

Universitas Negeri Surabaya Faculty of Education, Psychology Undergraduate Study Program

Document Code

Courses		CODE	CODE Course Family		Cree	Credit Weight		S	SEMES	STER	Co	mpilation te			
QUALITATIVI METHODOLO	E RESEARCH DGY	73201021	59			ompulsory ogram Su		T=2	P=0	ECTS=3	3.18		3	Jul	y 17, 2024
AUTHORIZA1	TION	SP Develo	per				Co	ourse Clu	ster Co	ordinate	or S	Study	Prograi	m Coc	ordinator
		Dr. Diana Qurrota A' Fitrania M	yuni Fit	triana, M.	Psi., Ps	sikolog,		amajanti K Psi., M.Si.		Dewi,		Yohan		Satwik Psi.	ka, S.Psi.
Learning model	Project Based Lea	rning													
Program	PLO study progra	am that is char	ged to	the cou	rse										
Learning Outcomes (PLO)	Program Objectiv	res (PO)													
	te to di re	ualitative researd echniques, Studer o understand dod iscussion method esearch, Students nderstand ground	nts are cument ds in d s are a	able to un ation stud qualitative	ndersta dy met e resea	and qualita thods in d arch, Stud	tive da Jualitat ents a	ta validity ive resea are able t	technic rch, St to unde	lues, Mid udents a erstand s	l-seme ire abl study	ester ex le to u metho	xams, S indersta ds cas	Studen and fo es in	nts are áblo ocus group qualitativo
		nethodology in qu xam		ory meth	odolog	y in quali	ative r	esearch,	Studen	ts are ab	ole to	unders	stand pl	nenom	nenologica
		nethodology in qu xam		ory meth	odolog	y in quali	ative r	esearch,	Studen	ts are ab	ole to	unders	stand pl	nenom	nenologica
	E	P.O	alitativ	eory meth	odolog ch, Stud	y in quali dents are	ative r	esearch,	Studen	ts are ab	ole to	unders	stand pl	nenom	nenologica
	PLO-PO Matrix	P.O PO-1 end of each lea	alitativ	eory meth	odolog ch, Stud	y in quali dents are	ative r	esearch, o understa	Studen	ts are ab	ole to	unders	stand pl	nenom	nenologica
	PLO-PO Matrix	P.O	rning s	erry mether research	ub-PO	y in qualidents are	ative rable to	esearch, o understa	Studen and hov	ts are ab	ole to e quali	unders	tand phreports,	nenom, Final	nenologica I Semeste
	PLO-PO Matrix	P.O PO-1 P-O P-O	alitativ	eory meth	odolog ch, Stud	y in quali dents are	ative r	esearch, o understa	Studen	ts are ab	ole to	unders	stand pl	nenom	nenologica
	PLO-PO Matrix	P.O PO-1 end of each lea	rning s	erry mether research	ub-PO	y in qualidents are	ative rable to	esearch, o understa	Studen and hov	ts are ab	ole to e quali	unders	tand phreports,	nenom, Final	nenologica I Semeste
Short Course Description	PLO-PO Matrix	P.O PO-1 PO-1 PO-1 PO-1 PO-1	rning s	erry mether research stage (S	ub-PO 4 and the state of the	y in qualidents are	The state of the s	We 8 9	Studen and how	ts are aby to write	12 s technice in carriting i	unders itative	14 in qua out quach reports	nenom Final	nenologica I Semeste
Course	PLO-PO Matrix PO Matrix at the end of the course discuss this course also disprocedures starting	P.O PO-1 PO-1 PO-1 PO-1 PO-1	rning s	erry mether research stage (S	ub-PO 4 and the state of the	y in qualidents are	The state of the s	We 8 9	Studen and how	ts are aby to write	12 s technice in carriting i	unders itative	14 in qua out quach reports	nenom Final	nenologica I Semeste
Course Description	PLO-PO Matrix PO Matrix at the of the course discuss This course also disprocedures starting carried out using a course of the course also disprocedures starting carried out using a course of the c	P.O PO-1 PO-1 PO-1 PO-1 PO-1 PO-1 PO-1 PO-	g, para grmulate grmulate grmulate groposa stem, si	stage (S 2 3 adigms, me problem els, carryimulations ogi Peneli ekatan K cualitatif: F Handboc alitative F	ub-PO 4 anethods in qualitatif Pandua k of Qualitatif Pandua k of Qualitatif	y in qualitidents are 5 6 5, data colalitative refield data collection allitatif untuk Personan Praktis unditative Fech in Psyco	7 Ilection ssearcl collection with the limit with	Wee 8 9 technique, and protion, analyees, data a Perilakue Riset(terch (terjem r. Adventu	eek 10 es, and vides e/zing danalysis Manus jemaha ahan). res in t	11 analysis xperienc ata, to w, and cor ata: Salen sia (edisi un). Yogy Yogyaka heory an	12 s technical services and a services are a services are a services and a services are a service are a services are a service are a services are a services are a services are a services are a service are a service are a services are a service are a services a	unders itative 13 miques arrying researarying researars is Pusta sustaka hod. B	in qua out que ch reports, a LPSP3	15 Ilitative ts. Le search dan Uajar.	16 le researche researche research reports.

