

Universitas Negeri Surabaya Faculty of Education, Psychology Undergraduate Study Program

Document Code

Courses			CODE					se Fa					/eigh	t	s	EMES	TER		npilatio
Industrial and	d Organizational		732010308						/ Study		P=	0 E	CTS=4.	77	2		Aug 202	just 22,	
AUTHORIZA ⁻	ΓΙΟΝ		SP Develop	oer			i rogi	amo	Jubjet		rse Cl	uster	Coo	rdinato	r S	tudv P	rogra		rdinato
			Dr. Umi Anugerah Izzati, M.Psi, Psikolog				og	Dr. Umi Anugerah Izzati, M.Psi, Psikolog			\	Yohana Wuri Satwika, S.Psi. M.Psi.							
Learning model	Case Studies														•				
Program	PLO study pro	gram	which is ch	arge	d to t	he c	ours	е											
Learning Outcomes	Program Obje	ctives	(PO)																
(PLO)	PO - 1	Expla	ains the scope	of P	IO, hi	story	of PI)											•
	PO - 2	Expla work	ain individual place	diffe	rence	s in t	terms	of k	nowl	edge	and i	ndivid	dual (differen	ces ir	terms	s of pe	ersona	lity in t
	PO - 3	Expla orgar	ain work mot nizational con	ivatio nmitm	n, job ent, v	satis vork e	sfaction	on, w emer	ork s nt, an	stress d wor	, worl k invo	c con	nmun ent	ication,	perce	eived (organiz	ationa	al suppo
	PO - 4	Prepa	are PIO resea	arch r	eports	3													
			PO-1 PO-2 PO-3 PO-4																
	PO Matrix at the	he end	of each lea	rnınç	g sta	ge (S	ub-P	(0)											
			P.O									Wee	k						
				1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13	14	15	16
		P	0-1																
		P	0-2																
		P	O-3																
		P	0-4																
	This course is a	elds inc	duding resear	ch m	ethod	s in e	duca ating	tional to w	nsví	cholog motiva	bni vr	ividu	al diff	erences action,	: indi	Ŭaubiv	nerson	al con	cents a
Short Course Description	their relationship perceived organ	p to ind izationa	dustry and o al support, org	ganiza	ationa	l com	mitm	ent, w	ork e	engag	emen	t, and	l work	c involve	ement				

- 1. Cameron & Spreitzer. 2012. Positive organizational scholarship. Oxford Library of Psychology.
- 2. Chmiel, Fraccaroli, & Sverke. 2017. An introduction to work and organizational psychology. John Wiley & Sons, Ltd.
- Clarke, Probst, Guldenmund, & Passmore. 2016. The psychology of occupational safety and workplace health. John Wiley & Sons, Ltd.
- 4. Dewe & Cooper. 2012. Wellbeing and works towards a balanced agenda. Palgrave Macmillan.
- 5. Hodgkinson & Ford. 2012. International review of industrial and organizational psychology . John Wiley & Sons, Ltd.
- 6. Jex & Britt. 2014. Organizatinal psychology : A scientist-practitioner approach . John Wiley & Sons, Inc.
- Koppes. 2014. Historical perspectives in industrial and organizational psychology. Psychology Press, Taylor & Francis Group.
- Ones, Anderson, Viswesvaran, & Sinangil. 2018. The sage handbook of industrial, work and organizational psychology: Personnel psychology and employee performance. SAGE Publications, Ltd.
- Ones, Anderson, Viswesvaran, & Sinangil. 2018. The handbook of industrial, work and organization psychology: Organizational psychology. SAGE Publications, Ltd
- 10. Truxillo, Bauer, & Erdogan. 2016. Psychology and work: Perspectives on industrial and organizational psychology. Routledge.
 11. Truxillo, Bauer, & Erdogan. 2021. Bauebalary and work: An introduction to industrial and organizational psychology.
- 11. Truxillo, Bauer, & Erdogan. 2021. Psychology and work: An introduction to industrial and organizational psychology. Routledge
- 12. Langton, Robbins, & Judge. 2015. Organizational behavior, concepts, controversies, applications . Pearson.
- 13. Kreitner & Kinicki. 2010. Organizational behavior . McGraw-Hill.
- 14. Aamodt. 2015. Industrial organizational psychology: An applied approach. Cengage Learning.
- 15. Spector. 2012. Industrial and organizational: Psychology research and practice. John Wiley & Sons, Ltd.

