge Al eberapa	Gramerea Sel carta: R taka Po ornia P media mbali k jar gy. Ner ebangs ekonom len Et l Negar	edia lata Raja elaj res Ke [ w J saai ni. E Unva a La	a n. Jak wali ar s Dasar ersey n. Yog Bogori win ain. Ja	karta: , Jaka : Pren gjakar Unha	Yayasar rta, Kep tice-Hal ta: Omb un Press : Grafiti	ustak I Inc ak			Grame	dia (KPC	€)
	Supporters:																					

Supporting lecturer

Dr. Hendri Prastiyono, M.Pd. Agung Stiawan, S.Pd.,M.Pd. Katon Galih Setyawan, S.Sos., M.Sosio.

	Katon Galin Sety	awan, S.Sos., M.Sosio.		Help Learn			
Week-	Final abilities of each learning stage (Sub-PO)	Evaluation		Learning met Student Assigr [ Estimated	nments,	Learning materials [ References ]	
(4)	(0)	Indicator	Criteria & Form	Offline ( offline )	Online ( online )	(7)	(0)
1	Able to master and apply understanding of political theory, comparison with political thought and philosophy. (explanation of the syllabus, criteria and methods for evaluating learning; understanding of political theory; comparison of the meaning of political theory, thought, politics and political philosophy)	Explains: the meaning of political theory, comparison with political theory, comparison with political thought and philosophy, (explanation of the syllabus, criteria and methods for evaluating learning; understanding of political theory, comparison of the meaning of political theory, thought, politics and political philosophy)	Criteria: rubric  Form of Assessment: Participatory Activities	Behavioristic/Expository/Lecture Approach 3 X 50	online 2 X 50	Material: understanding of political theory, comparison with political thought and philosophy. Readers: Marsh, David and Gerry Stoker. 1995. Theory and Methods in Political Science	5%
2	Able to master and apply the position of political theory in a political science study, as well as the scope and classification of political theory (the position of political theory in the taxonomy of political science studies and the scope and classification of political theory).	Explain the position of political theory in a political science study, as well as the scope and classification of political theory (the position of political theory in the taxonomy of political science studies and the scope and classification of political theory)	Criteria: rubric  Form of Assessment: Participatory Activities	Behavioristic/Economic Approach/Lectures 3 X 50	assignment 2 x 50	Material: The position of political theory in the study of political science, as well as the scope and classification of political theory. Reference: Nelson, Brian. 2000. Western Political Thought From Socrates to the Age of Ideology. New Jersey; Prentice-Hall Inc	5%
3	Able to master and apply a variety of political theories and study themes (mapping theories of power, classical theories of power, contemporary theories of power)	Explains the diversity of political theories and study themes (mapping theories of power, classical theories of power, contemporary theories of power)	Criteria: Test Form of Assessment : Participatory Activities	Behavioristic/Economic Approach/ 6 X 50 Lectures	assignment 3 x 50	Material: Theories of power, classical theories of power, contemporary theories of power. Reader: Budiman, Arief. 2002. State Theory: State, Power and Ideology. Jakarta: PT Gramedia	5%
4	Able to master and apply a variety of political theories and study themes (mapping theories of power, classical theories of power, contemporary theories of power)	Explains the diversity of political theories and study themes (mapping theories of power, classical theories of power, contemporary theories of power)	Criteria: rubric Form of Assessment : Participatory Activities	Behavioristic/Economic Approach/ 6 X 50 Lectures	assignment 3 x 50	Material: diversity of political theories and themes of power politics studies Reader: Budiardjo, Miriam. 1991. Various Thoughts about Power and Authority. Jakarta: Sinar Harapan Library	5%
5	Able to master and apply political theory and ideology (liberalism, democracy, socialism/communism, nationalism, liberalism)	Explain political theories and ideologies (liberalism, democracy, socialism/communism, nationalism, liberalism)	Criteria: rubric Form of Assessment : Participatory Activities	Behavioristic/Economic Approach/Lectures 3 X 50	assignment 3 x 50	Material: liberalism and socialism Bibliography: Machiavelli, Nicolo. 1996. Popular Politics According to Machiavelli, Cergam Series Back to Basics, Jakarta, Gramedia Popular Literature (KPG)	5%