Week-	Final abilities of each learning stage (Sub-PO)	Evalua	ation	Lear Stude	elp Learning, rning methods, nt Assignments, stimated time]	Learning materials [References]	Assessment Weight (%)
		Indicator	Criteria & Form	Offline (offline)	Online (online)		
(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)	(6)	(7)	(8)
1	Students are able to understand the meaning of qualitative research methods and the characteristics that differentiate them from quantitative research	1.Students are able to explain the meaning of qualitative research methods 2.Students are able to explain the difference between qualitative and quantitative methods	Criteria: Score 4 if done very well; score 3 if done well; score 2 if done adequately; score 1 if not done Form of Assessment : Participatory Activities	2 X 50	Done synchronously using the Zoom or Google Meet platform	Material: Understanding and explaining qualitative research Reader: Herdiansyah, Haris. 2015. Qualitative Research Methodology for Psychological Science. Jakarta: Salemba Humanika	3%
2	Students are able to understand the meaning of qualitative research methods and the characteristics that differentiate them from quantitative research	Students are able to explain the qualitative research paradigm and its differences from the quantitative research paradigm.	Criteria: Score 4 if done very well; score 3 if done well; score 2 if done adequately; score 1 if not done Form of Assessment : Participatory Activities	Contextual Instruction 2 X 50		Material: understanding qualitative and quantitative differences Reader: Herdiansyah, Haris. 2015. Qualitative Research Methodology for Psychological Science. Jakarta: Salemba Humanika	3%
3	Students are able to understand the phases of qualitative research: researcher, research paradigm and interpretation, research strategy, data collection methods, interpretation and reporting	Students are able to explain the phases of qualitative research: research paradigm and interpretation, research strategy, data collection methods, interpretation and reporting	Criteria: Score 4 if done very well; score 3 if done well; score 2 if done adequately; score 1 if not done Form of Assessment : Participatory Activities	Cooperative learning 2 X 50		Material: Understanding the qualitative research paradigm Reader: Herdiansyah, Haris. 2015. Qualitative Research Methodology for Psychological Science. Jakarta: Salemba Humanika	3%
4	Students are able to apply ways of identifying and formulating qualitative research problems.	Students are able to apply methods to identify qualitative research problems and determine the problem formulation	Criteria: Score 4 if done very well; score 3 if done well; score 2 if done adequately; score 1 if not done Form of Assessment : Participatory Activities	Collaborative learning 2 X 50	□ Done synchronously using the zoom/google meet platform	Material: Understanding the qualitative approach Reader: Herdiansyah, Haris. 2015. Qualitative Research Methodology for Psychological Science. Jakarta: Salemba Humanika	3%
5	Students are able to apply qualitative data collection techniques, interviews and focus groups	Students are able to develop guidelines for collecting qualitative data for interviews and focus groups	Criteria: Score 4 if done very well; score 3 if done well; score 2 if done adequately; score 1 if not done Form of Assessment : Participatory Activities	Collaborative learning 2 X 50		Material: understanding field recording Reader: Herdiansyah, Haris. 2015. Qualitative Research Methodology for Psychological Science. Jakarta: Salemba Humanika	3%

