

## Universitas Negeri Surabaya Faculty of Education, Psychology Undergraduate Study Program

Document Code

SEMESTER LEARNING PLAN																				
Courses			CODE				Coi	urse F	amil	ly	Cre	dit W	eight		SE	EMEST	ER	Con	npilati	on
Self-development			732010204	5			Cor Pro	mpulso gram	ory S Subj	tudy ects	T=2	P=0	EC	TS=3.18	В	4		Jani 202	iary 1	,
AUTHORIZATION			SP Developer						Cour	se Cl	uster	Coo	dinato	St	udy P	rograr	n Coo	rdinat	or	
			Dr. Umi Anugerah Izzati, M.Psi., Psikolog					Dr. Umi Anugerah Izzati, M.Psi., Psikolog				Y	Yohana Wuri Satwika, S.Psi., M.Psi.			si.,				
Learning model	Project Based Learning																			
Program	PLO study prog	gram t	hat is char	ged t	o the	cou	rse													
Learning Outcomes	Program Objec	tives (	PO)																	
(PLO)	PO - 1	Interna	alize academ	nic val	lues, n	norms	s and	ethics	5											
	PO - 2	Demo	nstrate a res	ponsi	ble att	itude	towa	ards w	ork ii	n thei	r field	of ex	pertis	e indep	ende	ntly				
	PO - 3	Realiz	ing the char	acter	of Fait	h, Sr	nart,	Indep	ende	nt, Ho	onest	Carii	ng an	d Tough	in da	aily be	havior			
	PLO-PO Matrix																			
	PO Matrix at th	PC PC	P.O 0-1 0-2	rning	y stag	3	ub-P	5	6	7	8	Week 9	10	11	12	13	14	15	16	
		PC	7-3																	
Short Course Description	This course discu	isses th	e nature and	d scop	pe of s	elf-d	evelo	pmen	t and	its a	oplica	tions.								
References	Main :																			
	<ol> <li>John Ada</li> <li>Cottrell, S</li> <li>Leipold, I</li> <li>York: Ro</li> </ol>	S. (202: B. (202	1). Skills for a 0). Intention	Succe	ess Pe	rson	al De	velopr	nent	and E	Emplo	yabili	ty 4e	d. Londo	on: R	ed Glo	be Pre	ess.	•	
	Supporters:	_																		
				_		_	_		_	_	_	_	_		_	_				_

- 1. Hutagalung, I. (2007). Pengembangan Kepribadian. Yogyakarta: PT Indeks.
- 2. Sinha, D., & Sinha, S. (2009). Personal Growth and Training & Development. India: Word-press.
- 3. Engel, B. (2006). Healing Your Emotional Self. Canada: John Wiley & Sons, Inc.
- Luthans, F. et al. (2021). Organizational Behavior an Evidence-Based Approach 14ed. USA: Information Age Publishing, Inc.
- 5. Luthans, F. (2011). Organizational Behavior an Evidence-Based Approach 12ed. New York: McGraw- Hill/Irwin.
- 6. Ghosh, B.N. (2012). Managing Soft Skills for Personality Development. New Delhi: Tata McGraw-Hill.
- 7. Sa'diyah, R., dkk. (2018). Peran Psikologi untuk Masyarakat. Jakarta: UM Jakarta Press.
- 8. Faturochman. (2009). Pengantar Psikologi Sosial. Yogyakarta: Pustaka.
- 9. Supartha, W.G., & Sintaasih, D.K. (2017). Pengantar Perilaku Organisasi: Teori, Kasus, Aplikasi Penelitian. Denpasar: CV. Setia Bakti.
- $10.\,\mathrm{Arifin},\,\mathrm{B.S.}$  (2015). Psikologi Sosial. Jawa Barat: CV Pustaka Setia.
- 11. Nurhidayah., dkk. (2017). Psikologi Pendidikan. Malang: Universitas Negeri Malang.
- 12. Suralaga, F. (2021). Psikologi Pendidikan: Implikasi dalam Pembelajaran. Depok: Rajawali Pers.
- 13. Khine, M.S. (2015). Attitude Measurements in Science Education Classic and Contemporary Approaches. USA: Information Age Publishing, Inc.
- 14. Verderber, K.S., et al. (2016). Interpersonal Communication Concepts, Skills, and Contexts 14ed. New York: Oxford University Press.
- 15. DeVito, J.A. (2016). The Interpersonal Communication Book 14th edition Global edition. USA: Pearson Education.
- 16. ATAKI. (2006). Kode etik Profesi Sebagai Penunjang "Good Construction Governance. Jakarta: Badan Sertifikasi ATAKI.
- 17. Chandra, X. (2016). Bahan Ajar Etika Sosial. Surabaya: UWM.
- 18. Siswanto, A. (2015). Buku Ajar Etika Profesi. Malang: Universitas Brawijaya.
- 19. Handoyo, E., dkk. (2016). Etika Politik Edisi Revisi. Semarang: Widya Karya.