Supporters:

- 1. Marliani, Rosleny. (2015). Psikologi Industri dan Organisasi.Bandung: CV. Pustaka Setia
- 2. Izzati & Mulyana. 2019. Psikologi industri & organisasi . Penerbit Bintang Surabaya.

Supporting lecturer

Dr. Umi Anugerah Izzati, M.Psi., Psikolog. Ni Wayan Sukmawati Puspitadewi, S.Psi., M.Psi. Meita Santi Budiani, S.Psi., M.Psi Olievia Prabandini Mulyana, S.Psi., M.Psi Psikolog

Week-	Final abilities of each learning stage	Eva	luation	Help Learning, Learning methods, Student Assignments, [Estimated time]		Learning materials	Assessment Weight (%)
	(Sub-PO)	Indicator	Criteria & Form	Offline (offline)	Online (<i>online</i>)	[]	
(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)	(6)	(7)	(8)
1	Students can understand the scope of PIO	Students are able to explain the concepts of industrial and organizational psychology	Criteria: 1.Rubric: 2.Score 4 if done very well Score 3 if done well Score 2 if done adequately Score 1 if not done Form of Assessment: Participatory Activities	Contextual Instruction 3 X 50		Material: Scope of industrial and organizational psychology Reader: Izzati & Mulyana. 2019. Industrial & organizational psychology. Bintang Surabaya Publishers. Material: Scope of industrial and organizational psychology References: Truxillo, Bauer, & Erdogan. 2021. Psychology and work: An introduction to industrial and organizational psychology. Routledge	2%
2	Students are able to understand the history of industrial and organizational psychology	Students can explain the history of industrial and organizational psychology	Criteria: 1.Rubric: 2.Score 4 if done very well Score 3 if done well Score 2 if done adequately Score 1 if not done Form of Assessment: Participatory Activities	Cooperative Learning 3 X 50		Material: History of industrial and organizational psychology References: Marliani, Rosleny. (2015). Industrial and Organizational Psychology. Bandung: CV. Faithful Library	3%

3	Students are able to understand individual differences in terms of work knowledge	1.Students can explain individual differences in terms of workplace knowledge 2.Students can explain intelligence 3.Students can explain about talent 4.Students can explain their interests	1.Rubric: 2.Score 4 if done very well Score 3 if done well Score 2 if done adequately Score 1 if not done	Cooperative Learning 3 X 50	Material: Individual differences in terms of work knowledge. References: Clarke, Probst, Guldenmund, & Passmore. 2016. The psychology of occupational safety and workplace health. John Wiley & Sons, Ltd.	2%
4	Students can understand individual differences in terms of personality in the workplace.	1.Students can explain personality 2.Students can explain the dimensions of personality 3.Students can explain values 4.Students have competence regarding various values and personalities	1.Rubric: 2.Score 4 if done very well Score 3 if done well Score 2 if done	Cooperative Learning 3 X 50	Material: Individual differences in terms of personality in the workplace. Reference: Cameron & Spreitzer. 2012. Positive organizational scholarship. Oxford Library of Psychology. Material: Individual differences in terms of personality in the workplace References: Chmiel, Fraccaroli, & Sverke. 2017. An introduction to work and organizational psychology. John Wiley & Sons, Ltd. Material: Individual differences in terms of personality in the workplace. Reference: Hodgkinson & Ford. 2012. International review of industrial and organizational psychology. John Wiley & Sons, Ltd.	3%