6	Students are able to apply qualitative data collection techniques, observation and documentation	Students are able to apply the preparation of qualitative data collection techniques for interviews and focus groups and carry them out	Criteria: Score 4 if done very well; score 3 if done well; score 2 if done adequately; score 1 if not done Form of Assessment : Participatory Activities	Collaborative learning 2 X 50		Material: application of case study methods and ethnography. Reader: Herdiansyah, Haris. 2015. Qualitative Research Methodology for Psychological Science. Jakarta: Salemba Humanika	4%
7	Students are able to understand the main methods in qualitative research and their differences.	Students are able to explain the differences between the main methods in qualitative research and their respective advantages	Criteria: Score 4 if done very well; score 3 if done well; score 2 if done adequately; score 1 if not done Form of Assessment : Participatory Activities	Small group discussion 2 X 50		Material: understanding the application of phenomenology and grounded theory Reader: Herdiansyah, Haris. 2015. Qualitative Research Methodology for Psychological Science. Jakarta: Salemba Humanika	4%
8	UTS	accuracy of answers	Criteria: marks based on correct answers Form of Assessment : Test	2 X 50	Mid-term exam via the Google Meet platform	Material: meeting material 1-7 Reader: Herdiansyah, Haris. 2015. Qualitative Research Methodology for Psychological Science. Jakarta: Salemba Humanika	20%
9	Students are able to apply the phenomenological method and data analysis	1.Students are able to apply phenomenological research methods 2.Students are able to carry out phenomenological data analysis	Criteria: Score 4 if done very well; score 3 if done well; score 2 if done adequately; score 1 if not done Form of Assessment : Project Results Assessment / Product Assessment	Collaborative learning Simulation 2 X 50	Done asynchronously using the platform, Vinesa, Google Classroom, WhatsApp.	Material: field data collection References: Herdiansyah, Haris. 2015. Qualitative Research Methodology for Psychological Science. Jakarta: Salemba Humanika	4%
10	Students are able to apply case study research methods and data analysis	□ Students are able to apply case study research methods □ Students are able to carry out case study data analysis	Criteria: Score 4 if done very well; score 3 if done well; score 2 if done adequately; score 1 if not done Form of Assessment: Participatory Activities, Project Results Assessment / Product Assessment	Collaborative learning D 2 X 50 simulation		Material: field data collection References: Herdiansyah, Haris. 2015. Qualitative Research Methodology for Psychological Science. Jakarta: Salemba Humanika	4%

