

## Universitas Negeri Surabaya Faculty of Education, Psychology Undergraduate Study Program

Document Code

		S	SEM	ES	στε	R	LE	AF	RNI	NC	GΡ	۲LA	N							
Courses		CODI	CODE		C	ours	se Family			Credit Weight		SE	MEST	ER	Cor Dat	npilati e	on			
Organization	rganizational Development		7320102209							T=1		P=1	ECTS	=3.18		5		July	17, 20	)24
AUTHORIZAT	THORIZATION			SP Developer					Co	ourse	Clus	ster C	oordin	ator	Stu	dy Pr	ogram	Coor	dinato	or
			Olievia Prabandini Mulyan Psikolog				, M.P	si.,	i., Dr. Umi Anugerah Izzati, M.Psi., Psikolog				Yohana Wuri Satwika, S.Psi., M.Psi.				si.,			
Learning model	Case Studies																			
Program Learning	PLO study pro	-		narge	ed to	the	cour	se												
Outcomes (PLO)	Program Obje																			
(PLO)	PO - 1	able to und											evelopn	nent.						
	PO - 2	able to und										-								
	PO - 3	able to und					•				•			on						
	PO - 4	able to und	erstand	the I	new p	barad	igm i	n orga	aniza	tiona	l deve	elopm	lent							
	PLO-PO Matrix	¢																		
				7																
		P.0																		
			PO-1 PO-2																	
				_																
			)-3																	
		PC	)-4																	
	PO Matrix at th	he end of e	ach le:	arnin	na sta	anel	(Sub	-PO)												
					19 50	uge (	Jun	10)												_
		P.(	<u>ר</u>									Wee	k							
		1.	J	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13	14	15	16	
		PO-1		1	2	3	4	5	0	I	0	9	10	11	12	13	14	15	10	
		PO-2																		
		PO-3								-										
		PO-4																		
		F0-4																		
Short Course Description	This course disc	usses basic	concep	ts in	orgar	nizatio	onal d	develo	pme	nt an	ıd cha	anges	that af	fect or	ganiz	zations	5.			
References	Main :																			

Support	Publicat 2. Christian Workfo https://d 3. Kearns, Develop 4. Rothwel Develop 5. Shani, A Ed.). En 6. Singh, Develop <b>Supporters:</b> 1 ing Olievia Prabandi	ions, Inc. nsen, B., & Chand rce. I n Handboo oi.org/10.4018/97 P. 2014. Organiz ment: From an Ev I, W. J., Imroz, S ment Intervention A. B., Pasmore, V herald Group Pub R., & Ramdeo,	Jan, H. C. 2017. Hand k of Research on 8-1-5225-2250-8. ational Learning and vidence Base. https://d S. M., & Bakhshande is. https://doi.org/10.4 V. A., & Woodman, R lishing Limited. S. 2020. Leading C e. https://doi.org/10.10	book of Resea Organization Development: doi.org/10.101 eh, B. 2021. ( 324/97810030 . W. 2012. Re Drganizational	Drganization Developme 19800 search In Organizationa Development and Cha	ulture and Diversity sity in the Moder e. In Organizational ent Interventions. Ir I Change And Dev	in the Modern m Workforce. Learning and Organization elopment (1st
Week-	Final abilities of each learning stage	Eva	aluation	Lea Stude	elp Learning, rning methods, nt Assignments, stimated time]	Learning materials	Assessment Weight (%)
	(Sub-PO)	Indicator	Criteria & Form	Offline ( offline )	Online ( <i>online</i> )	[ noisi shous ]	
(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)	(6)	(7)	(8)
1	Students are able to understand the regulations in organizational development courses and the scope of organizational development courses.	Students are able to understand and implement the regulations in organizational development courses and the scope of organizational development courses.	Criteria: 1.Score 5=Very good 2.Score 4=Good 3.Score 3=Fair 4.Score 2=Poor 5.Score 1=Very poor Form of Assessment : Participatory Activities	Contextual Instruction 2 X 50	-	Material: Basic concepts of organizational development Reference: Anderson, DL 2017. Organizational Development: The Process of Leading Organizational Change (4th ed.). SAGE Publications, Inc.	2%
2	Students are able to understand the history of organizational development.	Students can explain the history of organizational development.	Criteria: 1.Score 5=Very good 2.Score 4=Good 3.Score 3=Fair 4.Score 2=Poor 5.Score 1=Very poor Form of Assessment : Participatory Activities	Cooperative Learning. 2 X 50	-	Material: History of organizational development Reference: Kearns, P. 2014. Organizational Learning and Development: From an Evidence Base. In Organizational Learning and Development: From an Evidence Base. https://doi.org/	3%
3	Students are able to understand the value in organizational development.	Students are able to explain the value in organizational development.	Criteria: 1.Score 5=Very good 2.Score 4=Good 3.Score 3=Fair 4.Score 2=Poor 5.Score 1=Very poor Form of Assessment : Participatory Activities	Cooperative Learning 2 X 50	-	Material: Values in organizational development References: Rothwell, WJ, Imroz, SM, & Bakhshandeh, B. 2021. Organization Development Interventions. In Organization Development Interventions. https://doi.org/	3%