					,		
5	Students can understand work motivation	1.Students can describe the meaning of work motivation 2.Students can explain work motivation theories 3.Students can explain the application of motivation in organizations 4.Students can conduct research in work motivation	Criteria: 1.Rubric: 2.Score 4 if done very well Score 3 if done well Score 2 if done adequately Score 1 if not done Form of Assessment: Participatory Activities	Cooperative Learning 3 X 50		Material: Work Motivation Reader: Jex & Britt. 2014. Organizational psychology: A scientist-practitioner approach. John Wiley & Sons, Inc. Material: Work Motivation Reference: Koppes. 2014. Historical perspectives in industrial and organizational psychology. Psychology Press, Taylor & Francis Group.	2%
6	Students can understand job satisfaction	1.Students can describe the meaning of job satisfaction 2.Students can explain the theory of job satisfaction 3.Students can explain the factors that influence job satisfaction 4.Students can measure job satisfaction	Criteria: 1.Rubric: 2.Score 4 if done very well Score 3 if done well Score 2 if done adequately Score 1 if not done Form of Assessment: Participatory Activities	Cooperative Learning 3 X 50		Material: Job Satisfaction Reader: Dewe & Cooper. 2012. Wellbeing and works towards a balanced agenda. Palgrave Macmillan. Material: Job Satisfaction Reference: Ones, Anderson, Viswesvaran, & Sinangil. 2018. The sage handbook of industrial, work and organizational psychology: Personnel psychology and employee performance. SAGE Publications, Ltd.	2%
7	Students can understand work stress	Students can explain work stress and related theories.	Criteria: 1.Rubric: 2.Score 4 if done very well Score 3 if done well Score 2 if done adequately Score 1 if not done Form of Assessment: Participatory Activities	Cooperative Learning 3 X 50		Material: Job Stress References: Truxillo, Bauer, & Erdogan. 2016. Psychology and work: Perspectives on industrial and organizational psychology. Routledge. Material: Job Stress Reader: Izzati & Mulyana. 2019. Industrial & organizational psychology. Bintang Surabaya Publishers.	3%

8	UTS	UTS	Criteria: UTS Form of Assessment : Test	UTS 3 X 50	Material: Meeting Material 1-7 Reference: Langton, Robbins, & Judge. 2015. Organizational behavior, concepts, controversies, applications. Pearson.	20%
9	Students can understand work communication.	Can explain the types and processes of communication in organizations.	Criteria: 1.Rubric: 2.Score 4 if done very well Score 3 if done well Score 2 if done adequately Score 1 if not done Form of Assessment: Participatory Activities	Cooperative Learning 3 X 50	Material: Work Communication Library: Langton, Robbins, & Judge. 2015. Organizational behavior, concepts, controversies, applications. Pearson. Material: Work Communication Reader: Kreitner & Kinicki. 2010. Organizational behavior. McGraw-Hill.	3%
10	Students are able to understand perceived organizational support	Students can explain about perceived organizational support	Criteria: 1.Rubric: 2.Score 4 if done very well Score 3 if done well Score 2 if done adequately Score 1 if not done Form of Assessment : Participatory Activities	Cooperative Learning 3 X 50	Material: Perceived Organizational Support References: Jex & Britt. 2014. Organizational psychology: A scientist- practitioner approach. John Wiley & Sons, Inc. Material: Perceived Organizational Support References: Langton, Robbins, & Judge. 2015. Organizational behavior, concepts, controversies, applications. Pearson.	5%

11	Students are able to understand organizational commitment	organizational commitment organizational commitment	Criteria: 1.Rubric: 2.Score 4 if done very well Score 3 if done well Score 2 if done adequately Score 1 if not done Form of Assessment: Participatory Activities	Cooperative Learning 3 X 50	Material: Organizational commitment References: Chmiel, Fraccaroli, & Sverke. 2017. An introduction to work and organizational psychology. John Wiley & Sons, Ltd. Material:	5%
12	Students are able			Cooperative	Organizational commitment References: Jex & Britt. 2014. Organizational psychology: A scientist-practitioner approach. John Wiley & Sons, Inc.	5%
12	to understand and explain work engagement	Can explain about work engagement	Criteria: 1.Rubric: 2.Score 4 if done very well Score 3 if done well Score 2 if done adequately Score 1 if not done Form of Assessment: Participatory Activities	Learning 3 X 50	Raterial: Work engagement Reference: Cameron & Spreitzer. 2012. Positive organizational scholarship. Oxford Library of Psychology. Material: Work engagement Reference: Kreitner & Kinicki. 2010. Organizational behavior. McGraw-Hill.	390
13	Students can understand work involvement	Students can explain about work involvement	Criteria: 1.Rubric: 2.Score 4 if done very well Score 3 if done well Score 2 if done adequately Score 1 if not done Form of Assessment: Participatory Activities	Cooperative Learning 3 X 50	Material: Work involvement References: Ones, Anderson, Viswesvaran, & Sinangil. 2018. The sage handbook of industrial, work and organizational psychology: Personnel psychology and employee performance. SAGE Publications, Ltd. Material: Job involvement References: Langton, Robbins, & Judge. 2015. Organizational behavior, concepts, controversies, applications. Pearson.	5%