## Supporting lecturer

Dr. Umi Anugerah Izzati, M.Psi., Psikolog. Ellyana Ilsan Eka Putri, M.Psi. Arfin Nurma Halida, M.A. Vania Ardelia, S.Psi., M.Sc. Siti Jaroʻah, S.Psi., M.A.

Week-	Final abilities of each learning stage	Evalu	ation	Lea Stude	elp Learning, rning methods, ent Assignments, estimated time]	Learning materials	Assessment Weight (%)
	(Sub-PO)	Indicator	Criteria & Form	Offline ( offline )	Online ( online )	[ References ]	
(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)	(6)	(7)	(8)
1	Students can understand the objectives of the lecture. Students can understand the meaning and dynamics of personality.	Students can understand the lecture learning contract for self-development.     Students can explain the meaning of personality	Criteria: Task  Form of Assessment: Project Results Assessment / Product Assessment	Contextual Instruction 2 X 50		Material: Scope of Self- Development References: Cottrell, S. (2021). Skills for Success Personal Development and Employability 4ed. London: Red Globe Press.	5%
2	Students can get to know themselves, so they can know their strengths and weaknesses. Apart from that, students can understand the meaning of feedback and can use it appropriately in order to understand themselves.	1.Students can explain the meaning of self-development 2.Students can explain the goals of self-development 3.Students can explain the process of self-development 4.Students can explain inhibiting factors	Criteria: Task  Form of Assessment: Project Results Assessment / Product Assessment	Discovery Learning Lectures 2 X 50		Material: Self Development Reference: Hutagalung, I. (2007). Personality Development. Yogyakarta: PT Index.	5%
3	Students can get to know themselves, so they can know their strengths and weaknesses. Apart from that, students can understand the meaning of feedback and can use it appropriately in order to understand themselves.	1.Students can explain self-introduction     2.Students can explain the meaning of feedback     3.Students can explain receiving feedback	Criteria: Task  Form of Assessment: Project Results Assessment / Product Assessment	Discovery Learning Lectures 2 X 50		Material: Personality Reference: Hutagalung, I. (2007). Personality Development. Yogyakarta: PT Index.	3%

4	Students have a positive attitude in dealing with situations	1.Students can understand self-esteem and self-efficacy □ 2.Students can understand self-esteem and personal self-efficacy 3.Students can mention and explain their self-esteem and self-efficacy	Criteria: Task  Form of Assessment: Project Results Assessment / Product Assessment		Contextual Instruction Discovery Learning 2 X 50	Material: Self- Esteem and Self-Efficacy Reference: Hutagalung, I. (2007). Personality Development. Yogyakarta: PT Index.	3%
5	Students can get to know themselves, so they can know their strengths and weaknesses	1.Students can understand the concept of self-introduction 2.Students can understand the process of self-knowledge 3.Students are able to discover personal strengths and weaknesses from the self-introduction process	Criteria: Task  Form of Assessment : Project Results Assessment / Product Assessment	Contextual Instruction Discovery Learning 2 X 50		Material: Self- Introduction References: Hutagalung, I. (2007). Personality Development. Yogyakarta: PT Index.	3%
6	Students have a positive attitude in dealing with situations	1.Students can explain the meaning of a positive attitude 2.Students can understand the suitability of attitudes and behavior 3.Students are able to differentiate between positive attitudes and negative attitudes	Criteria: Task  Form of Assessment : Project Results Assessment / Product Assessment	Contextual Instruction Discovery Learning 2 X 50		Material: Attitudes Literature: Hutagalung, I. (2007). Personality Development. Yogyakarta: PT Index.	5%
7	Students are able to understand the concept of ethics, and are able to demonstrate ethical attitudes and behavior according to situations and conditions.	Students can explain the meaning of ethics     Students can understand various types of ethics	Criteria: Task  Form of Assessment: Project Results Assessment / Product Assessment		Contextual Instruction Discovery Learning 2 X 50	Material: Literature Ethics: Hutagalung, I. (2007). Personality Development. Yogyakarta: PT Index.	5%
8	UTS	UTS	Criteria: UTS		UTS 2 X 50	Material: Meeting Material 1-7 Reference: Hutagalung, I. (2007). Personality Development. Yogyakarta: PT Index.	20%