4	Students are able to understand ethics in organizational development.	Students are able to explain ethics in organizational development.	Criteria: 1.Score 5=Very good 2.Score 4=Good 3.Score 3=Fair 4.Score 2=Poor 5.Score 1=Very poor Form of Assessment : Participatory Activities	Cooperative Learning 2 X 50	-	Material: Ethics in organizational development Reference: Kearns, P. 2014. Organizational Learning and Development: From an Evidence Base. In Organizational Learning and Development: From an Evidence Base. https://doi.org/	3%
5	Students are able to understand ethics in organizational development.	Students are able to explain ethics in organizational development.	Criteria: 1.Score 5=Very good 2.Score 4=Good 3.Score 3=Fair 4.Score 2=Poor 5.Score 1=Very poor Form of Assessment : Participatory Activities	Cooperative Learning 2 X 50	-	Material: Ethics in organizational development Reference: Kearns, P. 2014. Organizational Learning and Development: From an Evidence Base. In Organizational Learning and Development: From an Evidence Base. https://doi.org/	3%
6	Students are able to understand the basic concepts of group intervention	Students are able to explain the basic concepts of group intervention	Criteria: 1.Score 5=Very good 2.Score 4=Good 3.Score 3=Fair 4.Score 2=Poor 5.Score 1=Very poor Form of Assessment : Participatory Activities	Cooperative Learning 2 X 50	-	Material: Characteristics of organizational change <b>Reference:</b> Anderson, DL 2017. Organizational Development: The Process of Leading Organizational Change (4th ed.). SAGE Publications, Inc.	3%
7	Students are able to understand the basic concepts of group intervention	Students are able to explain the basic concepts of group intervention	Criteria: 1.Score 5=Very good 2.Score 4=Good 3.Score 3=Fair 4.Score 2=Poor 5.Score 1=Very poor Form of Assessment : Participatory Activities	Cooperative Learning 2 X 50	-	Material: Characteristics of organizational change <b>Reference:</b> Anderson, DL 2017. Organizational Development: The Process of Leading Organizational Change (4th ed.). SAGE Publications, Inc.	3%

8	UTS	UTS	Criteria: UTS	UTS 2 X 50	-	Material: UTS Library:	20%
			Form of Assessment : Test			Material: UTS Reference: Anderson, DL 2017. Organizational Development: The Process of Leading Organizational Change (4th ed.). SAGE Publications, Inc.	
9	Students are able to understand the concept of organizational development intervention	Students are able to explain organizational development interventions	Criteria: 1.Score 5=Very good 2.Score 4=Good 3.Score 3=Fair 4.Score 2=Poor 5.Score 1=Very poor Form of Assessment : Participatory Activities	Cooperative Learning Case Study 2 X 50	-	Material: Concept of organizational development intervention <b>Reference:</b> Anderson, DL 2017. Organizational Development: The Process of Leading Organizational Change (4th ed.). SAGE Publications, Inc.	3%
10	Students are able to understand the concept of organizational development intervention	Students are able to explain organizational development interventions	Criteria: 1.Score 5=Very good 2.Score 4=Good 3.Score 3=Fair 4.Score 2=Poor 5.Score 1=Very poor Form of Assessment : Participatory Activities	Cooperative Learning Case Study 2 X 50	-	Material: Concept of organizational development intervention Reference: Anderson, DL 2017. Organizational Development: The Process of Leading Organizational Change (4th ed.). SAGE Publications, Inc.	3%
11	Students are able to understand the concept of organizational development intervention	Students are able to explain organizational development interventions	Criteria: 1.Score 5=Very good 2.Score 4=Good 3.Score 3=Fair 4.Score 2=Poor 5.Score 1=Very poor Form of Assessment : Participatory Activities	Cooperative Learning Case Study 2 X 50	-	Material: Concept of organizational development intervention <b>Reference:</b> Anderson, DL 2017. Organizational Development: The Process of Leading Organizational Change (4th ed.). SAGE Publications, Inc.	4%
12	Students are able to understand the concept of organizational development intervention	Students are able to explain organizational development interventions	Criteria: 1.Score 5=Very good 2.Score 4=Good 3.Score 3=Fair 4.Score 2=Poor 5.Score 1=Very poor Form of Assessment : Participatory Activities	Cooperative Learning Case Study 2 X 50	-	Material: Concept of organizational development intervention <b>Reference:</b> Anderson, DL 2017. Organizational Development: The Process of Leading Organizational Change (4th ed.). SAGE Publications, Inc.	5%