11	Students are able to understand grounded theory research methods and data analysis	☐ Students are able to explain grounded theory research methods ☐ Students are able to give examples of grounded theory data analysis	Criteria: Score 4 if done very well; score 3 if done well; score 2 if done adequately; score 1 if not done Form of Assessment: Participatory Activities, Project Results Assessment / Product Assessment	□ Small group discussion □ 2 X 50 simulation	□ Done asynchronously using the Zoom, Vinesa, Google Classroom, WhatsApp platforms.	Material: data analysis techniques Bibliography: Herdiansyah, Haris. 2015. Qualitative Research Methodology for Psychological Science. Jakarta: Salemba Humanika	4%
12	Students are able to understand ethnographic research methods and data analysis	□ Students are able to apply case study research methods □ Students are able to carry out case study data analysis	Criteria: Score 4 if done very well; score 3 if done well; score 2 if done adequately; score 1 if not done Form of Assessment : Participatory Activities, Project Results Assessment / Product Assessment	□ Small group discussion □ 2 x 50 simulation	□ Done asynchronously using the Zoom, Vinesa, Google Classroom, WhatsApp platforms.	Material: data analysis techniques Bibliography: Herdiansyah, Haris. 2015. Qualitative Research Methodology for Psychological Science. Jakarta: Salemba Humanika	4%
13	Students are able to apply narrative- biographical research methods and narrative data analysis	□ Students are able to apply narrative-biographical research methods □ Students are able to carry out narrative research data analysis	Criteria: Score 4 if done very well; score 3 if done well; score 2 if done adequately; score 1 if not done Forms of Assessment: Participatory Activities, Project Results Assessment / Product Assessment, Practices / Performance	Collaborative learning D 2 X 50 simulation		Material: data validity techniques Reader: Herdiansyah, Haris. 2015. Qualitative Research Methodology for Psychological Science. Jakarta: Salemba Humanika	3%
14	Students are able to understand the criteria for testing the validity of qualitative research and the ethics of qualitative research in the field of psychology	1.Students are able to explain the criteria for testing the validity of qualitative research 2.Students are able to explain the ethics of qualitative research	Criteria: Score 4 if done very well; score 3 if done well; score 2 if done adequately; score 1 if not done Form of Assessment : Project Results Assessment / Product Assessment	Contextual Instruction 2 X 50	Done synchronously using the Zoome or Google Meet platforms	Material: data validity techniques Reader: Herdiansyah, Haris. 2015. Qualitative Research Methodology for Psychological Science. Jakarta: Salemba Humanika	4%
15	Students are able to apply methods for preparing qualitative reports	□ Students are able to apply methods for compiling qualitative reports. □ Students are able to compile the content and systematics of qualitative scientific articles	Criteria: Score 4 if done very well; score 3 if done well; score 2 if done adequately; score 1 if not done Form of Assessment : Project Results Assessment / Product Assessment	Collaborative learning D 2 X 50 simulation		Material: how to write a report Reader: Herdiansyah, Haris. 2015. Qualitative Research Methodology for Psychological Science. Jakarta: Salemba Humanika	4%
16	UAS	Completeness and Depth of Report Writing	Criteria: Completeness and Depth of Proposal Writing Form of Assessment: Project Results Assessment / Product Assessment	Final Exam Semester 2 X 50		Material: meeting material 1-15 Reader: Herdiansyah, Haris. 2015. Qualitative Research Methodology for Psychological Science. Jakarta: Salemba Humanika	30%

Evaluation Percentage Recap: Project Based Learning

No	Evaluation	Percentage
1.	Participatory Activities	30%
2.	Project Results Assessment / Product Assessment	49%
3.	Practice / Performance	1%
4.	Test	20%
		100%

Notes

- Learning Outcomes of Study Program Graduates (PLO Study Program) are the abilities possessed by each Study
 Program graduate which are the internalization of attitudes, mastery of knowledge and skills according to the level of their study
 program obtained through the learning process.
- 2. The PLO imposed on courses are several learning outcomes of study program graduates (CPL-Study Program) which are used for the formation/development of a course consisting of aspects of attitude, general skills, special skills and knowledge.
- 3. **Program Objectives (PO)** are abilities that are specifically described from the PLO assigned to a course, and are specific to the study material or learning materials for that course.
- 4. **Subject Sub-PO (Sub-PO)** is a capability that is specifically described from the PO that can be measured or observed and is the final ability that is planned at each learning stage, and is specific to the learning material of the course.
- 5. **Indicators for assessing** ability in the process and student learning outcomes are specific and measurable statements that identify the ability or performance of student learning outcomes accompanied by evidence.
- Assessment Criteria are benchmarks used as a measure or measure of learning achievement in assessments based on
 predetermined indicators. Assessment criteria are guidelines for assessors so that assessments are consistent and unbiased.
 Criteria can be quantitative or qualitative.
- 7. Forms of assessment: test and non-test.
- 8. Forms of learning: Lecture, Response, Tutorial, Seminar or equivalent, Practicum, Studio Practice, Workshop Practice, Field Practice, Research, Community Service and/or other equivalent forms of learning.
- 9. Learning Methods: Small Group Discussion, Role-Play & Simulation, Discovery Learning, Self-Directed Learning, Cooperative Learning, Collaborative Learning, Contextual Learning, Project Based Learning, and other equivalent methods.
- Learning materials are details or descriptions of study materials which can be presented in the form of several main points and sub-topics.
- 11. The assessment weight is the percentage of assessment of each sub-PO achievement whose size is proportional to the level of difficulty of achieving that sub-PO, and the total is 100%.
- 12. TM=Face to face, PT=Structured assignments, BM=Independent study.