9	Students can understand the importance of effective communication with other people and can recognize and be able to be assertive in communication.	1.Students can describe the meaning of communication and effective communication 2.Students can understand communication ethics 3.Students are able to understand listening techniques 4.Students can explain communication styles	Criteria: Task  Form of Assessment: Project Results Assessment / Product Assessment	Contextual Instruction Discovery Learning 2 X 50		Material: The Art of Communication Reference: Hutagalung, I. (2007). Personality Development. Yogyakarta: PT Index.	3%
10	Students can understand the meaning of the ability to interact between individuals in an effort to develop personal qualities.	1.Students can explain the meaning of interpersonal relationships 2.Students can understand the factors that influence interpersonal relationships 3.Students have the competence to manage conflict in interpersonal relationships 4.Students can explain interpersonal development	Criteria: Task  Form of Assessment: Project Results Assessment / Product Assessment	Contextual Instruction Discovery Learning 2 X 50		Material: Interpersonal Relations References: Hutagalung, I. (2007). Personality Development. Yogyakarta: PT Index.	3%
11	Students can understand the meaning of motivation and	1.Students can explain the meaning of	Criteria: Task		Contextual Instruction Discovery Learning	Material: Motivation and	3%
	targets in efforts to develop self-quality	motivation  2.Students can understand various types of motivation  3.Students have the competence to increase motivation  4.Students are able to make long-term targets  5.Students are able to understand strategies for achieving targets	Form of Assessment : Project Results Assessment / Product Assessment		2 X 50	Target References: Luthans, F. et al. (2021). Organizational Behavior an Evidence- Based Approach 14ed. USA: Information Age Publishing, Inc.	

_			T	ı	1	1	
13	Students can understand career concepts, career planning, and the career development process	1.Students can explain the meaning of career 2.Students can understand career planning 3.Students can understand career development	Criteria: Task  Form of Assessment: Project Results Assessment / Product Assessment	Contextual Instruction Discovery Learning 2 X 50		Material: Career Planning Reference: Hutagalung, I. (2007). Personality Development. Yogyakarta: PT Index.	3%
14	Students can understand how to make presentations and are able to make presentations.	1.Students can explain the meaning of presentation skills 2.Students can understand the things that need to be considered in a presentation 3.Students have the competence to make effective presentations	Criteria: Task  Form of Assessment: Project Results Assessment / Product Assessment	Contextual Instruction Practice 2 X 50		Material: Presentation Skills References: Luthans, F. et al. (2021). Organizational Behavior an Evidence- Based Approach 14ed. USA: Information Age Publishing, Inc.	3%
15	Students can understand how to make presentations and are able to make presentations.	1.Students can explain the meaning of presentation skills     2.Students can understand the things that need to be considered in a presentation     3.Students have the competence to make effective presentations	Criteria: Task  Form of Assessment: Project Results Assessment / Product Assessment	Contextual Instruction Practice 2 X 50		Material: Presentation Skills References: Luthans, F. et al. (2021). Organizational Behavior an Evidence- Based Approach 14ed. USA: Information Age Publishing, Inc.	3%
16	UAS	UAS	Criteria: UAS		UAS 2 X 50	Material: Meeting Material 9-15 Reference: Hutagalung, I. (2007). Personality Development. Yogyakarta: PT Index.	30%

Evaluation Percentage Recap: Project Based Learning

	3	
No	Evaluation	Percentage
1.	Project Results Assessment / Product Assessment	50%
		50%

## Notes

- Learning Outcomes of Study Program Graduates (PLO Study Program) are the abilities possessed by each Study
  Program graduate which are the internalization of attitudes, mastery of knowledge and skills according to the level of their
  study program obtained through the learning process.
- The PLO imposed on courses are several learning outcomes of study program graduates (CPL-Study Program) which
  are used for the formation/development of a course consisting of aspects of attitude, general skills, special skills and
  knowledge.
- 3. **Program Objectives (PO)** are abilities that are specifically described from the PLO assigned to a course, and are specific to the study material or learning materials for that course.
- 4. Subject Sub-PO (Sub-PO) is a capability that is specifically described from the PO that can be measured or observed and is the final ability that is planned at each learning stage, and is specific to the learning material of the course.
- 5. **Indicators for assessing** ability in the process and student learning outcomes are specific and measurable statements that identify the ability or performance of student learning outcomes accompanied by evidence.
- 6. **Assessment Criteria** are benchmarks used as a measure or measure of learning achievement in assessments based on predetermined indicators. Assessment criteria are guidelines for assessors so that assessments are consistent and unbiased. Criteria can be quantitative or qualitative.

- 7. Forms of assessment: test and non-test.
- 8. Forms of learning: Lecture, Response, Tutorial, Seminar or equivalent, Practicum, Studio Practice, Workshop Practice, Field Practice, Research, Community Service and/or other equivalent forms of learning.
- Learning Methods: Small Group Discussion, Role-Play & Simulation, Discovery Learning, Self-Directed Learning, Cooperative Learning, Collaborative Learning, Contextual Learning, Project Based Learning, and other equivalent methods.
- 10. **Learning materials** are details or descriptions of study materials which can be presented in the form of several main points and sub-topics.
- points and sub-topics.

  11. The assessment weight is the percentage of assessment of each sub-PO achievement whose size is proportional to the level of difficulty of achieving that sub-PO, and the total is 100%.
- 12. TM=Face to face, PT=Structured assignments, BM=Independent study.