6	Able to master and apply political theory and ideology (liberalism, democracy, socialism/communism, nationalism, liberalism)	Explain political theories and ideologies (liberalism, democracy, socialism/communism, nationalism, liberalism)	Criteria: rubric Form of Assessment : Participatory Activities	Behavioristic/Economic Approach/Lectures 3 X 50	assignment 3 x 50	Material: democracy and nationalism Bibliography: Machiavelli, Nicolo. 1996. Popular Politics According to Machiavelli, Cergam Series Back to Basics, Jakarta, Gramedia Popular Literature (KPG)	5%
7	Able to master and apply political theory and ideology (liberalism, democracy, socialism/communism, nationalism, liberalism)	Explain political theories and ideologies (liberalism, democracy, socialism/communism, nationalism, liberalism)	Criteria: rubric Form of Assessment : Participatory Activities	Behavioristic/Economic Approach/Lectures 3 X 50	assignment 3 x 50	Material: Neoberalism and Neosocialism References: Giddens, Anthony. 1984. The Constitution of Society. California: University of California Press	5%
8	UTS	UTS	Criteria: rubric Form of Assessment : Test	3 X 50 test	test	Material: UTS Reader: Marsh, David and Gerry Stoker. 1995. Theory and Methods in Political Science	10%
9	Analyzing Political Geography as state politics and national insight	Analyzing the Political Geography of the World and the Archipelago	Criteria: rubric Form of Assessment : Participatory Activities	PBL method: discussion, presentation, and assignment 3 X 50	assignment 2 x 50	Material: Political Geography of the World and the Archipelago Reference: Prasetya, Sukma Perdana. 2015. Political Geography as Strengthening National Insight. Yogjakarta: Waves	5%
10	Analyzing Political Geography as state politics and national insight	Analyzing the influence of human resources as a country's political power	Criteria: rubric  Form of Assessment: Participatory Activities	PBL method: discussion, presentation, and assignment 3 X 50	assignment 2 x 50	Material: the influence of human resources as a country's political power. Reference: Masoed, Mohtar. 1994. State, Capital and Democracy. Yogyakarta: Student Library	5%
11	Analyzing Political Geography as state politics and national insight	Analyzing the influence of industrialization as a country's political force	Criteria: rubric  Form of Assessment: Participatory Activities	PBL method: discussion, presentation, and assignment 3 X 50	assignment 2 x 50	Material: The influence of industrialization as a state political force. Reference: Priyono, Juniawan and Yusgiantoro, Purnomo. 2017. Geopolitics, Geostrategy, Geoeconomics. Bogor: Defense University Press	5%
12	Analyzing Geopolitics, Geostrategy, and Geoeconomics as state political forces	Able to analyze:1. Global geopolitics 2. Archipelago insight as geostrategy 3. Geoeconomic liberalization	Criteria: rubric Form of Assessment : Portfolio Assessment	PBL method: discussion, presentation, assignment 3 x 50	assignment 2 x 50	Material: Archipelago insight as geostrategy Readers: Priyono, Juniawan and Yusgiantoro, Purnomo. 2017. Geopolitics, Geostrategy, Geoeconomics, Bogor: Defense University Press	10%

13	Analyzing Geopolitics, Geostrategy, and Geoeconomics as state political forces	Able to analyze:1. Global geopolitics 2. Archipelago insight as geostrategy 3. Geoeconomic liberalization	Criteria: rubric Form of Assessment : Portfolio Assessment	PBL method: discussion, presentation, assignment 3 X 50	assignment 2 x 50	Material: Geoeconomic Liberalization References: Priyono, Juniawan and Yusgiantoro, Purnomo. 2017. Geopolitics, Geoeconomics. Bogor: Defense University Press	10%
14	Analyzing Geopolitics, Geostrategy, and Geoeconomics as state political forces	Able to analyze:1. Global geopolitics2. insight into the archipelago as geostrategy 3. Geoeconomic liberalization	Criteria: rubric  Form of Assessment : Participatory Activities, Portfolio Assessment	PBL method: discussion, presentation, assignment 3 X 50	assignment 2 x 50	Material: Local wisdom as a channel for community politics and democratic interaction Reference: Dahl, Robert A. 1982. Dilemma of Pluralist Democracy: Between Autonomy and Control., Jakarta: Rajawali	5%
15	Contemporary political problems in Indonesia	identify contemporary political problems in Indonesia	Criteria: rubric Form of Assessment : Participatory Activities	PBL method: discussion, presentation, assignment 3 x 50	assignment 2 x 50	Material: Contemporary political problems in Indonesia Reference: Giddens, Anthony. 1985. The Nation State and Violence. Cambridge: Polity Press	5%
16	UAS	UAS	Criteria: rubric Form of Assessment : Test	3 x 50 test	test	Material: UAS Literature:	10%

**Evaluation Percentage Recap: Case Study** 

No	Evaluation	Percentage
1.	Participatory Activities	57.5%
2.	Portfolio Assessment	22.5%
3.	Test	20%
		100%

## Notes

- 1. Learning Outcomes of Study Program Graduates (PLO Study Program) are the abilities possessed by each Study Program graduate which are the internalization of attitudes, mastery of knowledge and skills according to the level of their study program obtained through the learning process.
- The PLO imposed on courses are several learning outcomes of study program graduates (CPL-Study Program) which are used for the formation/development of a course consisting of aspects of attitude, general skills, special skills and knowledge.
- Program Objectives (PO) are abilities that are specifically described from the PLO assigned to a course, and are specific to the study material or learning materials for that course.
- 4. Subject Sub-PO (Sub-PO) is a capability that is specifically described from the PO that can be measured or observed and is the final ability that is planned at each learning stage, and is specific to the learning material of the course.

  Indicators for assessing ability in the process and student learning outcomes are specific and measurable statements that identify the ability or
- performance of student learning outcomes accompanied by evidence.
- 6. Assessment Criteria are benchmarks used as a measure or measure of learning achievement in assessments based on predetermined indicators. Assessment criteria are guidelines for assessors so that assessments are consistent and unbiased. Criteria can be quantitative or qualitative.
- Forms of assessment: test and non-test.
- Forms of learning: Lecture, Response, Tutorial, Seminar or equivalent, Practicum, Studio Practice, Workshop Practice, Field Practice, Research, Community Service and/or other equivalent forms of learning.

  Learning Methods: Small Group Discussion, Role-Play & Simulation, Discovery Learning, Self-Directed Learning, Cooperative Learning,
- Collaborative Learning, Contextual Learning, Project Based Learning, and other equivalent methods.
- 10. Learning materials are details or descriptions of study materials which can be presented in the form of several main points and sub-topics.
- 11. The assessment weight is the percentage of assessment of each sub-PO achievement whose size is proportional to the level of difficulty of achieving that sub-PO, and the total is 100%.
- 12. TM=Face to face, PT=Structured assignments, BM=Independent study.