			1	1	1		
14	Students are able to understand research methods in industrial and organizational psychology	Students can explain research methods in industrial and organizational psychology	Criteria: 1.Rubric: 2.Score 4 if done very well Score 3 if done well Score 2 if done adequately Score 1 if not done Form of Assessment: Participatory Activities	Cooperative Learning 3 X 50		Material: Research methods in industrial and organizational psychology References: Ones, Anderson, Viswesvaran, & Sinangil. 2018. The sage handbook of industrial, work and organizational psychology: Personnel psychology and employee performance. SAGE Publications, Ltd.	5%
15	Students are able to report the results of industrial and organizational psychology research articles	Students are able to report the results of industrial and organizational psychology research articles	Criteria: 1.Rubric: 2.Score 4 if done very well Score 3 if done well Score 2 if done adequately Score 1 if not done Form of Assessment: Participatory Activities	Cooperative Learning 3 X 50		Material: Industrial and organizational psychology research articles Library: Cameron & Spreitzer. 2012. Positive organizational scholarship. Oxford Library of Psychology.	5%
16	UAS	UAS	Criteria: UAS Form of Assessment : Test	UAS 3 X 50		Material: Meeting Material 9-15 Reader: Ones, Anderson, Viswesvaran, & Sinangil. 2018. The sage handbook of industrial, work and organizational psychology: Personnel psychology and employee performance. SAGE Publications, Ltd.	30%

Evaluation Percentage Recap: Case Study

Evaluation i ciccinage necap. Case c							
No	Evaluation	Percentage					
1.	Participatory Activities	50%					
2.	Test	50%					
		100%					

Notes

- Learning Outcomes of Study Program Graduates (PLO Study Program) are the abilities possessed by each Study
 Program graduate which are the internalization of attitudes, mastery of knowledge and skills according to the level of their
 study program obtained through the learning process.
- The PLO imposed on courses are several learning outcomes of study program graduates (CPL-Study Program) which
 are used for the formation/development of a course consisting of aspects of attitude, general skills, special skills and
 knowledge.
- 3. **Program Objectives (PO)** are abilities that are specifically described from the PLO assigned to a course, and are specific to the study material or learning materials for that course.
- 4. **Subject Sub-PO (Sub-PO)** is a capability that is specifically described from the PO that can be measured or observed and is the final ability that is planned at each learning stage, and is specific to the learning material of the course.
- Indicators for assessing ability in the process and student learning outcomes are specific and measurable statements
 that identify the ability or performance of student learning outcomes accompanied by evidence.
- 6. Assessment Criteria are benchmarks used as a measure or measure of learning achievement in assessments based on predetermined indicators. Assessment criteria are guidelines for assessors so that assessments are consistent and unbiased. Criteria can be quantitative or qualitative.

- 7. Forms of assessment: test and non-test.
- 8. Forms of learning: Lecture, Response, Tutorial, Seminar or equivalent, Practicum, Studio Practice, Workshop Practice, Field Practice, Research, Community Service and/or other equivalent forms of learning.
- Learning Methods: Small Group Discussion, Role-Play & Simulation, Discovery Learning, Self-Directed Learning, Cooperative Learning, Collaborative Learning, Contextual Learning, Project Based Learning, and other equivalent methods.
- 10. Learning materials are details or descriptions of study materials which can be presented in the form of several main points and sub-topics.11. The assessment weight is the percentage of assessment of each sub-PO achievement whose size is proportional to the
- 11. The assessment weight is the percentage of assessment of each sub-PO achievement whose size is proportional to the level of difficulty of achieving that sub-PO, and the total is 100%.
- 12. TM=Face to face, PT=Structured assignments, BM=Independent study.