13	Students are able to understand the new paradigm in organizational development	Students are able to explain the new paradigm in organizational development	Criteria: 1.Score 5=Very good 2.Score 4=Good 3.Score 3=Fair 4.Score 2=Poor 5.Score 1=Very poor Form of Assessment : Participatory Activities	Cooperative Learning 2 X 50	-	Material: The smell paradigm in organizational development Reference: Anderson, DL 2017. Organizational Development: The Process of Leading Organizational Change (4th ed.). SAGE Publications, Inc.	5%
14	Students are able to understand the new paradigm in organizational development	Students are able to explain the new paradigm in organizational development	Criteria: 1.Score 5=Very good 2.Score 4=Good 3.Score 3=Fair 4.Score 2=Poor 5.Score 1=Very poor Form of Assessment : Participatory Activities	Cooperative Learning 2 X 50	-	Material: The smell paradigm in organizational development Reference: Anderson, DL 2017. Organizational Development: The Process of Leading Organizational Change (4th ed.). SAGE Publications, Inc.	5%
15	Students are able to understand the new paradigm in organizational development	Students are able to explain the new paradigm in organizational development	Criteria: 1.Score 5=Very good 2.Score 4=Good 3.Score 3=Fair 4.Score 2=Poor 5.Score 1=Very poor Form of Assessment : Participatory Activities	Cooperative Learning 2 X 50	-	Material: The smell paradigm in organizational development Reference: Anderson, DL 2017. Organizational Development: The Process of Leading Organizational Change (4th ed.). SAGE Publications, Inc.	5%
16	UAS	UAS	Criteria: UAS Form of Assessment : Test	UAS	-	Material: UAS Literature: Material: UAS References: Rothwell, WJ, Imroz, SM, & Bakhshandeh, B. 2021. Organization Development Interventions. In Organization Development Interventions. https://doi.org/	30%

## Evaluation Percentage Recap: Case Study

No	Evaluation	Percentage
1.	Participatory Activities	50%
2.	Test	50%
		100%

Notes

Learning Outcomes of Study Program Graduates (PLO - Study Program) are the abilities possessed by each Study Program graduate which are the internalization of attitudes, mastery of knowledge and skills according to the level of their study program obtained through the learning process.

- 2. The PLO imposed on courses are several learning outcomes of study program graduates (CPL-Study Program) which are used for the formation/development of a course consisting of aspects of attitude, general skills, special skills and knowledge.
- 3. **Program Objectives (PO)** are abilities that are specifically described from the PLO assigned to a course, and are specific to the study material or learning materials for that course.
- 4. **Subject Sub-PO (Sub-PO)** is a capability that is specifically described from the PO that can be measured or observed and is the final ability that is planned at each learning stage, and is specific to the learning material of the course.
- 5. Indicators for assessing ability in the process and student learning outcomes are specific and measurable statements that identify the ability or performance of student learning outcomes accompanied by evidence.
- 6. Assessment Criteria are benchmarks used as a measure or measure of learning achievement in assessments based on predetermined indicators. Assessment criteria are guidelines for assessors so that assessments are consistent and unbiased. Criteria can be quantitative or qualitative.
- 7. Forms of assessment: test and non-test.
- 8. Forms of learning: Lecture, Response, Tutorial, Seminar or equivalent, Practicum, Studio Practice, Workshop Practice, Field Practice, Research, Community Service and/or other equivalent forms of learning.
- Learning Methods: Small Group Discussion, Role-Play & Simulation, Discovery Learning, Self-Directed Learning, Cooperative Learning, Collaborative Learning, Contextual Learning, Project Based Learning, and other equivalent methods.
- 10. Learning materials are details or descriptions of study materials which can be presented in the form of several main points and sub-topics.
- 11. The assessment weight is the percentage of assessment of each sub-PO achievement whose size is proportional to the level of difficulty of achieving that sub-PO, and the total is 100%.
- 12. TM=Face to face, PT=Structured assignments, BM=Independent study.